
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 1-6571

Merck & Co., Inc.

One Merck Drive
Whitehouse Station, N.J. 08889-0100
(908) 423-1000

Incorporated in New Jersey

*I.R.S. Employer
Identification No. 22-1918501*

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of the close of business on October 31, 2013: 2,921,928,875

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Part I - Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

MERCK & CO., INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME
(Unaudited, \$ in millions except per share amounts)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Sales | \$ 11,032 | \$ 11,488 | \$ 32,713 | \$ 35,530 |
| Costs, Expenses and Other | | | | |
| Materials and production | 4,104 | 4,137 | 12,347 | 12,286 |
| Marketing and administrative | 2,803 | 3,063 | 8,929 | 9,386 |
| Research and development | 1,660 | 1,918 | 5,668 | 5,944 |
| Restructuring costs | 870 | 110 | 1,144 | 473 |
| Equity income from affiliates | (102) | (158) | (351) | (410) |
| Other (income) expense, net | 172 | 200 | 656 | 446 |
| | 9,507 | 9,270 | 28,393 | 28,125 |
| Income Before Taxes | 1,525 | 2,218 | 4,320 | 7,405 |
| Taxes on Income | 375 | 455 | 618 | 2,055 |
| Net Income | 1,150 | 1,763 | 3,702 | 5,350 |
| Less: Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | 26 | 34 | 79 | 89 |
| Net Income Attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. | \$ 1,124 | \$ 1,729 | \$ 3,623 | \$ 5,261 |
| Basic Earnings per Common Share Attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. Common Shareholders | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.57 | \$ 1.22 | \$ 1.73 |
| Earnings per Common Share Assuming Dilution Attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. Common Shareholders | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.56 | \$ 1.20 | \$ 1.71 |
| Dividends Declared per Common Share | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.42 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.26 |

MERCK & CO., INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(Unaudited, \$ in millions)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net Income Attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. | \$ 1,124 | \$ 1,729 | \$ 3,623 | \$ 5,261 |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Net of Taxes: | | | | |
| Net unrealized (loss) gain on derivatives, net of reclassifications | (102) | (143) | 169 | (99) |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments, net of reclassifications | 43 | 32 | (37) | 62 |
| Benefit plan net gain and prior service cost, net of amortization | 49 | 27 | 261 | 45 |
| Cumulative translation adjustment | 72 | 170 | (409) | 84 |
| | 62 | 86 | (16) | 92 |
| Comprehensive Income Attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. | \$ 1,186 | \$ 1,815 | \$ 3,607 | \$ 5,353 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MERCK & CO., INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
(Unaudited, \$ in millions except per share amounts)

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 14,090 | \$ 13,451 |
| Short-term investments | 4,079 | 2,690 |
| Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$140 in 2013 and \$163 in 2012) (excludes accounts receivable of \$490 in 2013 and \$473 in 2012 classified in Other assets - see Note 4) | 7,578 | 7,672 |
| Inventories (excludes inventories of \$1,474 in 2013 and \$1,606 in 2012 classified in Other assets - see Note 5) | 6,741 | 6,535 |
| Deferred income taxes and other current assets | 5,277 | 4,509 |
| Total current assets | 37,765 | 34,857 |
| Investments | 9,198 | 7,305 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment, at cost, net of accumulated depreciation of \$17,805 in 2013 and \$17,385 in 2012 | 15,323 | 16,030 |
| Goodwill | 12,121 | 12,134 |
| Other Intangibles, Net | 25,002 | 29,083 |
| Other Assets | 7,010 | 6,723 |
| | \$ 106,419 | \$ 106,132 |
| Liabilities and Equity | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Loans payable and current portion of long-term debt | \$ 3,976 | \$ 4,315 |
| Trade accounts payable | 2,469 | 1,753 |
| Accrued and other current liabilities | 9,183 | 9,737 |
| Income taxes payable | 1,298 | 1,200 |
| Dividends payable | 1,289 | 1,343 |
| Total current liabilities | 18,215 | 18,348 |
| Long-Term Debt | 22,647 | 16,254 |
| Deferred Income Taxes and Noncurrent Liabilities | 15,551 | 16,067 |
| Merck & Co., Inc. Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Common stock, \$0.50 par value | | |
| Authorized - 6,500,000,000 shares | | |
| Issued - 3,577,103,522 shares in 2013 and 2012 | 1,788 | 1,788 |
| Other paid-in capital | 39,909 | 40,646 |
| Retained earnings | 39,773 | 39,985 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (4,698) | (4,682) |
| | 76,772 | 77,737 |
| Less treasury stock, at cost: | | |
| 650,490,309 shares in 2013 and 550,468,221 shares in 2012 | 29,353 | 24,717 |
| Total Merck & Co., Inc. stockholders' equity | 47,419 | 53,020 |
| Noncontrolling Interests | 2,587 | 2,443 |
| Total equity | 50,006 | 55,463 |
| | \$ 106,419 | \$ 106,132 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this consolidated financial statement.

MERCK & CO., INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited, \$ in millions)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 3,702 | \$ 5,350 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 5,034 | 5,317 |
| Intangible asset impairment charges | 594 | 176 |
| Equity income from affiliates | (351) | (410) |
| Dividends and distributions from equity affiliates | 178 | 181 |
| Deferred income taxes | (532) | (283) |
| Share-based compensation | 210 | 257 |
| Other | 287 | (34) |
| Net changes in assets and liabilities | (494) | (2,341) |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 8,628 | 8,213 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | |
| Capital expenditures | (1,119) | (1,176) |
| Purchases of securities and other investments | (13,077) | (6,891) |
| Proceeds from sales of securities and other investments | 9,823 | 5,607 |
| Other | 48 | 53 |
| Net Cash Used in Investing Activities | (4,325) | (2,407) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | |
| Net change in short-term borrowings | 151 | (280) |
| Proceeds from issuance of debt | 6,467 | 2,504 |
| Payments on debt | (515) | (4) |
| Purchases of treasury stock | (6,320) | (1,439) |
| Dividends paid to stockholders | (3,897) | (3,836) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 809 | 1,060 |
| Other | (61) | (54) |
| Net Cash Used in Financing Activities | (3,366) | (2,049) |
| Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents | (298) | 72 |
| Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | 639 | 3,829 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year | 13,451 | 13,531 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period | \$ 14,090 | \$ 17,360 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this consolidated financial statement.

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Merck & Co., Inc. (“Merck” or the “Company”) have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations for reporting on Form 10-Q. Accordingly, certain information and disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete consolidated financial statements are not included herein. These interim statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto included in Merck’s Form 10-K filed on February 28, 2013.

The results of operations of any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the full year. In the Company’s opinion, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of these interim statements have been included and are of a normal and recurring nature.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In the first quarter of 2013, the Company adopted guidance issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the “FASB”) that simplifies how an entity tests indefinite-lived intangibles for impairment. The amended guidance allows companies to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more-likely-than-not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test. The adoption of this guidance had no impact on the Company’s financial position and results of operations.

2. Restructuring

2013 Restructuring Program

In October 2013, the Company announced a new global restructuring program (the “2013 Restructuring Program”) as part of a global initiative to sharpen its commercial and research and development focus. As part of the new program, the Company expects to reduce its total workforce by approximately 8,500 positions. These workforce reductions will primarily come from the elimination of positions in sales, administrative and headquarters organizations, as well as research and development. The Company will also reduce its global real estate footprint and continue to improve the efficiency of its manufacturing and supply network. The Company will continue to hire employees in strategic growth areas of the business as necessary.

The Company recorded total pretax restructuring costs of \$544 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 related to this program. The actions under the 2013 Restructuring Program are expected to be substantially completed by the end of 2015 with the cumulative pretax costs estimated to be approximately \$2.5 billion to \$3.0 billion. The Company estimates that approximately two-thirds of the cumulative pretax costs will result in cash outlays, primarily related to employee separation expense. Approximately one-third of the cumulative pretax costs are non-cash, relating primarily to the accelerated depreciation of facilities to be closed or divested.

Merger Restructuring Program

In February 2010, subsequent to the Merck and Schering-Plough Corporation (“Schering-Plough”) merger (the “Merger”), the Company commenced actions under a global restructuring program (the “Merger Restructuring Program”) in conjunction with the integration of the legacy Merck and legacy Schering-Plough businesses designed to optimize the cost structure of the combined company. Further actions under this program were initiated in 2011. The actions under this program primarily reflect the elimination of positions in sales, administrative and headquarters organizations, as well as from the sale or closure of certain manufacturing and research and development sites and the consolidation of office facilities.

On October 1, 2013, the Company sold its active pharmaceutical ingredient (“API”) manufacturing business, including the related manufacturing facility, in the Netherlands to Aspen Holdings (“Aspen”) as part of planned manufacturing facility rationalizations under the Merger Restructuring Program. In conjunction with the sale, the parties entered into a strategic long-term supply agreement and approximately 960 employees who support the API business were transferred from Merck to Aspen. Also in connection with the sale, Aspen will acquire certain branded products from Merck, which will transfer to Aspen effective December 31, 2013. At September 30, 2013, the Company classified \$840 million of assets held for sale in *Deferred income taxes and other current assets*, which included property, plant and equipment of \$210 million, inventory of \$430 million and other assets, primarily intangible assets, of \$200 million. The Company recognized a loss of \$42 million within *Restructuring costs* for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 to reflect these assets at fair value less costs to sell based on the consideration to be received from Aspen.

The Company recorded total pretax restructuring costs of \$423 million and \$150 million in the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$841 million and \$722 million in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to this program. Since inception of the Merger Restructuring Program through September 30, 2013, Merck has recorded total pretax accumulated costs of approximately \$6.9 billion and eliminated approximately 24,880 positions comprised of employee separations, as well as the elimination of contractors and vacant positions. Approximately 8,300 position eliminations remain pending under this program as of September 30, 2013, which include the remaining actions under the 2008 Restructuring Program that are being reported as part of the Merger Restructuring Program commencing in the third quarter of 2013 as noted below. The restructuring actions under the Merger Restructuring Program are expected to be substantially completed by the end of 2013, with the exception of certain actions, principally manufacturing-related. Subsequent to the Merger, the Company has rationalized a number of manufacturing sites worldwide. The remaining actions under this program will result in additional manufacturing facility rationalizations, which are expected to be substantially completed by 2016. The Company expects the estimated total cumulative pretax costs for this program to be approximately \$7.4 billion to \$7.7 billion. The Company estimates that approximately two-thirds of the cumulative pretax costs relate to cash outlays, primarily related to employee separation expense. Approximately one-third of the cumulative pretax costs are non-cash, relating primarily to the accelerated depreciation of facilities to be closed or divested.

2008 Global Restructuring Program

In October 2008, Merck announced a global restructuring program (the “2008 Restructuring Program”) to reduce its cost structure, increase efficiency, and enhance competitiveness. Pretax restructuring costs of \$13 million were recorded in the third quarter of 2012, and \$54 million and \$23 million were recorded in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to the 2008 Restructuring Program. Since inception of the 2008 Restructuring Program through September 30, 2013, Merck has recorded total pretax accumulated costs of approximately \$1.7 billion and eliminated approximately 6,460 positions comprised of employee separations and the elimination of contractors and vacant positions. The 2008 Restructuring Program was substantially completed in 2011, with the exception of certain manufacturing-related actions, which are expected to be completed by the end of 2015. As of July 1, 2013, the remaining accrued liability for future separations under the 2008 Restructuring Program was transferred to the Merger Restructuring Program and any remaining activities under the 2008 Restructuring Program are being accounted for as part of the Merger Restructuring Program beginning in the third quarter of 2013.

For segment reporting, restructuring charges are unallocated expenses.

The following tables summarize the charges related to restructuring program activities by type of cost:

| (\$ in millions) | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Separation Costs | Accelerated Depreciation | Other | Total | Separation Costs | Accelerated Depreciation | Other | Total |
| <i>2013 Restructuring Program</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Materials and production | \$ — | \$ 20 | \$ — | \$ 20 | \$ — | \$ 20 | \$ — | \$ 20 |
| Marketing and administrative | — | 15 | — | 15 | — | 15 | — | 15 |
| Research and development | — | 8 | — | 8 | — | 8 | — | 8 |
| Restructuring costs | 501 | — | — | 501 | 501 | — | — | 501 |
| | 501 | 43 | — | 544 | 501 | 43 | — | 544 |
| <i>Merger Restructuring Program</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Materials and production | — | 30 | 7 | 37 | — | 91 | 78 | 169 |
| Marketing and administrative | — | 20 | (4) | 16 | — | 44 | 1 | 45 |
| Research and development | — | 1 | — | 1 | — | 30 | — | 30 |
| Restructuring costs | 241 | — | 128 | 369 | 435 | — | 162 | 597 |
| | 241 | 51 | 131 | 423 | 435 | 165 | 241 | 841 |
| <i>2008 Restructuring Program</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Materials and production | — | — | — | — | — | (2) | 6 | 4 |
| Marketing and administrative | — | — | — | — | — | 4 | — | 4 |
| Restructuring costs | — | — | — | — | 34 | — | 12 | 46 |
| | — | — | — | — | 34 | 2 | 18 | 54 |
| | \$ 742 | \$ 94 | \$ 131 | \$ 967 | \$ 970 | \$ 210 | \$ 259 | \$ 1,439 |

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

| (\$ in millions) | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Separation Costs | Accelerated Depreciation | Other | Total | Separation Costs | Accelerated Depreciation | Other | Total |
| <i>Merger Restructuring Program</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Materials and production | \$ — | \$ 42 | \$ 13 | \$ 55 | \$ — | \$ 79 | \$ 50 | \$ 129 |
| Marketing and administrative | — | 16 | 3 | 19 | — | 59 | 5 | 64 |
| Research and development | — | (33) ⁽¹⁾ | 1 | (32) | — | 49 | 5 | 54 |
| Restructuring costs | 59 | — | 49 | 108 | 363 | — | 112 | 475 |
| | 59 | 25 | 66 | 150 | 363 | 187 | 172 | 722 |
| <i>2008 Restructuring Program</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Materials and production | — | 1 | 4 | 5 | — | 4 | 15 | 19 |
| Marketing and administrative | — | 6 | — | 6 | — | 6 | — | 6 |
| Restructuring costs | (1) | — | 3 | 2 | (12) | — | 10 | (2) |
| | (1) | 7 | 7 | 13 | (12) | 10 | 25 | 23 |
| | \$ 58 | \$ 32 | \$ 73 | \$ 163 | \$ 351 | \$ 197 | \$ 197 | \$ 745 |

⁽¹⁾ In the third quarter of 2012, the Company recorded an adjustment to accelerated depreciation costs included in research and development expenses revising previously recorded amounts for certain facilities.

Separation costs are associated with actual headcount reductions, as well as those headcount reductions which were probable and could be reasonably estimated. In the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, approximately 1,070 positions and 525 positions, respectively, were eliminated under the Merger Restructuring Program. In addition, approximately 10 positions were eliminated in the third quarter of 2012 under the 2008 Restructuring Program. In the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, approximately 2,475 positions and 2,325 positions, respectively, were eliminated under the Merger Restructuring Program and approximately 55 positions and 150 positions, respectively, were eliminated under the 2008 Restructuring Program. These position eliminations were comprised of actual headcount reductions and the elimination of contractors and vacant positions.

Accelerated depreciation costs primarily relate to manufacturing, research and administrative facilities and equipment to be sold or closed as part of the programs. Accelerated depreciation costs represent the difference between the depreciation expense to be recognized over the revised useful life of the site, based upon the anticipated date the site will be closed or divested, and depreciation expense as determined utilizing the useful life prior to the restructuring actions. All of the sites have and will continue to operate up through the respective closure dates and, since future undiscounted cash flows were sufficient to recover the respective book values, Merck was required to accelerate depreciation of the site assets rather than record an impairment charge. Anticipated site closure dates, particularly related to manufacturing locations, have been and may continue to be adjusted to reflect changes resulting from regulatory or other factors.

Other activity in 2013 and 2012 includes asset abandonment, shut-down and other related costs. Additionally, other activity includes employee-related costs such as curtailment, settlement and termination charges associated with pension and other postretirement benefit plans (see Note 12) and share-based compensation costs.

Adjustments to the recorded amounts were not material in any period.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

The following table summarizes the charges and spending relating to restructuring activities by program for the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

| (\$ in millions) | Separation Costs | Accelerated Depreciation | Other | Total |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------|--------|
| 2013 Restructuring Program | | | | |
| Restructuring reserves January 1, 2013 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Expense | 501 | 43 | — | 544 |
| (Payments) receipts, net | — | — | — | — |
| Non-cash activity | — | (43) | — | (43) |
| Restructuring reserves September 30, 2013 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 501 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 501 |
| Merger Restructuring Program | | | | |
| Restructuring reserves January 1, 2013 | \$ 699 | \$ — | \$ 19 | \$ 718 |
| Expense | 435 | 165 | 241 | 841 |
| (Payments) receipts, net | (374) | — | (90) | (464) |
| Non-cash activity | 62 | (165) | (122) | (225) |
| Restructuring reserves September 30, 2013 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 822 | \$ — | \$ 48 | \$ 870 |
| 2008 Restructuring Program | | | | |
| Restructuring reserves January 1, 2013 | \$ 77 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 77 |
| Expense | 34 | 2 | 18 | 54 |
| (Payments) receipts, net | (49) | — | (11) | (60) |
| Non-cash activity | (62) | (2) | (7) | (71) |
| Restructuring reserves September 30, 2013 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |

⁽¹⁾ The cash outlays associated with the 2013 Restructuring Program are expected to be substantially completed by the end of 2015. The cash outlays associated with the Merger Restructuring Program are expected to be substantially completed by the end of 2013 with the exception of certain actions, principally manufacturing-related, which are expected to be substantially completed by 2016.

3. Acquisitions, Research Collaborations and License Agreements

The Company continues its strategy of establishing external alliances to complement its substantial internal research capabilities, including research collaborations, licensing preclinical and clinical compounds and technology platforms to drive both near- and long-term growth. The Company supplements its internal research with a licensing and external alliance strategy focused on the entire spectrum of collaborations from early research to late-stage compounds, as well as new technologies across a broad range of therapeutic areas. These arrangements often include upfront payments and royalty or profit share payments, contingent upon the occurrence of certain future events linked to the success of the asset in development, as well as expense reimbursements or payments to the third party.

In April 2013, Merck and Pfizer Inc. (“Pfizer”) announced that they had entered into a worldwide (except Japan) collaboration agreement for the development and commercialization of Pfizer’s ertugliflozin, an investigational oral sodium glucose cotransporter (“SGLT2”) inhibitor being evaluated for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. The Company is initiating Phase III clinical trials for ertugliflozin with Pfizer. Under the terms of the agreement, Merck and Pfizer will collaborate on the clinical development and commercialization of ertugliflozin and ertugliflozin-containing fixed-dose combinations with metformin and with *Januvia* (sitagliptin) tablets. Merck will continue to retain the rights to its existing portfolio of sitagliptin-containing products. Through the first nine months of 2013, Merck recorded as *Research and development* expenses \$60 million of upfront and milestone payments made to Pfizer. Pfizer will be eligible for additional payments associated with the achievement of pre-specified future clinical, regulatory and commercial milestones, including \$65 million for the initiation of Phase III clinical trials. The companies will share potential revenues and certain costs 60% to Merck and 40% to Pfizer. Each party will have certain manufacturing and supply obligations. The Company and Pfizer each have the right to terminate the agreement due to a material, uncured breach by, or insolvency of, the other party, or in the event of a safety issue. Pfizer has the right to terminate the agreement upon 12 months notice at any time following the first anniversary of the first commercial sale of a collaboration product, but must assign all rights to ertugliflozin to Merck. Upon termination of the agreement, depending upon the circumstances, the parties have varying rights and obligations with respect to the continued development and commercialization of ertugliflozin and certain payment obligations.

In February 2013, Merck and Supera Farma Laboratorios S.A. (“Supera”), a Brazilian pharmaceutical company co-owned by Cristália and Eurofarma, established the previously announced joint venture that markets, distributes and sells a portfolio of pharmaceutical and branded generic products from Merck, Cristália and Eurofarma in Brazil. Merck owns 51% of the joint venture,

and Cristália and Eurofarma collectively own 49%. The transaction was accounted for as an acquisition of a business; accordingly, the assets acquired and liabilities assumed were recorded at their respective fair values. This resulted in Merck recognizing intangible assets for currently marketed products of \$89 million, in-process research and development (“IPR&D”) of \$100 million, goodwill of \$103 million, and deferred tax liabilities of \$64 million. The Company also recorded increases to *Noncontrolling interests* and *Other paid-in capital* in the amounts of \$112 million and \$116 million, respectively. This transaction closed on February 1, 2013, and accordingly, the results of operations of the acquired business have been included in the Company’s results of operations beginning after that date.

Remicade/Simponi

In 1998, a subsidiary of Schering-Plough entered into a licensing agreement with Centocor Ortho Biotech Inc. (“Centocor”), a Johnson & Johnson (“J&J”) company, to market *Remicade*, which is prescribed for the treatment of inflammatory diseases. In 2005, Schering-Plough’s subsidiary exercised an option under its contract with Centocor for license rights to develop and commercialize *Simponi*, a fully human monoclonal antibody. The Company has exclusive marketing rights to both products throughout Europe, Russia and Turkey. All profits derived from Merck’s exclusive distribution of the two products in these countries are equally divided between Merck and J&J. In December 2007, Schering-Plough and Centocor revised their distribution agreement regarding the development, commercialization and distribution of both *Remicade* and *Simponi*, extending the Company’s rights to exclusively market *Remicade* to match the duration of the Company’s exclusive marketing rights for *Simponi*. In addition, Schering-Plough and Centocor agreed to share certain development costs relating to *Simponi*’s auto-injector delivery system. On October 6, 2009, the European Commission approved *Simponi* as a treatment for rheumatoid arthritis and other immune system disorders in two presentations – a novel auto-injector and a prefilled syringe. As a result, the Company’s marketing rights for both products extend for 15 years from the first commercial sale of *Simponi* in the European Union (the “EU”) following the receipt of pricing and reimbursement approval within the EU.

4. Financial Instruments

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company manages the impact of foreign exchange rate movements and interest rate movements on its earnings, cash flows and fair values of assets and liabilities through operational means and through the use of various financial instruments, including derivative instruments.

A significant portion of the Company’s revenues and earnings in foreign affiliates is exposed to changes in foreign exchange rates. The objectives and accounting related to the Company’s foreign currency risk management program, as well as its interest rate risk management activities are discussed below.

Foreign Currency Risk Management

The Company has established revenue hedging, balance sheet risk management and net investment hedging programs to protect against volatility of future foreign currency cash flows and changes in fair value caused by volatility in foreign exchange rates.

The objective of the revenue hedging program is to reduce the potential for longer-term unfavorable changes in foreign exchange rates to decrease the U.S. dollar value of future cash flows derived from foreign currency denominated sales, primarily the euro and Japanese yen. To achieve this objective, the Company will hedge a portion of its forecasted foreign currency denominated third-party and intercompany distributor entity sales that are expected to occur over its planning cycle, typically no more than three years into the future. The Company will layer in hedges over time, increasing the portion of third-party and intercompany distributor entity sales hedged as it gets closer to the expected date of the forecasted foreign currency denominated sales. The portion of sales hedged is based on assessments of cost-benefit profiles that consider natural offsetting exposures, revenue and exchange rate volatilities and correlations, and the cost of hedging instruments. The hedged anticipated sales are a specified component of a portfolio of similarly denominated foreign currency-based sales transactions, each of which responds to the hedged currency risk in the same manner. The Company manages its anticipated transaction exposure principally with purchased local currency put options, which provide the Company with a right, but not an obligation, to sell foreign currencies in the future at a predetermined price. If the U.S. dollar strengthens relative to the currency of the hedged anticipated sales, total changes in the options’ cash flows offset the decline in the expected future U.S. dollar equivalent cash flows of the hedged foreign currency sales. Conversely, if the U.S. dollar weakens, the options’ value reduces to zero, but the Company benefits from the increase in the U.S. dollar equivalent value of the anticipated foreign currency cash flows.

In connection with the Company’s revenue hedging program, a purchased collar option strategy may be utilized. With a purchased collar option strategy, the Company writes a local currency call option and purchases a local currency put option. As compared to a purchased put option strategy alone, a purchased collar strategy reduces the upfront costs associated with purchasing

puts through the collection of premium by writing call options. If the U.S. dollar weakens relative to the currency of the hedged anticipated sales, the purchased put option value of the collar strategy reduces to zero and the Company benefits from the increase in the U.S. dollar equivalent value of its anticipated foreign currency cash flows, however this benefit would be capped at the strike level of the written call. If the U.S. dollar strengthens relative to the currency of the hedged anticipated sales, the written call option value of the collar strategy reduces to zero and the changes in the purchased put cash flows of the collar strategy would offset the decline in the expected future U.S. dollar equivalent cash flows of the hedged foreign currency sales.

The Company may also utilize forward contracts in its revenue hedging program. If the U.S. dollar strengthens relative to the currency of the hedged anticipated sales, the increase in the fair value of the forward contracts offsets the decrease in the expected future U.S. dollar cash flows of the hedged foreign currency sales. Conversely, if the U.S. dollar weakens, the decrease in the fair value of the forward contracts offsets the increase in the value of the anticipated foreign currency cash flows.

The fair values of these derivative contracts are recorded as either assets (gain positions) or liabilities (loss positions) in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Changes in the fair value of derivative contracts are recorded each period in either current earnings or *Other comprehensive income* (“OCI”), depending on whether the derivative is designated as part of a hedge transaction and, if so, the type of hedge transaction. For derivatives that are designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the unrealized gains or losses on these contracts is recorded in *Accumulated other comprehensive income* (“AOCI”) and reclassified into *Sales* when the hedged anticipated revenue is recognized. The hedge relationship is highly effective and hedge ineffectiveness has been *de minimis*. For those derivatives which are not designated as cash flow hedges, but serve as economic hedges of forecasted sales, unrealized gains or losses are recorded in *Sales* each period. The cash flows from both designated and non-designated contracts are reported as operating activities in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. The Company does not enter into derivatives for trading or speculative purposes.

The primary objective of the balance sheet risk management program is to mitigate the exposure of foreign currency denominated net monetary assets of foreign subsidiaries where the U.S. dollar is the functional currency from the effects of volatility in foreign exchange. In these instances, Merck principally utilizes forward exchange contracts, which enable the Company to buy and sell foreign currencies in the future at fixed exchange rates and economically offset the consequences of changes in foreign exchange from the monetary assets. Merck routinely enters into contracts to offset the effects of exchange on exposures denominated in developed country currencies, primarily the euro and Japanese yen. For exposures in developing country currencies, the Company will enter into forward contracts to partially offset the effects of exchange on exposures when it is deemed economical to do so based on a cost-benefit analysis that considers the magnitude of the exposure, the volatility of the exchange rate and the cost of the hedging instrument. The Company will also minimize the effect of exchange on monetary assets and liabilities by managing operating activities and net asset positions at the local level.

Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of a given subsidiary are remeasured at spot rates in effect on the balance sheet date with the effects of changes in spot rates reported in *Other (income) expense, net*. The forward contracts are not designated as hedges and are marked to market through *Other (income) expense, net*. Accordingly, fair value changes in the forward contracts help mitigate the changes in the value of the remeasured assets and liabilities attributable to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, except to the extent of the spot-forward differences. These differences are not significant due to the short-term nature of the contracts, which typically have average maturities at inception of less than one year.

The Company also uses forward exchange contracts to hedge its net investment in foreign operations against movements in exchange rates. The forward contracts are designated as hedges of the net investment in a foreign operation. The Company hedges a portion of the net investment in certain of its foreign operations and measures ineffectiveness based upon changes in spot foreign exchange rates. The effective portion of the unrealized gains or losses on these contracts is recorded in foreign currency translation adjustment within *OCI*, and remains in *AOCI* until either the sale or complete or substantially complete liquidation of the subsidiary. The cash flows from these contracts are reported as investing activities in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Foreign exchange risk is also managed through the use of foreign currency debt. The Company’s senior unsecured euro-denominated notes have been designated as, and are effective as, economic hedges of the net investment in a foreign operation. Accordingly, foreign currency transaction gains or losses due to spot rate fluctuations on the euro-denominated debt instruments are included in foreign currency translation adjustment within *OCI*. Included in the cumulative translation adjustment are pretax (losses) gains of \$(33) million and \$35 million for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively, from the euro-denominated notes.

Interest Rate Risk Management

The Company may use interest rate swap contracts on certain investing and borrowing transactions to manage its net exposure to interest rate changes and to reduce its overall cost of borrowing. The Company does not use leveraged swaps and, in general, does not leverage any of its investment activities that would put principal capital at risk. There were no interest rate swaps outstanding as of December 31, 2012.

During the third quarter of 2013, the Company entered into six interest rate swap contracts and is now a party to a total of 15 pay-floating, received-fixed interest rate swap contracts designated as fair value hedges of fixed-rate notes in which the notional amounts match the amount of the hedged fixed-rate notes. There are four swaps maturing in 2016 with notional amounts of \$250 million each that effectively convert the Company's 0.70% fixed-rate notes due 2016 to floating-rate instruments; four swaps maturing in 2018 with notional amounts of \$250 million each that effectively convert the Company's 1.30% fixed-rate notes due 2018 to floating-rate instruments; four swaps maturing in 2017, one with a notional amount of \$200 million, two with notional amounts of \$250 million each, and one with a notional amount of \$300 million, that effectively convert the Company's 6.00% fixed-rate notes due 2017 to floating-rate instruments; and three swaps maturing in 2019, two with notional amounts of \$200 million each, and one with a notional amount of \$150 million, that effectively convert a portion of the Company's 5.00% notes due 2019 to floating rate instruments. The interest rate swap contracts are designated hedges of the fair value changes in the notes attributable to changes in the benchmark London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") swap rate. The fair value changes in the notes attributable to changes in the LIBOR are recorded in interest expense and offset by the fair value changes in the swap contracts. The cash flows from these contracts are reported as operating activities in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Presented in the table below is the fair value of derivatives on a gross basis segregated between those derivatives that are designated as hedging instruments and those that are not designated as hedging instruments:

| (\$ in millions) | Balance Sheet Caption | September 30, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| | | Fair Value of Derivative | | U.S. Dollar Notional | Fair Value of Derivative | | U.S. Dollar Notional |
| | | Asset | Liability | | Asset | Liability | |
| <i>Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments</i> | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap contracts (non-current) | Other assets | \$ 22 | \$ — | \$ 1,550 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Interest rate swap contracts (non-current) | Deferred income taxes and noncurrent liabilities | — | 22 | 2,000 | — | — | — |
| Foreign exchange contracts (current) | Deferred income taxes and other current assets | 454 | — | 5,549 | 281 | — | 6,646 |
| Foreign exchange contracts (non-current) | Other assets | 460 | — | 6,071 | 387 | — | 5,989 |
| Foreign exchange contracts (current) | Accrued and other current liabilities | — | 1 | 303 | — | 13 | 938 |
| Foreign exchange contracts (non-current) | Deferred income taxes and noncurrent liabilities | — | 3 | 573 | — | — | — |
| | | \$ 936 | \$ 26 | \$ 16,046 | \$ 668 | \$ 13 | \$ 13,573 |
| <i>Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments</i> | | | | | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts (current) | Deferred income taxes and other current assets | \$ 15 | \$ — | \$ 2,237 | \$ 55 | \$ — | \$ 4,548 |
| Foreign exchange contracts (non-current) | Other assets | — | — | — | 8 | — | 232 |
| Foreign exchange contracts (current) | Accrued and other current liabilities | — | 93 | 6,657 | — | 216 | 8,203 |
| | | \$ 15 | \$ 93 | \$ 8,894 | \$ 63 | \$ 216 | \$ 12,983 |
| | | \$ 951 | \$ 119 | \$ 24,940 | \$ 731 | \$ 229 | \$ 26,556 |

As noted above, the Company records its derivatives on a gross basis in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The Company has master netting agreements with several of its financial institution counterparties (see *Concentrations of Credit Risk* below). The following table provides information on the Company's derivative positions subject to these master netting arrangements as if they were presented on a net basis, allowing for the right of offset by counterparty and cash collateral exchanged per the master agreements and related credit support annexes:

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited), (continued)

| (\$ in millions) | September 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| | Asset | Liability | Asset | Liability |
| Gross amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet | \$ 951 | \$ 119 | \$ 731 | \$ 229 |
| Gross amount subject to offset in master netting arrangements not offset in the consolidated balance sheet | (113) | (114) | (195) | (195) |
| Cash collateral (received) posted | (566) | — | (305) | — |
| Net amounts | \$ 272 | \$ 5 | \$ 231 | \$ 34 |

The table below provides information on the location and pretax gain or loss amounts for derivatives that are: (i) designated in a fair value hedging relationship, (ii) designated in a foreign currency cash flow hedging relationship, (iii) designated in a foreign currency net investment hedging relationship and (iv) not designated in a hedging relationship:

| (\$ in millions) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------|------------------------------------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Derivatives designated in a fair value hedging relationship | | | | |
| Interest rate swap contracts | | | | |
| Amount of (gain) loss recognized in <i>Other (income) expense, net</i> on derivatives ⁽¹⁾ | \$ (33) | \$ — | \$ 1 | \$ — |
| Amount of loss (gain) recognized in <i>Other (income) expense, net</i> on hedged item ⁽¹⁾ | 30 | — | (2) | — |
| Derivatives designated in foreign currency cash flow hedging relationships | | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | | | | |
| Amount of loss (gain) reclassified from <i>AOCI</i> to <i>Sales</i> | 1 | (4) | 36 | 49 |
| Amount of loss (gain) recognized in <i>OCI</i> on derivatives | 165 | 236 | (219) | 202 |
| Derivatives designated in foreign currency net investment hedging relationships | | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | | | | |
| Amount of gain recognized in <i>Other (income) expense, net</i> on derivatives ⁽²⁾ | (5) | (5) | (7) | (15) |
| Amount of (gain) loss recognized in <i>OCI</i> on derivatives | (15) | 54 | (259) | (2) |
| Derivatives not designated in a hedging relationship | | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | | | | |
| Amount of loss recognized in <i>Other (income) expense, net</i> on derivatives ⁽³⁾ | 154 | 157 | 146 | 131 |
| Amount of loss recognized in <i>Sales</i> on hedged item | 8 | 17 | 5 | 17 |

⁽¹⁾ There was \$3 million of ineffectiveness on the hedged item during the third quarter and first nine months of 2013.

⁽²⁾ There was no ineffectiveness on the hedge. Represents the amount excluded from hedge effectiveness testing.

⁽³⁾ These derivative contracts mitigate changes in the value of remeasured foreign currency denominated monetary assets and liabilities attributable to changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

At September 30, 2013, the Company estimates \$16 million of pretax net unrealized gains on derivatives maturing within the next 12 months that hedge foreign currency denominated sales over that same period will be reclassified from *AOCI* to *Sales*. The amount ultimately reclassified to *Sales* may differ as foreign exchange rates change. Realized gains and losses are ultimately determined by actual exchange rates at maturity.

Investments in Debt and Equity Securities

Information on available-for-sale investments is as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | September 30, 2013 | | | | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|---------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|--------|
| | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized | | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized | |
| | | | Gains | Losses | | | Gains | Losses |
| Corporate notes and bonds | \$ 6,651 | \$ 6,640 | \$ 29 | \$ (18) | \$ 5,063 | \$ 5,013 | \$ 52 | \$ (2) |
| Commercial paper | 2,532 | 2,532 | — | — | 2,150 | 2,150 | — | — |
| U.S. government and agency securities | 2,061 | 2,064 | 1 | (4) | 1,206 | 1,204 | 2 | — |
| Asset-backed securities | 1,119 | 1,121 | 2 | (4) | 837 | 835 | 3 | (1) |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 608 | 611 | 2 | (5) | 435 | 436 | 2 | (3) |
| Foreign government bonds | 92 | 93 | — | (1) | 108 | 107 | 1 | — |
| Equity securities | 453 | 400 | 53 | — | 403 | 370 | 33 | — |
| | \$ 13,516 | \$ 13,461 | \$ 87 | \$ (32) | \$ 10,202 | \$ 10,115 | \$ 93 | \$ (6) |

Available-for-sale debt securities included in *Short-term investments* totaled \$4.1 billion at September 30, 2013. Of the remaining debt securities, \$8.1 billion mature within five years. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were no debt securities pledged as collateral.

Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The Company uses a fair value hierarchy which maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. There are three levels of inputs used to measure fair value with Level 1 having the highest priority and Level 3 having the lowest:

Level 1 - Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity. Level 3 assets are those whose values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques with significant unobservable inputs, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant judgment or estimation.

If the inputs used to measure the financial assets and liabilities fall within more than one level described above, the categorization is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

| | Fair Value Measurements Using | | | | Fair Value Measurements Using | | | |
|--|--|---|--|-----------|--|---|--|-----------|
| | Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total | Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total |
| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | September 30, 2013 | | | | December 31, 2012 | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Investments</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate notes and bonds | \$ — | \$ 6,651 | \$ — | \$ 6,651 | \$ — | \$ 5,063 | \$ — | \$ 5,063 |
| Commercial paper | — | 2,532 | — | 2,532 | — | 2,150 | — | 2,150 |
| U.S. government and agency securities | — | 2,061 | — | 2,061 | — | 1,206 | — | 1,206 |
| Asset-backed securities ⁽¹⁾ | — | 1,119 | — | 1,119 | — | 837 | — | 837 |
| Mortgage-backed securities ⁽¹⁾ | — | 608 | — | 608 | — | 435 | — | 435 |
| Foreign government bonds | — | 92 | — | 92 | — | 108 | — | 108 |
| Equity securities | 214 | — | — | 214 | 196 | — | — | 196 |
| | 214 | 13,063 | — | 13,277 | 196 | 9,799 | — | 9,995 |
| <i>Other assets</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Securities held for employee compensation | 195 | 44 | — | 239 | 169 | 38 | — | 207 |
| <i>Derivative assets ⁽²⁾</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Purchased currency options | — | 774 | — | 774 | — | 546 | — | 546 |
| Forward exchange contracts | — | 155 | — | 155 | — | 185 | — | 185 |
| Interest rate swaps | — | 22 | — | 22 | — | — | — | — |
| | — | 951 | — | 951 | — | 731 | — | 731 |
| Total assets | \$ 409 | \$ 14,058 | \$ — | \$ 14,467 | \$ 365 | \$ 10,568 | \$ — | \$ 10,933 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Derivative liabilities ⁽²⁾</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Forward exchange contracts | \$ — | \$ 96 | \$ — | \$ 96 | \$ — | \$ 216 | \$ — | \$ 216 |
| Written currency options | — | 1 | — | 1 | — | 13 | — | 13 |
| Interest rate swaps | — | 22 | — | 22 | — | — | — | — |
| Total liabilities | \$ — | \$ 119 | \$ — | \$ 119 | \$ — | \$ 229 | \$ — | \$ 229 |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily all of the asset-backed securities are highly-rated (Standard & Poor's rating of AAA and Moody's Investors Service rating of Aaa), secured primarily by credit card, auto loan, and home equity receivables, with weighted-average lives of primarily 5 years or less. Mortgage-backed securities represent AAA-rated securities issued or unconditionally guaranteed as to payment of principal and interest by U.S. government agencies.

⁽²⁾ The fair value determination of derivatives includes the impact of the credit risk of counterparties to the derivatives and the Company's own credit risk, the effects of which were not significant.

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the first nine months of 2013. As of September 30, 2013, Cash and cash equivalents of \$14.1 billion included \$13.1 billion of cash equivalents (considered Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy).

Other Fair Value Measurements

Some of the Company's financial instruments, such as cash and cash equivalents, receivables and payables, are reflected in the balance sheet at carrying value, which approximates fair value due to their short-term nature.

The estimated fair value of loans payable and long-term debt (including current portion) at September 30, 2013, was \$27.3 billion compared with a carrying value of \$26.6 billion and at December 31, 2012, was \$22.8 billion compared with a carrying value of \$20.6 billion. Fair value was estimated using recent observable market prices and would be considered Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. At September 30, 2013, the Company classified assets held for sale of approximately \$840 million within *Deferred income taxes and other current assets* related to the sale of its API manufacturing business in the Netherlands (see Note 2). The fair value of these assets was based on the consideration to be received, which consists of cash (considered Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy) and notes receivable (considered Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy).

Concentrations of Credit Risk

On an ongoing basis, the Company monitors concentrations of credit risk associated with corporate and government issuers of securities and financial institutions with which it conducts business. Credit exposure limits are established to limit a concentration with any single issuer or institution. Cash and investments are placed in instruments that meet high credit quality standards, as specified in the Company's investment policy guidelines. Approximately one-third of the Company's cash and cash equivalents are invested in three highly rated money market funds.

The majority of the Company's accounts receivable arise from product sales in the United States and Europe and are primarily due from drug wholesalers and retailers, hospitals, government agencies, managed health care providers and pharmacy benefit managers. The Company monitors the financial performance and creditworthiness of its customers so that it can properly assess and respond to changes in their credit profile. The Company also continues to monitor economic conditions, including the volatility associated with international sovereign economies, and associated impacts on the financial markets and its business, taking into consideration global economic conditions and the ongoing sovereign debt issues in certain European countries. The Company continues to monitor the credit and economic conditions within Greece, Italy, Spain, and Portugal, among other members of the EU. These economic conditions, as well as inherent variability of timing of cash receipts, have resulted in, and may continue to result in, an increase in the average length of time that it takes to collect accounts receivable outstanding. As such, time value of money discounts have been recorded for those customers for which collection of accounts receivable is expected to be in excess of one year. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, *Other assets* included \$490 million and \$473 million, respectively, of accounts receivable not expected to be collected within one year. The Company does not expect to have write-offs or adjustments to accounts receivable which would have a material adverse effect on its financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

At September 30, 2013, the Company's accounts receivable in Greece, Italy, Spain and Portugal totaled approximately \$1.2 billion. Of this amount, hospital and public sector receivables were approximately \$850 million in the aggregate, of which approximately 9%, 31%, 48% and 12% related to Greece, Italy, Spain and Portugal, respectively. At September 30, 2013, the Company's total accounts receivable outstanding for more than one year were approximately \$340 million, of which approximately 70% related to accounts receivable in Greece, Italy, Spain and Portugal, mostly comprised of hospital and public sector receivables.

Additionally, the Company continues to expand in the emerging markets. Payment terms in these markets tend to be longer, resulting in an increase in accounts receivable balances in certain of these markets.

Derivative financial instruments are executed under International Swaps and Derivatives Association master agreements. The master agreements with several of the Company's financial institution counterparties also include credit support annexes. These annexes contain provisions that require collateral to be exchanged depending on the value of the derivative assets and liabilities, the Company's credit rating, and the credit rating of the counterparty. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had received cash collateral of \$566 million and \$305 million, respectively, from various counterparties and the obligation to return such collateral is recorded in *Accrued and other current liabilities*. The Company had not advanced any cash collateral to counterparties as of September 30, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

5. Inventories

Inventories consisted of:

| (\$ in millions) | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Finished goods | \$ 1,757 | \$ 1,924 |
| Raw materials and work in process | 6,171 | 5,921 |
| Supplies | 235 | 244 |
| Total (approximates current cost) | 8,163 | 8,089 |
| Increase to LIFO costs | 52 | 52 |
| | \$ 8,215 | \$ 8,141 |
| Recognized as: | | |
| Inventories | \$ 6,741 | \$ 6,535 |
| Other assets | 1,474 | 1,606 |

Amounts recognized as *Other assets* are comprised almost entirely of raw materials and work in process inventories. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, these amounts included \$1.3 billion and \$1.4 billion, respectively, of inventories not expected to be sold within one year. In addition, these amounts included \$157 million and \$196 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, of inventories produced in preparation for product launches.

6. Other Intangibles

In connection with mergers and acquisitions, the Company measures the fair value of marketed products and research and development pipeline programs and capitalizes these amounts. During the first nine months of 2013, the Company recorded an intangible asset impairment charge of \$330 million within *Materials and production* costs related to *Saphris/Sycrest*. During the second quarter, the Company reduced cash flow projections for *Saphris/Sycrest* as a result of reduced expectations in international markets and in the United States. These revisions to cash flows indicated that the *Saphris/Sycrest* intangible asset value was not recoverable on an undiscounted cash flows basis. Utilizing market participant assumptions, and considering several different scenarios, the Company concluded that its best estimate of the current fair value of the intangible asset related to *Saphris/Sycrest* was approximately \$170 million, which resulted in the recognition of an impairment charge.

In addition, during the third quarter of 2012, the Company recorded \$40 million, and during the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, recorded \$264 million and \$176 million, respectively, of IPR&D impairment charges within *Research and development* expenses. Of the IPR&D impairment charges recorded in the first nine months of 2013, \$181 million related to the write-off of the intangible asset associated with preladenant as a result of the discontinuation of the clinical development program for this compound. In addition, the Company recorded impairment charges resulting from changes in cash flow assumptions for certain compounds. The remaining impairment charges for the first nine months of 2013 and the charges in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 reflect impairments primarily related to pipeline programs that had previously been deprioritized and were subsequently deemed to have no alternative use in the period. The Company may recognize additional non-cash impairment charges in the future related to other pipeline programs or marketed products and such charges could be material.

During the first quarter of 2013, the Company recorded goodwill and other intangible assets in connection with the formation of a joint venture with Supera (see Note 3).

7. Joint Ventures and Other Equity Method Affiliates

Equity income from affiliates reflects the performance of the Company's joint ventures and other equity method affiliates and was comprised of the following:

| (\$ in millions) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| AstraZeneca LP | \$ 72 | \$ 134 | \$ 302 | \$ 387 |
| Other ⁽¹⁾ | 30 | 24 | 49 | 23 |
| | \$ 102 | \$ 158 | \$ 351 | \$ 410 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes results from Sanofi Pasteur MSD.

AstraZeneca LP

In 1998, Merck and Astra completed the restructuring of the ownership and operations of their existing joint venture whereby Merck acquired Astra's interest in KBI Inc. ("KBI") and contributed KBI's operating assets to a new U.S. limited partnership, Astra Pharmaceuticals L.P. (the "Partnership"), in exchange for a 1% limited partner interest. Astra contributed the net assets of its wholly owned subsidiary, Astra USA, Inc., to the Partnership in exchange for a 99% general partner interest. The Partnership, renamed AstraZeneca LP ("AZLP") upon Astra's 1999 merger with Zeneca Group Plc, became the exclusive distributor of the products for which KBI retained rights.

In 2014, AstraZeneca has the option to purchase Merck's interest in KBI based in part on the value of Merck's interest in Nexium and Prilosec. AstraZeneca's option is exercisable between March 1, 2014 and April 30, 2014. If AstraZeneca chooses to exercise this option, the closing date is expected to be June 30, 2014. Under the amended agreement, AstraZeneca will make a payment to Merck upon closing of \$327 million, reflecting an estimate of the fair value of Merck's interest in Nexium and Prilosec. This portion of the exercise price is subject to a true-up in 2018 based on actual sales from closing in 2014 to June 2018. The exercise price will also include an additional amount equal to a multiple of ten times Merck's average 1% annual profit allocation in the partnership for the three years prior to exercise. The Company believes that it is likely that AstraZeneca will exercise its option in 2014.

Summarized financial information for AZLP is as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Sales | \$ 1,083 | \$ 1,232 | \$ 3,383 | \$ 3,424 |
| Materials and production costs | 554 | 561 | 1,681 | 1,520 |
| Other expense, net | 398 | 204 | 1,198 | 936 |
| Income before taxes ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 131 | \$ 467 | \$ 504 | \$ 968 |

⁽¹⁾ Merck's partnership returns from AZLP are generally contractually determined and are not based on a percentage of income from AZLP, other than with respect to Merck's 1% limited partnership interest.

8. Loans Payable, Long-Term Debt and Other Commitments

In May 2013, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of \$6.5 billion senior unsecured notes consisting of \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 0.70% notes due 2016, \$500 million aggregate principal amount of floating rate notes due 2016, \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 1.30% notes due 2018, \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of floating rate notes due 2018, \$1.75 billion aggregate principal amount of 2.80% notes due 2023 and \$1.25 billion aggregate principal amount of 4.15% notes due 2043. Interest on the notes is payable semi-annually. The notes of each series are redeemable in whole or in part at any time at the Company's option at varying redemption prices. A substantial portion of the net proceeds from the notes were used to repurchase the Company's common stock pursuant to an accelerated share repurchase agreement in May 2013 (see Note 10).

9. Contingencies and Environmental Liabilities

The Company is involved in various claims and legal proceedings of a nature considered normal to its business, including product liability, intellectual property, and commercial litigation, as well as additional matters such as antitrust actions and environmental matters. Except for the *Vioxx* Litigation (as defined below) for which a separate assessment is provided in this Note, in the opinion of the Company, it is unlikely that the resolution of these matters will be material to the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Given the nature of the litigation discussed below, including the *Vioxx* Litigation, and the complexities involved in these matters, the Company is unable to reasonably estimate a possible loss or range of possible loss for such matters until the Company knows, among other factors, (i) what claims, if any, will survive dispositive motion practice, (ii) the extent of the claims, including the size of any potential class, particularly when damages are not specified or are indeterminate, (iii) how the discovery process will affect the litigation, (iv) the settlement posture of the other parties to the litigation and (v) any other factors that may have a material effect on the litigation.

The Company records accruals for contingencies when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. These accruals are adjusted periodically as assessments change or additional information becomes available. For product liability claims, a portion of the overall accrual is actuarially determined and considers such factors as past experience, number of claims reported and estimates of claims incurred but not yet reported. Individually significant contingent

losses are accrued when probable and reasonably estimable. Legal defense costs expected to be incurred in connection with a loss contingency are accrued when probable and reasonably estimable.

The Company's decision to obtain insurance coverage is dependent on market conditions, including cost and availability, existing at the time such decisions are made. The Company has evaluated its risks and has determined that the cost of obtaining product liability insurance outweighs the likely benefits of the coverage that is available and, as such, has no insurance for certain product liabilities effective August 1, 2004.

***Vioxx* Litigation**

Product Liability Lawsuits

As previously disclosed, Merck is a defendant in approximately 90 federal and state lawsuits (the "*Vioxx* Product Liability Lawsuits") alleging personal injury or economic loss as a result of the purchase or use of *Vioxx*. Most of the remaining cases are coordinated in a multidistrict litigation in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana (the "*Vioxx* MDL") before Judge Eldon E. Fallon.

There are pending in various U.S. courts putative class actions purportedly brought on behalf of individual purchasers or users of *Vioxx* seeking reimbursement for alleged economic loss. In the *Vioxx* MDL proceeding, approximately 30 such class actions remain. In June 2010, Merck moved to strike the class claims or for judgment on the pleadings regarding the master complaint, which includes the above-referenced cases, and briefing on that motion was completed in September 2010. The *Vioxx* MDL court heard oral argument on Merck's motion in October 2010 and took it under advisement.

In July 2013, Merck entered into a proposed settlement in the *Vioxx* MDL which would resolve *Vioxx*-related consumer economic loss claims asserted against the Company by all non-Missouri resident consumers who purchased *Vioxx* and seek to recover economic damages. Merck previously settled a similar *Vioxx* consumer class action in Missouri. Under the proposed settlement, Merck would pay up to \$23 million to pay all properly documented claims submitted by class members, approved attorneys' fees and expenses, and approved settlement notice costs and certain other administrative expenses. The settlement is subject to court approval, and the court has set a final fairness hearing on the settlement for December 2013.

In 2008, a Missouri state court certified a class of Missouri plaintiffs seeking reimbursement for out-of-pocket costs relating to *Vioxx*. In October 2012, the parties executed a settlement agreement to resolve the litigation. The Company established a reserve of \$39 million in the third quarter of 2012 in connection with that settlement agreement, which is the minimum amount that the Company is required to pay under the agreement. The court-approved program to notify class members about the settlement has been completed. The settlement was approved, and final judgment in the action has been entered. The court-approved process for class members to submit claims under the settlement closed on October 7, 2013.

In Indiana, plaintiffs filed a motion to certify a class of Indiana *Vioxx* purchasers in a case pending before the Circuit Court of Marion County, Indiana. That case has been dormant for several years. In April 2010, a Kentucky state court denied Merck's motion for summary judgment and certified a class of Kentucky plaintiffs seeking reimbursement for out-of-pocket costs relating to *Vioxx*. The trial court subsequently entered an amended class certification order in January 2011. Merck appealed that order to the Kentucky Court of Appeals and, in February 2012, the Kentucky Court of Appeals reversed the trial court's amended class certification order and remanded the case to the trial court with instructions that the trial court vacate its order certifying the class. The plaintiff petitioned the Kentucky Supreme Court to review the Court of Appeals' order and, in November 2012, the Kentucky Supreme Court granted review. Briefing before the Kentucky Supreme Court is now complete and the court heard oral argument on May 15, 2013.

Merck has also been named as a defendant in lawsuits brought by state Attorneys General of five states — Alaska, Kentucky, Mississippi, Montana and Utah. All of these actions except for the Kentucky action are in the *Vioxx* MDL proceeding. These actions allege that Merck misrepresented the safety of *Vioxx*. These suits seek recovery for expenditures on *Vioxx* by government-funded health care programs, such as Medicaid, and/or penalties for alleged Consumer Fraud Act violations. The parties have tentatively reached an agreement to settle the Kentucky action. On January 10, 2013, Merck finalized a settlement in the action filed by the Pennsylvania Attorney General under which Merck agreed to pay Pennsylvania \$8.25 million in exchange for the dismissal of its lawsuit.

Shareholder Lawsuits

As previously disclosed, in addition to the *Vioxx* Product Liability Lawsuits, various putative class actions and individual lawsuits under federal securities laws and state laws have been filed against Merck and various current and former officers and directors (the "*Vioxx* Securities Lawsuits"). The *Vioxx* Securities Lawsuits are coordinated in a multidistrict litigation in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey before Judge Stanley R. Chesler, and have been consolidated for all purposes. In August 2011, Judge Chesler granted in part and denied in part Merck's motion to dismiss the Fifth Amended Class Action Complaint in the consolidated securities action. Among other things, the claims based on statements made on or after the voluntary withdrawal

of *Vioxx* on September 30, 2004, have been dismissed. In October 2011, defendants answered the Fifth Amended Class Action Complaint. In April 2012, plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification and, on January 30, 2013, Judge Chesler granted that motion. On March 15, 2013, plaintiffs filed a motion for leave to amend their complaint to add certain allegations to expand the class period. On May 29, 2013, the court denied plaintiffs' motion for leave to amend their complaint to expand the class period, but granted plaintiffs' leave to amend their complaint to add certain allegations within the existing class period. On June 30, 2013, plaintiffs filed their Sixth Amended Class Action Complaint. On July 1, 2013, defendants answered the Sixth Amended Class Action Complaint. Fact discovery is now closed; expert discovery is currently proceeding in accordance with the court's scheduling order.

As previously disclosed, several individual securities lawsuits filed by foreign institutional investors also are consolidated with the *Vioxx* Securities Lawsuits. In October 2011, plaintiffs filed amended complaints in each of the pending individual securities lawsuits. Also in October 2011, a new individual securities lawsuit (the "KBC Lawsuit") was filed in the District of New Jersey by several foreign institutional investors; that case is also consolidated with the *Vioxx* Securities Lawsuits. In January 2012, defendants filed motions to dismiss in one of the individual lawsuits (the "ABP Lawsuit"). Briefing on the motions to dismiss was completed in March 2012. In August 2012, Judge Chesler granted in part and denied in part the motions to dismiss the ABP Lawsuit. Among other things, certain alleged misstatements and omissions were dismissed as inactionable and all state law claims were dismissed in full. In September 2012, defendants answered the complaints in all individual actions other than the KBC Lawsuit; on the same day, defendants moved to dismiss the complaint in the KBC Lawsuit on statute of limitations grounds. In December 2012, Judge Chesler denied the motion to dismiss the KBC Lawsuit and, on January 4, 2013, defendants answered the complaint in the KBC Lawsuit. Fact discovery is now closed; expert discovery is currently proceeding in the individual securities lawsuits together with expert discovery in the class action.

Insurance

The Company has Directors and Officers insurance coverage applicable to the *Vioxx* Securities Lawsuits with remaining stated upper limits of approximately \$170 million, which is currently being used to partially fund the Company's legal fees. As a result of the previously disclosed insurance arbitration, additional insurance coverage for these claims should also be available, if needed, under upper-level excess policies that provide coverage for a variety of risks. There are disputes with the insurers about the availability of some or all of the Company's insurance coverage for these claims and there are likely to be additional disputes. The amounts actually recovered under the policies discussed in this paragraph may be less than the stated upper limits.

International Lawsuits

As previously disclosed, in addition to the lawsuits discussed above, Merck has been named as a defendant in litigation relating to *Vioxx* in Brazil, Canada, Europe and Israel (collectively, the "*Vioxx* International Lawsuits"). As previously disclosed, the Company has entered into an agreement to resolve all claims related to *Vioxx* in Canada pursuant to which the Company will pay a minimum of approximately \$21 million but not more than an aggregate maximum of approximately \$36 million. The agreement has been approved by courts in Canada's provinces.

Reserves

The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to the remaining *Vioxx* Product Liability Lawsuits, *Vioxx* Securities Lawsuits and *Vioxx* International Lawsuits (collectively, the "*Vioxx* Lawsuits") and will vigorously defend against them. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of litigation, particularly where there are many claimants and the claimants seek indeterminate damages, the Company is unable to predict the outcome of these matters and, at this time, cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss with respect to the remaining *Vioxx* Lawsuits. The Company has established a reserve with respect to the Canadian settlement, certain other *Vioxx* Product Liability Lawsuits and other immaterial settlements related to certain *Vioxx* International Lawsuits. The Company also has an immaterial remaining reserve relating to the previously disclosed *Vioxx* investigation for the non-participating states with which litigation is continuing. The Company has established no other liability reserves with respect to the *Vioxx* Litigation. Unfavorable outcomes in the *Vioxx* Litigation could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, liquidity and results of operations.

Other Product Liability Litigation

Fosamax

As previously disclosed, Merck is a defendant in product liability lawsuits in the United States involving *Fosamax* (the "*Fosamax* Litigation"). As of September 30, 2013, approximately 5,255 cases, which include approximately 5,535 plaintiff groups, had been filed and were pending against Merck in either federal or state court, including one case which seeks class action certification, as well as damages and/or medical monitoring. In approximately 1,140 of these actions, plaintiffs allege, among other things, that they have suffered osteonecrosis of the jaw ("ONJ"), generally subsequent to invasive dental procedures, such as tooth extraction or dental implants and/or delayed healing, in association with the use of *Fosamax*. In addition, plaintiffs in approximately

4,115 of these actions generally allege that they sustained femur fractures and/or other bone injuries (“Femur Fractures”) in association with the use of *Fosamax*.

Cases Alleging ONJ and/or Other Jaw Related Injuries

In August 2006, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (the “JPML”) ordered that certain *Fosamax* product liability cases pending in federal courts nationwide should be transferred and consolidated into one multidistrict litigation (the “*Fosamax* ONJ MDL”) for coordinated pre-trial proceedings. The *Fosamax* ONJ MDL has been transferred to Judge John Keenan in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. As a result of the JPML order, approximately 860 of the cases are before Judge Keenan. In the first *Fosamax* ONJ MDL trial, *Boles v. Merck*, the *Fosamax* ONJ MDL court declared a mistrial because the eight person jury could not reach a unanimous verdict. The *Boles* case was retried in June 2010 and resulted in a verdict in favor of the plaintiff in the amount of \$8 million. Merck filed post-trial motions seeking judgment as a matter of law or, in the alternative, a new trial. In October 2010, the court denied Merck’s post-trial motions but *sua sponte* ordered a remittitur reducing the verdict to \$1.5 million. Plaintiff rejected the remittitur ordered by the court and requested a new trial on damages. Plaintiff and Merck subsequently entered into a confidential stipulation as to the amount of plaintiff’s damages that enabled Merck to appeal the underlying judgment, and Merck filed its appeal in the *Boles* case in October 2012. Prior to 2013, three other cases were tried to verdict in the *Fosamax* ONJ MDL. Defense verdicts in favor of Merck were returned in each of those three cases. Plaintiffs have filed an appeal in two of the cases – *Graves v. Merck* and *Secrest v. Merck*. On January 30, 2013, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit affirmed the judgment in Merck’s favor in *Secrest*. Plaintiff in the *Secrest* case subsequently filed a petition for a writ of certiorari with the U.S. Supreme Court, but that petition was denied on June 3, 2013.

In February 2011, Judge Keenan ordered that two further bellwether trials be conducted in the *Fosamax* ONJ MDL. *Spano v. Merck* and *Jellema v. Merck* were selected by the court to be tried in 2012, but each case was dismissed by the plaintiffs. In March 2012, the court selected *Scheinberg v. Merck* as the next case to be tried. Trial in the *Scheinberg* case began on January 14, 2013 and, on February 5, 2013, the jury returned a mixed verdict, finding in favor of Merck on plaintiff’s design defect claim, and finding in favor of plaintiff on her failure to warn claim and awarding her \$285 thousand in compensatory damages. Merck’s post-trial motion for judgment as a matter of law in the *Scheinberg* case was denied on July 1, 2013, and the Company has filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

In November 2012, Judge Keenan issued an order requiring plaintiffs who do not allege certain types of specific injuries to provide expert reports in support of their claims. The deadlines for submission of these reports were staggered throughout the first half of 2013, and failure to comply with the order may result in dismissal of a plaintiff’s claim. To date, the claims of approximately 425 plaintiffs subject to the order have been dismissed with prejudice. In August 2013, Judge Keenan denied Merck’s request to extend his order to additional groups of plaintiffs and also decided to start winding down the *Fosamax* ONJ MDL by the remand/transfer of the remaining cases back to their proper venues at a rate of 200 cases per month beginning November 1, 2013. That date was subsequently changed at plaintiffs’ request to December 1, 2013.

In addition, in July 2008, an application was made by the Atlantic County Superior Court of New Jersey requesting that all of the *Fosamax* cases pending in New Jersey be considered for mass tort designation and centralized management before one judge in New Jersey. In October 2008, the New Jersey Supreme Court ordered that all pending and future actions filed in New Jersey arising out of the use of *Fosamax* and seeking damages for existing dental and jaw-related injuries, including ONJ, but not solely seeking medical monitoring, be designated as a mass tort for centralized management purposes before Judge Carol E. Higbee in Atlantic County Superior Court. As of September 30, 2013, approximately 280 ONJ cases were pending against Merck in Atlantic County, New Jersey. In July 2009, Judge Higbee entered a Case Management Order (and various amendments thereto) setting forth a schedule that contemplates completing fact and expert discovery in an initial group of cases to be reviewed for trial. In February 2011, the jury in *Rosenberg v. Merck*, the first trial in the New Jersey coordinated proceeding, returned a verdict in Merck’s favor. In April 2012, the jury in *Sessner v. Merck*, the second case tried in New Jersey, also returned a verdict in Merck’s favor. Plaintiffs have filed an appeal in both cases. On March 25, 2013, the New Jersey Appellate Division affirmed the judgment in Merck’s favor in the *Rosenberg* case.

Discovery is ongoing in the *Fosamax* ONJ MDL litigation, the New Jersey coordinated proceeding, and the remaining jurisdictions where *Fosamax* ONJ cases are pending. The Company intends to defend against these lawsuits.

Cases Alleging Femur Fractures

In March 2011, Merck submitted a Motion to Transfer to the JPML seeking to have all federal cases alleging Femur Fractures consolidated into one multidistrict litigation for coordinated pre-trial proceedings. The Motion to Transfer was granted in May 2011, and all federal cases involving allegations of Femur Fracture have been or will be transferred to a multidistrict litigation in the District of New Jersey (the “*Fosamax* Femur Fracture MDL”). As a result of the JPML order, approximately 1,085 cases were pending in the *Fosamax* Femur Fracture MDL as of September 30, 2013. A Case Management Order was entered requiring the parties to review 40 cases (later reduced to 33 cases). Judge Joel Pisano selected four cases from that group to be

tried as the initial bellwether cases in the *Fosamax* Femur Fracture MDL. The first bellwether case, *Glynn v. Merck*, began on April 8, 2013, and the jury returned a verdict in Merck's favor on April 29, 2013; in addition, on June 27, 2013, Judge Pisano granted Merck's motion for judgment as a matter of law in the *Glynn* case and held that the plaintiff's failure to warn claim was preempted by federal law. Plaintiff *Glynn* did not appeal that ruling and the *Glynn* judgment entered in Merck's favor is now final. The trial dates in the other three cases that were scheduled for bellwether trials (*Zessin v. Merck*, *Young v. Merck*, and *Johnson v. Merck*) were subsequently suspended and, instead, Judge Pisano set a May 5, 2014, trial date for the bellwether trial of a case where the alleged injury took place after January 31, 2011. The case to be tried on May 5, 2014, is expected to be identified in December 2013.

In addition, Judge Pisano entered an order in August 2013 requiring plaintiffs in the *Fosamax* Femur Fracture MDL to show cause why those cases asserting claims for a femur fracture injury that took place prior to September 14, 2010, should not be dismissed based on the court's preemption decision in the *Glynn* case. Plaintiffs filed their responses to the show cause order at the end of September 2013 and Merck filed its reply to those responses on October 30, 2013.

As of September 30, 2013, approximately 2,520 cases alleging Femur Fractures have been filed in New Jersey state court and are pending before Judge Higbee in Atlantic County Superior Court. The parties have selected an initial group of 30 cases to be reviewed through fact discovery. The first trial of the New Jersey state Femur Fracture cases, *Su v. Merck*, began on March 11, 2013, but a mistrial was declared on March 28, 2013, after the plaintiff suffered a serious medical issue unrelated to her use of *Fosamax* that prevented her from proceeding with the trial. The next trial, *Unanski v. Merck*, was set to be tried beginning November 4, 2013, but was continued and is now set for trial, potentially along with one or two other cases (*Love v. Merck* and *Caravello v. Merck*), beginning on March 10, 2014.

As of September 30, 2013, approximately 495 cases alleging Femur Fractures have been filed in California state court. A petition was filed seeking to coordinate all Femur Fracture cases filed in California state court before a single judge in Orange County, California. The petition was granted and Judge Steven Perk is now presiding over the coordinated proceedings. No scheduling order has yet been entered.

Additionally, there are nine Femur Fracture cases pending in other state courts.

Discovery is ongoing in the *Fosamax* Femur Fracture MDL and in state courts where Femur Fracture cases are pending and the Company intends to defend against these lawsuits.

Januvia/Janumet

As previously disclosed, Merck is a defendant in product liability lawsuits in the United States involving *Januvia* and/or *Janumet*. As of September 30, 2013, there were approximately 95 cases, which include approximately 100 plaintiff groups, filed and pending against Merck alleging that use of *Januvia* and/or *Janumet* caused the development of pancreatic cancer. These complaints were filed in several different state and federal courts, with the majority filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California. On April 5, 2013, a law firm representing certain plaintiffs filed a request with the JPML to create a federal MDL for lawsuits alleging pancreatic cancer due to use of the following medicines: *Januvia*, *Janumet*, and *Byetta* and *Victoza*, the latter two of which are products manufactured by other pharmaceutical companies. On August 26, 2013, the JPML granted the MDL request, created the "In re Incretin-Based Therapies Products Liability Litigation" MDL (the "Incretin MDL") in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California, and appointed Judge Anthony Battaglia to preside over the Incretin MDL. In addition to the cases noted above, the Company has agreed, as of September 30, 2013, to toll the statute of limitations until December 1, 2013, for an additional 54 claims. The Company intends to defend against these lawsuits.

NuvaRing

As previously disclosed, beginning in May 2007, a number of complaints were filed in various jurisdictions asserting claims against the Company's subsidiaries Organon USA, Inc., Organon Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Organon International (collectively, "Organon"), and the Company arising from Organon's marketing and sale of *NuvaRing*, a combined hormonal contraceptive vaginal ring. The plaintiffs contend that Organon and Schering-Plough, among other things, failed to adequately design and manufacture *NuvaRing* and failed to adequately warn of the alleged increased risk of venous thromboembolism ("VTE") posed by *NuvaRing*, and/or downplayed the risk of VTE. The plaintiffs seek damages for injuries allegedly sustained from their product use, including some alleged deaths, heart attacks and strokes. The majority of the cases are currently pending in a federal multidistrict litigation (the "*NuvaRing* MDL") venued in Missouri and in a coordinated proceeding in New Jersey state court.

As of September 30, 2013, there were approximately 1,715 *NuvaRing* cases. Of these cases, approximately 1,500 are or will be pending in the *NuvaRing* MDL in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri before Judge Rodney Sippel, and approximately 210 are pending in coordinated proceedings in the Bergen County Superior Court of New Jersey before Judge Brian R. Martinotti. Nine additional cases are pending in various other state courts, including three cases in a coordinated state

proceeding in the San Francisco Superior Court in California before Judge John E. Munter. Certain state court cases are scheduled for trial in 2014.

Pursuant to orders of Judge Sippel in the *NuvaRing* MDL, the parties originally selected a pool of more than 20 cases to prepare for trial and that pool was then narrowed to seven cases from which the first trials in the *NuvaRing* MDL will be selected. Judge Sippel recently denied the Company's motion for summary judgment in the first *NuvaRing* MDL trial which is expected to take place in the second quarter of 2014.

Pursuant to Judge Martinotti's order in the New Jersey proceeding, the parties selected nine trial pool cases to be prepared for trial. The plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed with prejudice two of the trial pool cases while the Company's summary judgment motions were pending. Judge Martinotti granted the Company's motions for summary judgment with respect to each of the remaining seven trial pool cases. Based on this ruling, there was no trial in New Jersey in June 2013 as previously expected. A further trial date has not been set in the remaining cases.

The Company has certain insurance coverage available to it, which is currently being used to partially fund the Company's legal fees. The Company intends to defend against these lawsuits.

Propecia/Proscar

As previously disclosed, Merck is a defendant in product liability lawsuits in the United States involving *Propecia* and/or *Proscar*. As of September 30, 2013, approximately 1,130 lawsuits involving a total of approximately 1,380 plaintiffs (in some instances spouses are joined as plaintiffs in the suits) who allege that they have experienced persistent sexual side effects following cessation of treatment with *Propecia* and/or *Proscar* have been filed against Merck. Approximately 20 of the plaintiffs also allege that *Propecia* or *Proscar* has caused or can cause prostate cancer or male breast cancer. The lawsuits have been filed in various federal courts and in state court in New Jersey. The federal lawsuits have been consolidated for pretrial purposes in a federal MDL before Judge John Gleeson of the Eastern District of New York. The matters pending in state court in New Jersey have been consolidated before Judge Jessica Mayer in Middlesex County. The Company intends to defend against these lawsuits.

Vytorin/Zetia Litigation

As previously disclosed, in April 2008, a Merck shareholder filed a putative class action lawsuit in federal court which was consolidated in the District of New Jersey with another federal securities lawsuit under the caption *In re Merck & Co., Inc. Vytorin Securities Litigation*. An amended consolidated complaint was filed in October 2008. A second amended consolidated complaint was filed in February 2012, and named as defendants Merck; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; MSP Distribution Services (C) LLC; MSP Singapore Company LLC; and certain of the Company's current and former officers and directors. The complaint alleged that Merck delayed releasing unfavorable results of the ENHANCE clinical trial regarding the efficacy of *Vytorin* and that Merck made false and misleading statements about expected earnings, knowing that once the results of the ENHANCE study were released, sales of *Vytorin* would decline and Merck's earnings would suffer. On February 14, 2013, Merck announced that it had reached an agreement in principle with plaintiffs to settle this matter for \$215 million. On June 4, 2013, plaintiffs moved for preliminary approval of the settlement, which the court granted on June 7, 2013. On July 2, 2013, plaintiffs moved for final approval of the settlement. A final fairness hearing was held on October 1, 2013. Following the hearing, the court issued an opinion and order approving the settlement, and entered a final judgment dismissing the case with prejudice. The settlement was reflected in the Company's 2012 financial results as discussed below.

There was a similar consolidated, putative class action securities lawsuit pending in the District of New Jersey, filed by a Schering-Plough shareholder against Schering-Plough and its former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Fred Hassan, under the caption *In re Schering-Plough Corporation/ENHANCE Securities Litigation*. The amended consolidated complaint was filed in September 2008 and named as defendants Schering-Plough; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; certain of the Company's current and former officers and directors; and underwriters who participated in an August 2007 public offering of Schering-Plough's common and preferred stock. On February 14, 2013, Merck announced that it had reached an agreement in principle with plaintiffs to settle this matter for \$473 million. On June 4, 2013, plaintiffs moved for preliminary approval of the settlement, which the court granted on June 7, 2013. On July 2, 2013, plaintiffs moved for final approval of the settlement. A final fairness hearing was held on October 1, 2013. Following the hearing, the court issued an opinion and order approving the settlement, and entered a final judgment dismissing the case with prejudice. This settlement exhausted the remaining Directors and Officers insurance coverage applicable to the *Vytorin* lawsuits brought by the legacy Schering-Plough shareholders. The settlement was reflected in the Company's 2012 financial results and, together with the settlement described in the preceding paragraph, resulted in an aggregate charge of \$493 million after taking into account anticipated insurance recoveries of \$195 million. In the second quarter of 2013, the Company paid \$480 million into a settlement fund. The Company's insurers subsequently paid the remaining \$208 million, which reflects an additional \$13 million of insurance recoveries not previously recognized.

Governmental Proceedings

The Company has received a subpoena from the Office of Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services on behalf of the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Maryland and the Civil Division of the U.S. Department of Justice which requests information relating to the Company's marketing of *Singulair* and *Dulera* and certain of its other marketing activities from January 1, 2006 to the present. The Company is cooperating with the government.

The Company's subsidiaries in China have received and may continue to receive inquiries regarding their operations from various Chinese governmental agencies. Some of these inquiries may be related to matters involving other multinational pharmaceutical companies, as well as Chinese entities doing business with such companies. The Company's policy is to cooperate with these authorities and to provide responses as appropriate.

Commercial Litigation

AWP Litigation

As previously disclosed, the Company and/or certain of its subsidiaries have been named as defendants in cases brought by various states alleging manipulation by pharmaceutical manufacturers of Average Wholesale Prices ("AWP"), which are sometimes used by public and private payors in calculating provider reimbursement levels. The outcome of these lawsuits could include substantial damages, the imposition of substantial fines and penalties and injunctive or administrative remedies.

Since the start of 2012, the Company has settled AWP cases brought by the states of Alabama, Alaska, Kansas, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Mississippi. The Company and/or certain of its subsidiaries continue to be defendants in cases brought by two states, Utah and Wisconsin.

The Company has also been reinstated as a defendant in a putative class action in New Jersey Superior Court which alleges on behalf of third-party payers and individuals that manufacturers inflated drug prices by manipulation of AWP's and other means. This case was originally dismissed against the Company without prejudice in 2007. The Company intends to defend against this lawsuit.

K-DUR Antitrust Litigation

As previously disclosed, in June 1997 and January 1998, Schering-Plough settled patent litigation with Upsher-Smith, Inc. ("Upsher-Smith") and ESI Lederle, Inc. ("Lederle"), respectively, relating to generic versions of K-DUR, Schering-Plough's long-acting potassium chloride product supplement used by cardiac patients, for which Lederle and Upsher-Smith had filed Abbreviated New Drug Applications ("ANDAs"). Following the commencement of an administrative proceeding by the U.S. Federal Trade Commission (the "FTC") in 2001 alleging anti-competitive effects from those settlements (which has been resolved in Schering-Plough's favor), putative class and non-class action suits were filed on behalf of direct and indirect purchasers of K-DUR against Schering-Plough, Upsher-Smith and Lederle and were consolidated in a multi-district litigation in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey. These suits claimed violations of federal and state antitrust laws, as well as other state statutory and common law causes of action, and sought unspecified damages. In April 2008, the indirect purchasers voluntarily dismissed their case. In March 2010, the District Court granted summary judgment to the defendants on the remaining lawsuits and dismissed the matter in its entirety. In July 2012, the Third Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the District Court's grant of summary judgment and remanded the case for further proceedings. At the same time, the Third Circuit upheld a December 2008 decision by the District Court to certify certain direct purchaser plaintiffs' claims as a class action.

In August 2012, the Company filed a petition for certiorari with the U.S. Supreme Court seeking review of the Third Circuit's decision. In June 2013, the Supreme Court granted that petition, vacated the judgment of the Third Circuit, and remanded the case for further consideration in light of its recent decision in *FTC v. Actavis, Inc.* That decision held that whether a so-called "reverse payment" — i.e., a payment from the holder of a pharmaceutical patent to a party challenging the patent made in connection with a settlement of their dispute — violates the antitrust laws should be determined on the basis of a "rule of reason" analysis. In September 2013, the Third Circuit returned the case to the District Court for further proceedings in accordance with the *Actavis* standard.

Coupon Litigation

In 2012, as previously disclosed, a number of private health plans filed separate putative class action lawsuits against the Company alleging that Merck's coupon programs injured health insurers by reducing beneficiary co-payment amounts and, thereby, allegedly causing beneficiaries to purchase higher-priced drugs than they otherwise would have purchased and increasing the insurers' reimbursement costs. The actions, which were assigned to a District Judge in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey, sought damages and injunctive relief barring the Company from issuing coupons that would reduce beneficiary co-pays on behalf of putative nationwide classes of health insurers. Similar actions relating to manufacturer coupon programs have been filed against several other pharmaceutical manufacturers in a variety of federal courts. On April 29, 2013, the District Court

dismissed all the actions against Merck without prejudice on the grounds that plaintiffs had failed to demonstrate their standing to sue. Plaintiffs subsequently filed a consolidated amended complaint, and Merck has filed a motion to dismiss that complaint.

Patent Litigation

From time to time, generic manufacturers of pharmaceutical products file ANDAs with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (the “FDA”) seeking to market generic forms of the Company’s products prior to the expiration of relevant patents owned by the Company. To protect its patent rights, the Company may file patent infringement lawsuits against such generic companies. Certain products of the Company (or products marketed via agreements with other companies) currently involved in such patent infringement litigation in the United States include: AzaSite, *Emend* for Injection, Integrilin, Nexium, and *Zetia*. Similar lawsuits defending the Company’s patent rights may exist in other countries. The Company intends to vigorously defend its patents, which it believes are valid, against infringement by generic companies attempting to market products prior to the expiration of such patents. As with any litigation, there can be no assurance of the outcomes, which, if adverse, could result in significantly shortened periods of exclusivity for these products and, with respect to products acquired through mergers and acquisitions, potentially significant intangible asset impairment charges.

AzaSite — In May 2011, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Sandoz Inc. (“Sandoz”) in respect of Sandoz’s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to market a generic version of AzaSite. A trial in the case commenced in July 2013 and was completed in August 2013. In October 2013, the District Court issued a decision stating that the patents in suit were valid and would be infringed by the product described in Sandoz’s application for its generic azithromycin eye drop formulation. The court also issued an order blocking the FDA from approving Sandoz’s application until after the last of the patents expires on March 31, 2019. Sandoz can appeal this decision.

In June 2013, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Mylan Inc. (collectively, “Mylan”) in respect of Mylan’s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to market a generic version of AzaSite. The lawsuit automatically stays FDA approval of Mylan’s application until October 2015 or until an adverse court decision, if any, whichever may occur earlier.

Emend for Injection — In May 2012, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Sandoz in respect of Sandoz’s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to market a generic version of *Emend* for Injection. The lawsuit automatically stays FDA approval of Sandoz’s application until July 2015 or until an adverse court decision, if any, whichever may occur earlier. In June 2012, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Accord Healthcare, Inc. US, Accord Healthcare, Inc. and Intas Pharmaceuticals Ltd (collectively, “Intas”) in respect of Intas’ application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to market a generic version of *Emend* for Injection. The Company has agreed with Intas to stay the lawsuit pending the outcome of the lawsuit with Sandoz.

Integrilin — In February 2009, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed (jointly with Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) in the United States against Teva Parenteral Medicines, Inc. (“TPM”) in respect of TPM’s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of Integrilin. In October 2011, the parties entered a settlement agreement allowing TPM to sell a generic version of Integrilin beginning June 2, 2015. In November 2012, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed against APP Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Fresenius Kabi USA Inc. (collectively, “APP”) in respect of APP’s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of Integrilin. In March 2013, the parties entered into a settlement agreement allowing APP to sell a generic version of Integrilin beginning June 2, 2015. In September 2013, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed against Ben Venue Laboratories d/b/a/ Bedford Laboratories (“Bedford”) in respect of Bedford’s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of Integrilin. The lawsuit automatically stays FDA approval of Bedford’s application until February 2016 or until an adverse court decision, if any, whichever may occur earlier.

Nexium — Patent infringement lawsuits were brought (jointly with AstraZeneca) in the United States against the following generic companies: Ranbaxy Laboratories Ltd., IVAX Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (later acquired by Teva Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Teva”)), Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories, Sandoz, Lupin Ltd., Hetero Drugs Limited Unit III and Torrent Pharmaceuticals Ltd. in response to each generic company’s application seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of Nexium. Settlements have been reached in each of these lawsuits, the terms of which provide that the respective generic company may bring a generic version of esomeprazole product to market on May 27, 2014. In addition, a patent infringement lawsuit was also filed (jointly with AstraZeneca) in February 2010 in the United States against Sun Pharma Global Fze (“Sun Pharma”) in respect of its application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of Nexium IV, which lawsuit was settled with an agreement which provides that Sun Pharma will be entitled to bring its generic esomeprazole IV product to market in the United States on January 1, 2014. A patent infringement lawsuit was also filed (jointly with AstraZeneca) in the United States against Hanmi USA, Inc. (“Hanmi”) related to its application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a different salt of esomeprazole than is found in Nexium (the “Hanmi Product”). In a May 2013 agreement, Hanmi conceded the validity and enforceability of the patents in the lawsuit. The parties also agreed that the Hanmi Product would not infringe those patents under the District Court’s

December 2012 claim interpretation order, which AstraZeneca and KBI have appealed. Hanmi may decide to launch its esomeprazole product at risk as it has received final FDA approval. Finally, additional patent infringement lawsuits have been filed (jointly with AstraZeneca) in the United States against Mylan Laboratories Limited (“Mylan Labs”) and Actavis, Inc./Watson Pharma Company (collectively, “Actavis/Watson”) related to their applications to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell generic versions of Nexium. The Mylan Labs and Actavis/Watson applications to the FDA remain stayed until August 2014 and October 2015, respectively, or until earlier adverse court decisions, if any, whichever may occur earlier.

Zetia — In March 2007, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Inc., USA and its parent corporation (collectively, “Glenmark”) in respect of Glenmark’s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Zetia*. In May 2010, Glenmark agreed to a settlement by virtue of which Glenmark will be permitted to launch its generic product in the United States on December 12, 2016, subject to receiving final FDA approval. In June 2010, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Mylan in respect of Mylan’s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Zetia*. A trial against Mylan jointly in respect of *Zetia* and *Vytorin* was conducted in December 2011. In April 2012, the court issued a decision finding the patent valid and enforceable. Accordingly, Mylan’s application will not be approvable until April 25, 2017. On February 7, 2013, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit affirmed the lower court decision. In April 2013, the Federal Circuit denied Mylan’s motion for rehearing en banc. Mylan has exhausted all appeals and the decision is now final. In September 2010, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States against Teva in respect of Teva’s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to sell a generic version of *Zetia*. In July 2011, the patent infringement lawsuit was dismissed without any rights granted to Teva. In September 2012, a patent infringement suit was filed in the United States against Sandoz in respect of Sandoz’s application to the FDA seeking pre-patent expiry approval to market a generic version of *Zetia*. In August 2013, an agreement was reached with Sandoz by virtue of which Sandoz is prohibited from selling its generic product in the United States before April 2017, except as permitted under the agreement.

Environmental Litigation

As previously disclosed, approximately 2,200 plaintiffs filed an amended complaint against Merck and 12 other defendants in U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California asserting claims under the Clean Water Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as well as negligence and nuisance. The suit seeks damages for personal injury, diminution of property value, medical monitoring and other alleged real and personal property damage associated with groundwater, surface water and soil contamination found at the site of a former Merck subsidiary in Merced, California. Certain of the other defendants in this suit have settled with plaintiffs regarding some or all aspects of plaintiffs’ claims. This lawsuit is proceeding in a phased manner. A jury trial commenced in February 2011 during which a jury was asked to make certain factual findings regarding whether contamination moved off-site to any areas where plaintiffs could have been exposed to such contamination and, if so, when, where and in what amounts. Defendants in this “Phase 1” trial included Merck and three of the other original 12 defendants. In March 2011, the Phase 1 jury returned a mixed verdict, finding in favor of Merck and the other defendants as to some, but not all, of plaintiffs’ claims. Specifically, the jury found that contamination from the site did not enter or affect plaintiffs’ municipal water supply wells or any private domestic wells. The jury found, however, that plaintiffs could have been exposed to contamination via air emissions prior to 1994, as well as via surface water in the form of storm drainage channeled into an adjacent irrigation canal, including during a flood in April 2006. In response to post-trial motions by Merck and other defendants, on September 7, 2011, the court entered an order setting aside a part of the Phase 1 jury’s findings that had been in favor of plaintiffs. Specifically, the court held that plaintiffs could not have been exposed to any contamination in surface or flood water during the April 2006 flood or, in fact, at any time later than 1991. Merck’s motion for reconsideration of the remainder of the jury’s Phase I verdict that was adverse to Merck was denied. The court has dismissed the claims of 1,083 of the plaintiffs in this action whose claims were precluded by aspects of the Phase I jury findings and the court’s subsequent orders. The parties have reached an agreement intended to resolve the remainder of this litigation, which is subject to sufficient plaintiff participation.

Other Litigation

There are various other pending legal proceedings involving the Company, principally product liability and intellectual property lawsuits. While it is not feasible to predict the outcome of such proceedings, in the opinion of the Company, either the likelihood of loss is remote or any reasonably possible loss associated with the resolution of such proceedings is not expected to be material to the Company’s financial position, results of operations or cash flows either individually or in the aggregate.

Legal Defense Reserves

Legal defense costs expected to be incurred in connection with a loss contingency are accrued when probable and reasonably estimable. Some of the significant factors considered in the review of these legal defense reserves are as follows: the actual costs incurred by the Company; the development of the Company’s legal defense strategy and structure in light of the scope of its litigation; the number of cases being brought against the Company; the costs and outcomes of completed trials and the most

current information regarding anticipated timing, progression, and related costs of pre-trial activities and trials in the associated litigation. The amount of legal defense reserves as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 of approximately \$190 million and \$260 million, respectively, represents the Company's best estimate of the minimum amount of defense costs to be incurred in connection with its outstanding litigation; however, events such as additional trials and other events that could arise in the course of its litigation could affect the ultimate amount of legal defense costs to be incurred by the Company. The Company will continue to monitor its legal defense costs and review the adequacy of the associated reserves and may determine to increase the reserves at any time in the future if, based upon the factors set forth, it believes it would be appropriate to do so.

10. Equity

| (\$ and shares in millions) | Common Stock | | Other Paid-In Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Treasury Stock | | Non-Controlling Interests | Total |
|--|--------------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | Shares | Par Value | | | | Shares | Cost | | |
| Balance January 1, 2012 | 3,577 | \$ 1,788 | \$ 40,663 | \$ 38,990 | \$ (3,132) | 536 | \$ (23,792) | \$ 2,426 | \$ 56,943 |
| Net income attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. | — | — | — | 5,261 | — | — | — | — | 5,261 |
| Cash dividends declared on common stock | — | — | — | (3,861) | — | — | — | — | (3,861) |
| Treasury stock shares purchased | — | — | — | — | — | 36 | (1,439) | — | (1,439) |
| Share-based compensation plans and other | — | — | (192) | — | — | (39) | 1,369 | — | 1,177 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | — | — | — | 92 | — | — | — | 92 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 89 | 89 |
| Distributions attributable to noncontrolling interests | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (50) | (50) |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | 3,577 | \$ 1,788 | \$ 40,471 | \$ 40,390 | \$ (3,040) | 533 | \$ (23,862) | \$ 2,465 | \$ 58,212 |
| Balance January 1, 2013 | 3,577 | \$ 1,788 | \$ 40,646 | \$ 39,985 | \$ (4,682) | 550 | \$ (24,717) | \$ 2,443 | \$ 55,463 |
| Net income attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. | — | — | — | 3,623 | — | — | — | — | 3,623 |
| Cash dividends declared on common stock | — | — | — | (3,835) | — | — | — | — | (3,835) |
| Treasury stock shares purchased | — | — | (500) | — | — | 129 | (5,820) | — | (6,320) |
| Share-based compensation plans and other | — | — | (353) | — | — | (29) | 1,184 | 14 | 845 |
| Other comprehensive loss | — | — | — | — | (16) | — | — | — | (16) |
| Supera joint venture | — | — | 116 | — | — | — | — | 112 | 228 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 79 | 79 |
| Distributions attributable to noncontrolling interests | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (61) | (61) |
| Balance at September 30, 2013 | 3,577 | \$ 1,788 | \$ 39,909 | \$ 39,773 | \$ (4,698) | 650 | \$ (29,353) | \$ 2,587 | \$ 50,006 |

On May 20, 2013, Merck entered into an accelerated share repurchase ("ASR") agreement with Goldman, Sachs & Co. ("Goldman Sachs"). Under the ASR, Merck agreed to purchase approximately \$5 billion of Merck's common stock, in total, with an initial delivery of approximately 99.5 million shares of Merck's common stock, based on current market price, made by Goldman Sachs to Merck, and payment of \$5 billion made by Merck to Goldman Sachs, on May 21, 2013. The payment to Goldman Sachs was recorded as a reduction to shareholders' equity, consisting of a \$4.5 billion increase in treasury stock, which reflected the value of the initial 99.5 million shares received upon execution, and a \$500 million decrease in other-paid-in capital, which reflected the value of the stock held back by Goldman Sachs pending final settlement. Upon settlement of the ASR on October 31, 2013, Merck received an additional 5.5 million shares as determined by the average daily volume weighted-average price of Merck's common stock during the term of the ASR program bringing the total shares received by Merck under this program to 105 million. The receipt of the additional shares will be reflected as an increase to treasury stock and an increase to other-paid-in capital in the fourth quarter of 2013. The ASR was entered into pursuant to a share repurchase program announced on May 1, 2013.

In connection with the 1998 restructuring of Astra Merck Inc., the Company assumed \$2.4 billion par value preferred stock with a dividend rate of 5% per annum, which is carried by KBI and included in *Noncontrolling interests* on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. If AstraZeneca exercises its option to acquire Merck's interest in AZLP (see Note 7), this preferred stock obligation will be retired.

11. Share-Based Compensation Plans

The Company has share-based compensation plans under which the Company grants restricted stock units (“RSUs”) and performance share units (“PSUs”) to certain management level employees. In addition, employees, non-employee directors and employees of certain of the Company’s equity method investees may be granted options to purchase shares of Company common stock at the fair market value at the time of grant.

The following table provides amounts of share-based compensation cost recorded in the Consolidated Statement of Income:

| (\$ in millions) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Pretax share-based compensation expense | \$ 68 | \$ 88 | \$ 210 | \$ 257 |
| Income tax benefit | (21) | (28) | (64) | (81) |
| Total share-based compensation expense, net of taxes | \$ 47 | \$ 60 | \$ 146 | \$ 176 |

During the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, the Company granted 6 million RSUs with a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$45.04 per RSU and 7 million RSUs with a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$39.38 per RSU, respectively.

During the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, the Company granted 6 million options with a weighted-average exercise price of \$45.00 per option and 7 million options with a weighted-average exercise price of \$39.39 per option, respectively. The weighted-average fair value of options granted for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012 was \$6.21 and \$5.47 per option, respectively, and was determined using the following assumptions:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Expected dividend yield | 4.2% | 4.4% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.2% | 1.3% |
| Expected volatility | 25.0% | 25.3% |
| Expected life (years) | 7.0 | 7.0 |

At September 30, 2013, there was \$458 million of total pretax unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested stock options, RSU and PSU awards which will be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.0 years. For segment reporting, share-based compensation costs are unallocated expenses.

12. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

The Company has defined benefit pension plans covering eligible employees in the United States and in certain of its international subsidiaries. The net cost of such plans consisted of the following components:

| (\$ in millions) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Service cost | \$ 167 | \$ 133 | \$ 512 | \$ 416 |
| Interest cost | 166 | 162 | 497 | 494 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (270) | (239) | (817) | (727) |
| Net amortization | 86 | 48 | 252 | 144 |
| Termination benefits | 5 | 4 | 10 | 13 |
| Curtailments | (4) | (4) | (6) | (5) |
| | \$ 150 | \$ 104 | \$ 448 | \$ 335 |

The Company provides medical benefits, principally to its eligible U.S. retirees and similar benefits to their dependents, through its other postretirement benefit plans. The net cost of such plans consisted of the following components:

| (\$ in millions) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Service cost | \$ 28 | \$ 22 | \$ 76 | \$ 64 |
| Interest cost | 25 | 31 | 79 | 93 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (31) | (34) | (94) | (102) |
| Net amortization | (13) | (9) | (37) | (25) |
| Termination benefits | 4 | 5 | 6 | 10 |
| Curtailments | (5) | (2) | (7) | (6) |
| | \$ 8 | \$ 13 | \$ 23 | \$ 34 |

In connection with restructuring actions (see Note 2), termination charges were recorded on pension and other postretirement benefit plans related to expanded eligibility for certain employees exiting Merck. Also, in connection with these restructuring actions, curtailments were recorded on pension and other postretirement benefit plans as reflected in the tables above.

13. Other (Income) Expense, Net

Other (income) expense, net, consisted of:

| (\$ in millions) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Interest income | \$ (67) | \$ (47) | \$ (189) | \$ (177) |
| Interest expense | 215 | 178 | 600 | 524 |
| Exchange losses | 11 | 50 | 278 | 130 |
| Other, net | 13 | 19 | (33) | (31) |
| | \$ 172 | \$ 200 | \$ 656 | \$ 446 |

The increases in interest expense in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 as compared with the same periods in 2012 are driven in part by the issuances of debt in September 2012 and May 2013. The higher exchange losses in the first nine months of 2013 as compared with the same period in 2012 are due primarily to a Venezuelan currency devaluation. In February 2013, the Venezuelan government devalued its currency (Bolívar Fuertes) from 4.30 VEF per U.S. dollar to 6.30 VEF per U.S. dollar. The Company recognized losses due to exchange of approximately \$140 million in the first nine months of 2013 resulting from the remeasurement of the local monetary assets and liabilities at the new rate. Since January 2010, Venezuela has been designated hyperinflationary and, as a result, local foreign operations are remeasured in U.S. dollars with the impact recorded in results of operations.

Interest paid for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$591 million and \$533 million, respectively.

14. Taxes on Income

The effective income tax rates of 24.6% and 14.3% for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively, and 20.5% and 27.8% for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, reflect the impacts of acquisition-related costs and restructuring costs, partially offset by the beneficial impact of foreign earnings. In addition, the effective income tax rates for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 reflect net benefits of \$165 million from the settlements of certain federal income tax issues. The effective income tax rate for the first nine months of 2013 also reflects reductions in tax reserves upon expiration of applicable statute of limitations, the favorable impact of tax legislation enacted in the first quarter of 2013 that extended the R&D tax credit for both 2012 and 2013, as well as a benefit of approximately \$160 million associated with the resolution of a previously disclosed legacy Schering-Plough federal income tax issue as discussed below. The effective tax rates for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 also reflect the favorable impacts of a tax settlement with the Canada Revenue Agency (the "CRA") as discussed below and the realization of foreign tax credits.

In the third quarter of 2013, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") finalized its examination of Schering-Plough's 2007-2009 tax years. The Company's unrecognized tax benefits for the years under examination exceed the adjustments related

to this examination period and therefore the Company recorded a net \$165 million tax provision benefit for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013.

In 2010, the IRS finalized its examination of Schering-Plough's 2003-2006 tax years. In this audit cycle, the Company reached an agreement with the IRS on an adjustment to income related to intercompany pricing matters. This income adjustment mostly reduced net operating loss carryforwards and other tax credit carryforwards. The Company's reserves for uncertain tax positions were adequate to cover all adjustments related to this examination period. Additionally, as previously disclosed, the Company was seeking resolution of one issue raised during this examination through the IRS administrative appeals process. In the first quarter of 2013, the Company recorded an out-of-period net tax benefit of \$160 million related to this issue, which was settled in the fourth quarter of 2012, with final resolution relating to interest owed being reached in the first quarter of 2013. The Company's unrecognized tax benefits related to this issue exceeded the settlement amount. Management has concluded that the exclusion of this benefit is not material to prior period financial statements or projected current year financial results.

As previously disclosed, the CRA had proposed adjustments for 1999 and 2000 relating to intercompany pricing matters and, in July 2011, the CRA issued assessments for other miscellaneous audit issues for tax years 2001-2004. In the third quarter of 2012, Merck and the CRA reached a settlement for these years that calls for Merck to pay additional Canadian tax of approximately \$65 million. The Company's unrecognized tax benefits related to these matters exceeded the settlement amount and, therefore, the Company recorded a net \$112 million tax provision benefit in the third quarter of 2012. A portion of the taxes paid is expected to be creditable for U.S. tax purposes. The Company had previously established reserves for these matters. The resolution of these matters did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

15. Earnings Per Share

Prior to 2013, the Company calculated earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method under which all earnings (distributed and undistributed) are allocated to common shares and participating securities based on their respective rights to receive dividends. RSUs and certain PSUs granted before December 31, 2009 (which generally have a three year vesting period) to certain management level employees met the definition of participating securities. RSUs and PSUs issued on or after January 1, 2010, do not meet the definition of participating securities; therefore, beginning in 2013 the Company no longer applies the two-class method.

The calculations of earnings per share are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| <i>(\$ and shares in millions except per share amounts)</i> | | | | |
| Basic Earnings per Common Share | | | | |
| Net income attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. | \$ 1,124 | \$ 1,729 | \$ 3,623 | \$ 5,261 |
| Less: Income allocated to participating securities | — | — | — | 4 |
| Net income allocated to common shareholders | \$ 1,124 | \$ 1,729 | \$ 3,623 | \$ 5,257 |
| Average common shares outstanding | 2,927 | 3,045 | 2,975 | 3,043 |
| | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.57 | \$ 1.22 | \$ 1.73 |
| Earnings per Common Share Assuming Dilution | | | | |
| Net income attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. | \$ 1,124 | \$ 1,729 | \$ 3,623 | \$ 5,261 |
| Less: Income allocated to participating securities | — | — | — | 4 |
| Net income allocated to common shareholders | \$ 1,124 | \$ 1,729 | \$ 3,623 | \$ 5,257 |
| Average common shares outstanding | 2,927 | 3,045 | 2,975 | 3,043 |
| Common shares issuable ⁽¹⁾ | 33 | 34 | 32 | 34 |
| Average common shares outstanding assuming dilution | 2,960 | 3,079 | 3,007 | 3,077 |
| | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.56 | \$ 1.20 | \$ 1.71 |

⁽¹⁾ Issuable primarily under share-based compensation plans.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, 23 million and 97 million, respectively, and for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, 29 million and 111 million, respectively, of common shares issuable under share-based compensation plans were excluded from the computation of earnings per common share assuming dilution because the effect would have been antidilutive.

16. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

In the first quarter of 2013, the Company prospectively adopted guidance issued by the FASB that requires additional disclosure related to the impact of reclassification adjustments out of *AOCI* on net income. Changes in *AOCI* by component are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Derivatives | Investments | Employee Benefit Plans | Cumulative Translation Adjustment | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) |
| Balance July 1, 2012, net of taxes | \$ 48 | \$ 51 | \$ (2,328) | \$ (897) | \$ (3,126) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes | (143) | 32 | 27 | 170 | 86 |
| Balance September 30, 2012, net of taxes | \$ (95) | \$ 83 | \$ (2,301) | \$ (727) | \$ (3,040) |
| Balance July 1, 2013, net of taxes | \$ 174 | \$ (7) | \$ (3,455) | \$ (1,472) | \$ (4,760) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassification adjustments, pretax | (165) | 55 | (7) | 74 | (43) |
| Tax | 63 | (8) | — | (2) | 53 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassification adjustments, net of taxes | (102) | 47 | (7) | 72 | 10 |
| Reclassification adjustments, pretax | — | (9) | 73 | — | 64 |
| Tax | — | 5 | (17) | — | (12) |
| Reclassification adjustments, net of taxes | — ⁽¹⁾ | (4) ⁽²⁾ | 56 ⁽³⁾ | — | 52 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes | (102) | 43 | 49 | 72 | 62 |
| Balance September 30, 2013, net of taxes | \$ 72 | \$ 36 | \$ (3,406) | \$ (1,400) | \$ (4,698) |

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Derivatives | Investments | Employee Benefit Plans | Cumulative Translation Adjustment | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) |
| Balance January 1, 2012, net of taxes | \$ 4 | \$ 21 | \$ (2,346) | \$ (811) | \$ (3,132) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes | (99) | 62 | 45 | 84 | 92 |
| Balance September 30, 2012, net of taxes | \$ (95) | \$ 83 | \$ (2,301) | \$ (727) | \$ (3,040) |
| Balance January 1, 2013, net of taxes | \$ (97) | \$ 73 | \$ (3,667) | \$ (991) | \$ (4,682) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassification adjustments, pretax | 248 | 11 | 137 | (304) | 92 |
| Tax | (100) | (16) | (30) | (105) | (251) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassification adjustments, net of taxes | 148 | (5) | 107 | (409) | (159) |
| Reclassification adjustments, pretax | 33 | (43) | 215 | — | 205 |
| Tax | (12) | 11 | (61) | — | (62) |
| Reclassification adjustments, net of taxes | 21 ⁽¹⁾ | (32) ⁽²⁾ | 154 ⁽³⁾ | — | 143 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes | 169 | (37) | 261 | (409) | (16) |
| Balance September 30, 2013, net of taxes | \$ 72 | \$ 36 | \$ (3,406) | \$ (1,400) | \$ (4,698) |

⁽¹⁾ Relates to foreign currency cash flow hedges that were reclassified from AOCI to Sales.

⁽²⁾ Represents net realized gains on the sales of available-for-sale investments that were reclassified from AOCI to Other (income) expense, net.

⁽³⁾ Includes net amortization of prior service cost and actuarial gains and losses included in net periodic benefit cost (see note 12).

17. Segment Reporting

The Company's operations are principally managed on a products basis and are comprised of four operating segments – Pharmaceutical, Animal Health, Consumer Care and Alliances (which includes revenue and equity income from the Company's relationship with AZLP). The Animal Health, Consumer Care and Alliances segments are not material for separate reporting. The Pharmaceutical segment includes human health pharmaceutical and vaccine products marketed either directly by the Company or through joint ventures. Human health pharmaceutical products consist of therapeutic and preventive agents, generally sold by prescription, for the treatment of human disorders. The Company sells these human health pharmaceutical products primarily to drug wholesalers and retailers, hospitals, government agencies and managed health care providers such as health maintenance organizations, pharmacy benefit managers and other institutions. Vaccine products consist of preventive pediatric, adolescent and adult vaccines, primarily administered at physician offices. The Company sells these human health vaccines primarily to physicians, wholesalers, physician distributors and government entities. A large component of pediatric and adolescent vaccines is sold to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Vaccines for Children program, which is funded by the U.S. government. Additionally, the Company sells vaccines to the Federal government for placement into vaccine stockpiles. The Company also has animal health operations that discover, develop, manufacture and market animal health products, including vaccines, which the Company sells to veterinarians, distributors and animal producers. Additionally, the Company has consumer care operations that develop, manufacture and market over-the-counter, foot care and sun care products, which are sold through wholesale and retail drug, food chain and mass merchandiser outlets, as well as club stores and specialty channels.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

Sales of the Company's products were as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Primary Care and Women's Health | | | | |
| <i>Cardiovascular</i> | | | | |
| Zetia | \$ 662 | \$ 645 | \$ 1,941 | \$ 1,891 |
| Vytorin | 396 | 423 | 1,207 | 1,312 |
| <i>Diabetes and Obesity</i> | | | | |
| Januvia | 927 | 975 | 2,883 | 2,952 |
| Janumet | 442 | 405 | 1,325 | 1,207 |
| <i>Respiratory</i> | | | | |
| Nasonex | 297 | 292 | 1,008 | 960 |
| Singulair | 280 | 602 | 898 | 3,373 |
| Dulera | 82 | 52 | 229 | 140 |
| Asmanex | 43 | 42 | 133 | 141 |
| <i>Women's Health and Endocrine</i> | | | | |
| NuvaRing | 170 | 156 | 492 | 459 |
| Fosamax | 140 | 152 | 421 | 522 |
| Follistim AQ | 124 | 111 | 380 | 352 |
| Implanon | 96 | 93 | 282 | 254 |
| Cerazette | 51 | 64 | 159 | 202 |
| <i>Other</i> | | | | |
| Arcoxia | 112 | 109 | 354 | 338 |
| Avelox | 38 | 30 | 102 | 146 |
| Hospital and Specialty | | | | |
| <i>Immunology</i> | | | | |
| Remicade | 574 | 490 | 1,651 | 1,527 |
| Simponi | 126 | 86 | 354 | 236 |
| <i>Infectious Disease</i> | | | | |
| Isentress | 427 | 399 | 1,201 | 1,133 |
| Cancidas | 151 | 163 | 477 | 474 |
| PegIntron | 104 | 165 | 372 | 510 |
| Invanz | 130 | 118 | 360 | 329 |
| Victralis | 121 | 149 | 347 | 387 |
| Noxafil | 75 | 66 | 212 | 191 |
| <i>Oncology</i> | | | | |
| Temodar | 162 | 227 | 596 | 688 |
| Emend | 123 | 111 | 373 | 358 |
| <i>Other</i> | | | | |
| Cosopt/Trusopt | 104 | 102 | 313 | 331 |
| Bridion | 75 | 68 | 206 | 186 |
| Integrilin | 45 | 48 | 140 | 160 |
| Diversified Brands | | | | |
| Cozaar/Hyzaar | 238 | 295 | 760 | 969 |
| Primaxin | 88 | 109 | 256 | 301 |
| Zocor | 65 | 86 | 221 | 285 |
| Propecia | 71 | 104 | 206 | 312 |
| Clarinox | 54 | 64 | 180 | 337 |
| Claritin Rx | 36 | 47 | 151 | 181 |
| Remeron | 44 | 52 | 150 | 175 |
| Proscar | 38 | 55 | 136 | 160 |
| Maxalt | 40 | 166 | 124 | 476 |
| Vaccines ⁽¹⁾ | | | | |
| Gardasil | 665 | 581 | 1,438 | 1,189 |
| ProQuad/M-M-R II/Varivax | 421 | 396 | 1,032 | 967 |
| RotaTeq | 201 | 150 | 507 | 433 |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Zostavax | 185 | 202 | 494 | 426 |
| Pneumovax 23 | 193 | 160 | 412 | 372 |
| Other pharmaceutical ⁽²⁾ | 1,059 | 1,065 | 3,194 | 3,175 |
| Total Pharmaceutical segment sales | 9,475 | 9,875 | 27,677 | 30,517 |
| Other segment sales ⁽³⁾ | 1,501 | 1,556 | 4,844 | 4,830 |
| Total segment sales | 10,976 | 11,431 | 32,521 | 35,347 |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 56 | 57 | 192 | 183 |
| | \$ 11,032 | \$ 11,488 | \$ 32,713 | \$ 35,530 |

⁽¹⁾ These amounts do not reflect sales of vaccines sold in most major European markets through the Company's joint venture, Sanofi Pasteur MSD, the results of which are reflected in Equity income from affiliates. These amounts do, however, reflect supply sales to Sanofi Pasteur MSD.

⁽²⁾ Other pharmaceutical primarily reflects sales of other human health pharmaceutical products, including products within the franchises not listed separately .

⁽³⁾ Represents the non-reportable segments of Animal Health, Consumer Care and Alliances. The Alliances segment includes revenue from the Company's relationship with AZLP.

⁽⁴⁾ Other revenues are primarily comprised of miscellaneous corporate revenues, third-party manufacturing sales, sales related to divested products or businesses and supply sales not included in segment results.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

A reconciliation of segment profits to *Income before taxes* is as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Segment profits: | | | | |
| Pharmaceutical segment | \$ 5,983 | \$ 6,265 | \$ 17,022 | \$ 19,767 |
| Other segments | 750 | 819 | 2,445 | 2,397 |
| Total segment profits | 6,733 | 7,084 | 19,467 | 22,164 |
| Other profits (losses) | (6) | (4) | (24) | (32) |
| Unallocated: | | | | |
| Interest income | 67 | 47 | 189 | 177 |
| Interest expense | (215) | (178) | (600) | (524) |
| Equity income from affiliates | (67) | (5) | (82) | (14) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (512) | (477) | (1,449) | (1,593) |
| Research and development | (1,437) | (1,689) | (5,004) | (5,263) |
| Amortization of purchase accounting adjustments | (1,176) | (1,232) | (3,545) | (3,687) |
| Restructuring costs | (870) | (110) | (1,144) | (473) |
| Other unallocated, net | (992) | (1,218) | (3,488) | (3,350) |
| | \$ 1,525 | \$ 2,218 | \$ 4,320 | \$ 7,405 |

Segment profits are comprised of segment sales less standard costs and certain operating expenses directly incurred by the segments. For internal management reporting presented to the chief operating decision maker, Merck does not allocate materials and production costs, other than standard costs, the majority of research and development expenses or general and administrative expenses, nor the cost of financing these activities. Separate divisions maintain responsibility for monitoring and managing these costs, including depreciation related to fixed assets utilized by these divisions and, therefore, they are not included in segment profits. In addition, costs related to restructuring activities, as well as the amortization of purchase accounting adjustments are not allocated to segments.

Other profits (losses) are primarily comprised of miscellaneous corporate profits (losses), as well as operating profits (losses) related to third-party manufacturing sales, divested products or businesses and other supply sales.

Other unallocated, net includes expenses from corporate and manufacturing cost centers, product intangible asset impairment charges, gains or losses on sales of businesses and other miscellaneous income or expense items.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Loss of Market Exclusivity

The patents that provided market exclusivity for *Singulair* (montelukast sodium) in a number of major European markets expired in February 2013. The patent that provided U.S. market exclusivity for *Singulair* expired in August 2012. In addition, the patent that provided U.S. market exclusivity for *Maxalt* (rizatriptan benzoate) expired in December 2012 and the Company lost U.S. market exclusivity for *Propecia* (finasteride) in January 2013 and *Temodar* (temozolomide) in August 2013. The Company experienced a significant and rapid decline in sales of these products in those markets following loss of market exclusivity.

Share Repurchase Program

On May 1, 2013, Merck announced that its board of directors had authorized additional purchases of up to \$15 billion of Merck's common stock for its treasury. The Company expects to repurchase approximately \$7.5 billion of common stock within 12 months following the date of the announcement, financed through a combination of debt issuance and operating cash flows, with the remainder to be repurchased over time with no time limit. Purchases may be made in open-market transactions, block transactions on or off an exchange, or in privately negotiated transactions. On May 20, 2013, Merck entered into an accelerated share repurchase ("ASR") agreement with Goldman, Sachs & Co. ("Goldman Sachs"). Under the ASR, Merck agreed to purchase approximately \$5 billion of Merck's common stock, in total, with an initial delivery of approximately 99.5 million shares of Merck's common stock made by Goldman Sachs to Merck, and payment of \$5 billion made by Merck to Goldman Sachs, on May 21, 2013. Merck received an additional 5.5 million shares from Goldman Sachs upon closing of the transaction on October 31, 2013 bringing the total shares received by Merck under this program to 105 million (see "Liquidity and Capital Resources" below).

2013 Restructuring Program

In October 2013, the Company announced a new global restructuring program (the "2013 Restructuring Program") as part of a global initiative to sharpen its commercial and research and development focus. As part of the new program, the Company expects to reduce its total workforce by approximately 8,500 positions. These workforce reductions will primarily come from the elimination of positions in sales, administrative and headquarters organizations, as well as research and development. The Company will also reduce its global real estate footprint and continue to improve the efficiency of its manufacturing and supply network. The Company recorded total pretax restructuring costs of \$544 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 related to this program. The actions under the 2013 Restructuring Program are expected to be substantially completed by the end of 2015 with the cumulative pretax costs estimated to be approximately \$2.5 billion to \$3.0 billion. The Company expects the actions under the 2013 Restructuring Program to result in annual net cost savings of approximately \$2.0 billion by the end of 2015. The Company anticipates that the actions under the 2013 Restructuring Program, combined with remaining actions under the Merger Restructuring Program (discussed below), will result in annual net cost savings of \$2.5 billion by the end of 2015 compared with full-year 2012 expense levels.

Operating Results

Sales

Worldwide sales were \$11.0 billion for the third quarter of 2013, a decline of 4% compared with the third quarter of 2012. The third quarter sales decline was driven primarily by lower sales of *Singulair*. As noted above, the patents that provided U.S. market exclusivity and market exclusivity in a number of major European markets for *Singulair* expired in August 2012 and February 2013, respectively, and the Company experienced a significant and rapid decline in *Singulair* sales in those markets thereafter. Foreign exchange unfavorably affected global sales performance by 2% in the third quarter of 2013. The revenue decline in the third quarter of 2013 also reflects lower sales of *Maxalt*, *Varivax* (Varicella Virus Vaccine Live), *Temodar*, *PegIntron* (peginterferon alpha-2b), *Cozaar* (losartan potassium)/*Hyzaar* (losartan potassium and hydrochlorothiazide), and *Januvia* (sitagliptin). These declines were partially offset by growth in *ProQuad* (Measles, Mumps, Rubella and Varicella Virus Vaccine Live), *Remicade* (infliximab), *Gardasil* [human papillomavirus quadrivalent (types 6, 11, 16 and 18) vaccine, recombinant], *RotaTeq* (Rotavirus Vaccine, Live Oral, Pentavalent) and *Simponi* (golimumab).

Global sales for the first nine months of 2013 were \$32.7 billion, a decrease of 8% compared with the same period in 2012. Foreign exchange unfavorably affected global sales performance by 2% in the first nine months of 2013. The sales decline in the first nine months of 2013 was driven primarily by lower sales of *Singulair*, *Maxalt*, *Cozaar/Hyzaar*, *Clarinex* (desloratadine), *PegIntron*, *Varivax*, *Propecia*, *Vytorin* (ezetimibe/simvastatin), *Fosamax* (alendronate sodium) and *Temodar*. These declines were partially offset by higher sales of *Gardasil*, *ProQuad*, *Remicade*, *Simponi*, *Janumet* (sitagliptin metformin HCl), *Dulera* (mometasone furoate/formoterol fumarate dihydrate) Inhalation Aerosol and *RotaTeq*.

Global efforts toward health care cost containment continue to exert pressure on product pricing and market access worldwide. In many international markets, government-mandated pricing actions have reduced prices of generic and patented drugs. These and other austerity measures negatively affected the Company's revenue performance in the first nine months of 2013 and the Company anticipates these measures will continue to negatively affect revenue performance for the remainder of 2013.

As discussed in Note 2 to the interim consolidated financial statements, on October 1, 2013, the Company sold its active pharmaceutical ingredient ("API") manufacturing business, including the related manufacturing facility, in the Netherlands to Aspen Holdings ("Aspen"). The annual sales associated with the API business were approximately \$200 million. In connection with this sale, Aspen will also acquire certain products within Diversified Brands, which will transfer to Aspen effective December 31, 2013. The annual sales associated with the branded products to be divested are approximately \$230 million.

Sales of the Company's products were as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Primary Care and Women's Health | | | | |
| <i>Cardiovascular</i> | | | | |
| Zetia | \$ 662 | \$ 645 | \$ 1,941 | \$ 1,891 |
| Vytorin | 396 | 423 | 1,207 | 1,312 |
| <i>Diabetes and Obesity</i> | | | | |
| Januvia | 927 | 975 | 2,883 | 2,952 |
| Janumet | 442 | 405 | 1,325 | 1,207 |
| <i>Respiratory</i> | | | | |
| Nasonex | 297 | 292 | 1,008 | 960 |
| Singulair | 280 | 602 | 898 | 3,373 |
| Dulera | 82 | 52 | 229 | 140 |
| Asmanex | 43 | 42 | 133 | 141 |
| <i>Women's Health and Endocrine</i> | | | | |
| NuvaRing | 170 | 156 | 492 | 459 |
| Fosamax | 140 | 152 | 421 | 522 |
| Follistim AQ | 124 | 111 | 380 | 352 |
| Implanon | 96 | 93 | 282 | 254 |
| Cerazette | 51 | 64 | 159 | 202 |
| <i>Other</i> | | | | |
| Arcoxia | 112 | 109 | 354 | 338 |
| Avelox | 38 | 30 | 102 | 146 |
| Hospital and Specialty | | | | |
| <i>Immunology</i> | | | | |
| Remicade | 574 | 490 | 1,651 | 1,527 |
| Simponi | 126 | 86 | 354 | 236 |
| <i>Infectious Disease</i> | | | | |
| Isentress | 427 | 399 | 1,201 | 1,133 |
| Cancidas | 151 | 163 | 477 | 474 |
| PegIntron | 104 | 165 | 372 | 510 |
| Invanz | 130 | 118 | 360 | 329 |
| Victralis | 121 | 149 | 347 | 387 |
| Noxafil | 75 | 66 | 212 | 191 |
| <i>Oncology</i> | | | | |
| Temodar | 162 | 227 | 596 | 688 |
| Emend | 123 | 111 | 373 | 358 |
| <i>Other</i> | | | | |
| Cosopt/Trusopt | 104 | 102 | 313 | 331 |
| Bridion | 75 | 68 | 206 | 186 |
| Integrilin | 45 | 48 | 140 | 160 |
| Diversified Brands | | | | |
| Cozaar/Hyzaar | 238 | 295 | 760 | 969 |
| Primaxin | 88 | 109 | 256 | 301 |
| Zocor | 65 | 86 | 221 | 285 |
| Propecia | 71 | 104 | 206 | 312 |
| Clarinox | 54 | 64 | 180 | 337 |
| Claritin Rx | 36 | 47 | 151 | 181 |
| Remeron | 44 | 52 | 150 | 175 |
| Proscar | 38 | 55 | 136 | 160 |
| Maxalt | 40 | 166 | 124 | 476 |
| Vaccines ⁽¹⁾ | | | | |
| Gardasil | 665 | 581 | 1,438 | 1,189 |
| ProQuad/M-M-R II/Varivax | 421 | 396 | 1,032 | 967 |
| RotaTeq | 201 | 150 | 507 | 433 |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Zostavax | 185 | 202 | 494 | 426 |
| Pneumovax 23 | 193 | 160 | 412 | 372 |
| Other pharmaceutical ⁽²⁾ | 1,059 | 1,065 | 3,194 | 3,175 |
| Total Pharmaceutical segment sales | 9,475 | 9,875 | 27,677 | 30,517 |
| Other segment sales ⁽³⁾ | 1,501 | 1,556 | 4,844 | 4,830 |
| Total segment sales | 10,976 | 11,431 | 32,521 | 35,347 |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 56 | 57 | 192 | 183 |
| | \$ 11,032 | \$ 11,488 | \$ 32,713 | \$ 35,530 |

⁽¹⁾ These amounts do not reflect sales of vaccines sold in most major European markets through the Company's joint venture, Sanofi Pasteur MSD, the results of which are reflected in Equity income from affiliates. These amounts do, however, reflect supply sales to Sanofi Pasteur MSD.

⁽²⁾ Other pharmaceutical primarily reflects sales of other human health pharmaceutical products, including products within the franchises not listed separately.

⁽³⁾ Represents the non-reportable segments of Animal Health, Consumer Care and Alliances. The Alliances segment includes revenue from the Company's relationship with AZLP.

⁽⁴⁾ Other revenues are primarily comprised of miscellaneous corporate revenues, third-party manufacturing sales, sales related to divested products or businesses and supply sales not included in segment results.

The provision for discounts includes indirect customer discounts that occur when a contracted customer purchases directly through an intermediary wholesale purchaser, known as chargebacks, as well as indirectly in the form of rebates owed based upon definitive contractual agreements or legal requirements with private sector and public sector (Medicaid and Medicare Part D) benefit providers, after the final dispensing of the product by a pharmacy to a benefit plan participant. These discounts, in the aggregate, reduced sales by \$1.3 billion for both the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and by \$3.9 billion and \$4.3 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Inventory levels at key U.S. wholesalers for each of the Company's major pharmaceutical products are generally less than one month.

Pharmaceutical Segment

Primary Care and Women's Health

Cardiovascular

Worldwide sales of *Zetia* (ezetimibe) (marketed as *Ezetrol* outside the United States), a cholesterol absorption inhibitor, were \$662 million in the third quarter of 2013 and \$1.9 billion for the first nine months of 2013, representing increases of 3% compared with the same periods of 2012. Foreign exchange unfavorably affected global sales performance by 2% in both the third quarter and first nine months of 2013. The sales increases primarily reflect volume growth in the United States and Japan, partially offset by the unfavorable effect of foreign exchange particularly in Japan. In the first nine months of 2013, volume growth in the emerging markets also contributed to the sales increase.

Global sales of *Vytorin* (marketed outside the United States as *Inegy*), a combination product containing the active ingredients of both *Zetia* and *Zocor* (simvastatin), were \$396 million and \$1.2 billion in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively, representing declines of 6% and 8%, respectively, compared with the same periods in 2012. The sales declines primarily reflect lower volumes in the United States and Latin America, partially offset by volume growth in the Asia Pacific region.

Diabetes and Obesity

Global sales of *Januvia*, Merck's dipeptidyl peptidase-4 ("DPP-4") inhibitor for the treatment of type 2 diabetes, were \$927 million in the third quarter of 2013, a decrease of 5% compared with the third quarter of 2012 including a 4% unfavorable effect from foreign exchange. Excluding the negative effect from foreign exchange, sales performance in the third quarter of 2013 as compared with the third quarter of 2012 reflects lower sales in the United States driven primarily by lower customer inventory levels, as well as lower demand, partially offset by volume growth in Japan, Europe and the emerging markets. Worldwide sales of *Januvia* were \$2.9 billion in the first nine months of 2013, a decline of 2% compared with the same period of 2012 including a 4% unfavorable effect from foreign exchange. Excluding the negative effect from foreign exchange, sales in the first nine months of 2013 as compared with the first nine months of 2012 reflect volume growth in Japan, certain emerging markets and Europe, partially offset by volume declines in the United States.

Worldwide sales of *Janumet*, Merck's oral antihyperglycemic agent that combines sitagliptin (*Januvia*) with metformin in a single tablet to target all three key defects of type 2 diabetes, were \$442 million for the third quarter of 2013 and \$1.3 billion for the first nine months of 2013, representing increases of 9% and 10%, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2012, reflecting volume growth internationally and, for the first nine months of 2013, also in the United States.

Respiratory

Global sales of *Nasonex* (mometasone furoate monohydrate), an inhaled nasal corticosteroid for the treatment of nasal allergy symptoms, increased 2% in the third quarter of 2013 to \$297 million and 5% in the first nine months of 2013 to \$1.0 billion driven primarily by increases in the United States, reflecting net favorable adjustments to indirect customer discounts, as well as volume growth in Japan for the year-to-date period, partially offset by declines in Latin America. Foreign exchange unfavorably affected global sales performance by 2% and 3% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively. In 2009, Apotex Inc. and Apotex Corp. (collectively, "Apotex") filed an application with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (the "FDA") seeking approval to sell its generic version of *Nasonex*. In June 2012, the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey ruled against the Company in a patent infringement suit against Apotex holding that Apotex's generic version of *Nasonex* does not infringe on the Company's formulation patent. In June 2013, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued a decision affirming the U.S. District Court decision and the Company has exhausted all of its appeal options. If generic versions become available, significant losses of *Nasonex* sales could occur and the Company may take a non-cash impairment charge with respect to the value of the *Nasonex* intangible asset, which had a carrying value of approximately \$1.4 billion at September 30, 2013. If the *Nasonex* intangible asset is determined to be impaired, the impairment charge could be material. U.S. sales of *Nasonex* were \$597 million for the full year of 2012.

Worldwide sales of *Singulair*, a once-a-day oral medicine for the chronic treatment of asthma and for the relief of symptoms of allergic rhinitis, declined 53% in the third quarter of 2013 to \$280 million and fell 73% in the first nine months of 2013 to \$898 million compared with the same periods of 2012, driven primarily by lower sales in United States, as well as in Europe. The patent

that provided U.S. market exclusivity for *Singulair* expired in August 2012 and the Company has lost nearly all sales of *Singulair* in the United States. In addition, the patents that provided market exclusivity for *Singulair* expired in a number of major European markets in February 2013 and the Company is experiencing a significant and rapid decline in *Singulair* sales in those markets following the patent expiries and expects the decline to continue.

Global sales of *Dulera* Inhalation Aerosol, a combination medicine for the treatment of asthma, were \$82 million in the third quarter of 2013 compared with \$52 million in the third quarter of 2012 and were \$229 million in the first nine months of 2013 compared with \$140 million for the first nine months of 2012. The sales increases reflect higher demand in the United States. In January 2012, Merck received a Complete Response Letter (“CRL”) from the FDA on the Company’s supplemental New Drug Application for *Dulera* Inhalation Aerosol for the treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The Company has determined not to conduct an additional clinical study and will no longer pursue an update to the application in the future.

Women’s Health and Endocrine

Worldwide sales of *NuvaRing* (etonogestrel/ethinyl estradiol vaginal ring), a vaginal contraceptive product, increased 9% in the third quarter of 2013 to \$170 million and grew 7% in the first nine months of 2013 to \$492 million compared with the same periods in 2012 primarily reflecting volume growth in the United States.

Worldwide sales of *Fosamax* (marketed as *Fosamac* in Japan) and *Fosamax Plus D* (alendronate sodium/cholecalciferol) (marketed as *Fosavance* throughout the European Union (the “EU”)) for the treatment and, in the case of *Fosamax*, prevention of osteoporosis declined 8% in the third quarter of 2013 to \$140 million compared with the third quarter of 2012 driven primarily by lower sales in the emerging markets. Global sales of *Fosamax* decreased 19% in the first nine months of 2013 to \$421 million compared with the same period of 2012 driven by declines in all regions. These medicines have lost market exclusivity in the United States and in most major international markets. The Company expects the sales declines within the *Fosamax* product franchise to continue.

Global sales of *Follistim AQ* (follitropin beta injection) (marketed in most countries outside the United States as *Puregon*), a biological fertility treatment, grew 12% in the third quarter of 2013 to \$124 million and increased 8% in the first nine months of 2013 to \$380 million compared with the same periods in 2012 driven largely by positive performance in the United States. *Puregon* lost market exclusivity in the EU in August 2009.

The Company continues to experience difficulty manufacturing certain women’s health products. The Company is working to resolve these issues, which were not material to the Company’s results of operations.

Other

Other products included in Primary Care and Women’s Health include among others, *Asmanex Twisthaler* (mometasone furoate inhalation powder), an inhaled corticosteroid for asthma; *Implanon* (etonogestrel implant), a single-rod subdermal contraceptive implant; *Cerazette* (desogestrol), a progestin only oral contraceptive; *Arcoxia* (etoricoxib) for the treatment of arthritis and pain; and *Avelox* (moxifloxacin hydrochloride), a broad-spectrum fluoroquinolone antibiotic for the treatment of certain respiratory and skin infections marketed by the Company in the United States. The patent that provides U.S. market exclusivity for *Avelox* expires in March 2014; however, by agreement, a generic manufacturer may launch a generic version of *Avelox* in February 2014.

Hospital and Specialty

Immunology

Sales of *Remicade*, a treatment for inflammatory diseases (marketed by the Company in Europe, Russia and Turkey), grew 17% to \$574 million for the third quarter of 2013 compared with the third quarter of 2012 and increased 8% to \$1.7 billion for the first nine months of 2013 compared with the same period in 2012. Foreign exchange favorably affected sales performance by 5% and 1% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively. Sales growth in both periods reflects volume growth in Europe, as well as Russia.

Sales of *Simponi*, a once-monthly subcutaneous treatment for certain inflammatory diseases (marketed by the Company in Europe, Russia and Turkey), were \$126 million in the third quarter of 2013 compared with \$86 million in the third quarter of 2012 and were \$354 million in the first nine months of 2013 compared with \$236 million in the first nine months of 2012. Sales growth was driven by continued uptake since launch. *Simponi* was approved by the European Commission (the “EC”) in October 2009. In September 2013, the EC approved *Simponi* for the treatment of adult patients with moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis who have had an inadequate response to conventional therapy or who are intolerant to or have medical contraindications for such therapies.

Infectious Disease

Global sales of *Isentress* (raltegravir), an HIV integrase inhibitor for use in combination with other antiretroviral agents for the treatment of HIV-1 infection, grew 7% in the third quarter of 2013 to \$427 million and increased 6% in the first nine months of 2013 to \$1.2 billion compared with the same periods in 2012 primarily reflecting volume growth in Europe and the United States.

Global sales of *Cancidas* (caspofungin acetate), an anti-fungal product, decreased 7% in the third quarter of 2013 to \$151 million reflecting declines in the emerging markets, particularly China. Sales of *Cancidas* grew 1% in the first nine months of 2013 to \$477 million compared with the same period in 2012 primarily reflecting volume growth in Europe, Japan and Latin America, largely offset by declines in China.

Worldwide sales of *PegIntron*, a treatment for chronic hepatitis C, were \$104 million and \$372 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively, representing declines of 37% and 27%, respectively, compared with the same periods in 2012. The Company believes that the sales declines are attributable in part to patient treatment being delayed by health care providers in anticipation of new therapeutic options becoming available. Foreign exchange unfavorably affected global sales performance by 3% in both the third quarter and first nine months of 2013.

Worldwide sales of *Victrelis* (boceprevir), an oral medicine for the treatment of chronic hepatitis C, were \$121 million and \$347 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively, representing declines of 19% and 10%, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2012. Foreign exchange unfavorably affected global sales performance by 1% in both the third quarter and first nine months of 2013. Sales declines in the United States were partially offset by growth in the emerging markets. The Company believes that the sales declines in the United States are attributable in part to patient treatment being delayed by health care providers in anticipation of new therapeutic options becoming available.

Oncology

Sales of *Temodar* (marketed as *Temodal* outside the United States), a treatment for certain types of brain tumors, were \$162 million for the third quarter of 2013, a decline of 28% compared with the third quarter of 2012, and were \$596 million for the first nine months of 2013, a decline of 13% compared with the same period in 2012. Foreign exchange unfavorably affected global sales performance by 3% and 2% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively. Sales performance primarily reflects generic competition in the United States and Europe. As previously disclosed, by agreement, a generic manufacturer launched a generic version of *Temodar* in the United States in August 2013. *Temodar* lost patent exclusivity in the EU in 2009. Accordingly, the Company is experiencing significant sales declines due the loss of exclusivity in these markets and the Company expects these declines to continue.

Global sales of *Emend* (aprepitant), for the prevention of chemotherapy-induced and post-operative nausea and vomiting, were \$123 million in the third quarter of 2013, an increase of 11% compared with the third quarter of 2012, largely reflecting volume growth in the United States and the emerging markets. Sales of *Emend* were \$373 million for the first nine months of 2013, an increase of 4% compared with the same period in 2012, reflecting volume growth in the emerging markets and the United States, partially offset by a decline in Japan. Foreign exchange unfavorably affected global sales performance by 2% for the first nine months of 2013.

Other

Worldwide sales of ophthalmic products *Cosopt* (dorzolamide hydrochloride-timolol maleate ophthalmic solution) and *Trusopt* (dorzolamide hydrochloride ophthalmic solution) increased 3% in the third quarter of 2013 to \$104 million. Global sales of *Cosopt* and *Trusopt* decreased 5% in the first nine months of 2013 to \$313 million. Foreign exchange unfavorably affected global sales performance by 8% and 7% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively. Excluding the unfavorable effect of foreign exchange, sales performance in the first nine months of 2013 reflects volume growth in Japan, partially offset by declines in Europe and Canada. The patent for *Cosopt* expired in a number of major European markets in March 2013 and the Company is experiencing sales declines in those markets and expects the declines to continue. The patents that provided market exclusivity for *Cosopt* and *Trusopt* in the United States and for *Trusopt* in a number of major European markets had previously expired.

Bridion (sugammadex sodium injection), for the reversal of certain muscle relaxants used during surgery, is approved and has been launched in many countries outside of the United States. Sales of *Bridion* grew 10% to \$75 million in the third quarter of 2013 and increased 11% to \$206 million for the first nine months of 2013 compared with the same periods of 2012. The sales increases were driven by volume growth in Europe, the emerging markets and Japan, partially offset by the unfavorable effect of foreign exchange particularly in Japan. Foreign exchange unfavorably affected global sales performance by 16% and 12% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively. In September 2013, the Company received a CRL from the FDA for the resubmission of the New Drug Application (“NDA”) for sugammadex sodium injection (see “Research and Development Update” below).

In 2009, the FDA approved *Saphris* (asenapine), an antipsychotic indicated for the treatment of schizophrenia and bipolar I disorder in adults. In 2010, asenapine, sold under the brand name *Sycrest*, received marketing approval in the EU for the treatment of bipolar I disorder in adults. In 2010, Merck and H. Lundbeck A/S (“Lundbeck”) announced a worldwide commercialization agreement for *Sycrest* sublingual tablets (5 mg, 10 mg). Under the terms of the agreement, Lundbeck paid a fee and makes product supply payments in exchange for exclusive commercial rights to *Sycrest* in all markets outside the United States, China and Japan. Merck’s sales of *Saphris* were \$44 million and \$39 million in the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and were \$117 million and \$123 million in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. During the second quarter of 2013, the Company reduced cash flow projections for *Saphris/Sycrest* as a result of reduced expectations in international markets and in the United States. These revisions to cash flows indicated that the *Saphris/Sycrest* intangible asset value was not recoverable on an undiscounted cash flows basis. Utilizing market participant assumptions, and considering several different scenarios, the Company concluded that its best estimate of the current fair value of the intangible asset related to *Saphris/Sycrest* was approximately \$170 million, which resulted in the recognition of an impairment charge of \$330 million reflected within *Materials and production* costs for the first nine months of 2013.

Other products contained in Hospital and Specialty include among others, *Invanz* (ertapenem sodium) for the treatment of certain infections; *Noxafil* (posaconazole) for the prevention of certain invasive fungal infections; and *Integrilin* (eptifibatide), a treatment for patients with acute coronary syndrome, which is sold by the Company in the United States and Canada.

Diversified Brands

Merck’s diversified brands include human health pharmaceutical products that are approaching the expiration of their marketing exclusivity or are no longer protected by patents in developed markets, but continue to be a core part of the Company’s offering in other markets around the world.

Global sales of *Cozaar* and its companion agent *Hyzaar* (a combination of *Cozaar* and hydrochlorothiazide), treatments for hypertension, were \$238 million in the third quarter of 2013 and \$760 million for the first nine months of 2013, representing declines of 19% and 22%, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2012. The declines were driven largely by lower sales in Japan, reflecting lower volumes and the unfavorable effect of foreign exchange, and lower volumes in Europe. Foreign exchange unfavorably affected global sales performance by 9% and 8% for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively. The patents that provided market exclusivity for *Cozaar* and *Hyzaar* in the United States and in a number of major international markets have expired. Accordingly, the Company expects the declines in *Cozaar* and *Hyzaar* sales to continue.

Worldwide sales of *Propecia*, a product for the treatment of male pattern hair loss, were \$71 million and \$206 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively, representing declines of 32% and 34%, respectively, compared with the same periods in 2012. Foreign exchange unfavorably affected global sales performance by 8% and 6% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively. The sales declines in both periods were driven primarily by volume declines in the United States, as well as declines in Japan due largely to the unfavorable effect of foreign exchange. The Company lost U.S. market exclusivity for *Propecia* in 2013 and multiple generics have entered the market. Accordingly, the Company is experiencing a significant decline in U.S. sales of *Propecia* and expects the decline to continue.

Global sales of *Clarinet* (marketed as *Aerius* in many countries outside the United States), a non-sedating antihistamine, were \$54 million for the third quarter of 2013, a decline of 15% compared with the third quarter of 2012, and were \$180 million for the first nine months of 2013, a decline of 47% compared with the same period of 2012, reflecting lower volumes in the United States, and for the year-to-date period also in Europe, as a result of generic competition. As previously disclosed, by virtue of litigation settlements, certain generic manufacturers were given the right to enter the U.S. market in 2012 and several generic versions have been launched. The Company anticipates that sales of *Clarinet* will continue to decline.

Global sales of *Maxalt*, a product for the acute treatment of migraine, were \$40 million and \$124 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively, representing declines of 76% and 74%, respectively, compared with the same periods in 2012, driven primarily by lower volumes in the United States, as well as in Europe. The patent that provided U.S. market exclusivity for *Maxalt* expired in December 2012 and the Company experienced a significant and rapid decline in U.S. *Maxalt* sales thereafter. In addition, the patent that provided market exclusivity for *Maxalt* expired in a number of major European markets in August 2013 and the Company is experiencing sales declines in those markets. The Company anticipates the sales declines in the United States and Europe will continue.

Other products contained in Diversified Brands include among others, *Primaxin* (imipenem and cilastatin sodium), an anti-bacterial product; *Zocor*, a statin for modifying cholesterol; prescription *Claritin* (loratadine), a treatment for seasonal outdoor allergies and year-round indoor allergies; *Remeron* (mirtazapine), an antidepressant; and *Proscar* (finasteride), a urology product for the treatment of symptomatic benign prostate enlargement.

Vaccines

The following discussion of vaccines does not include sales of vaccines sold in most major European markets through Sanofi Pasteur MSD (“SPMSD”), the Company’s joint venture with Sanofi Pasteur, the results of which are reflected in *Equity income from affiliates* (see “Selected Joint Venture and Affiliate Information” below). Supply sales to SPMSD, however, are included.

Merck’s sales of *Gardasil*, a vaccine to help prevent certain diseases caused by human papillomavirus (“HPV”) types 6, 11, 16 and 18, grew 15% in the third quarter of 2013 to \$665 million and increased 21% in the first nine months of 2013 to \$1.4 billion as compared with the same periods in 2012. The sales increases were driven primarily by volume growth in the United States, reflecting continued uptake in males and higher public sector purchases, and for the year-to-date period volume growth in certain emerging markets, partially offset by lower sales volumes in Japan. Sales in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 include \$23 million and \$37 million, respectively, of purchases for the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Pediatric Vaccine Stockpile. On June 14, 2013, the Japanese Health Ministry issued an advisory to suspend active promotion of HPV vaccines. Accordingly, the Company recorded almost no sales of *Gardasil* in Japan in the third quarter of 2013. Sales of *Gardasil* in Japan were approximately \$140 million for the full year of 2012.

ProQuad, a pediatric combination vaccine to help protect against measles, mumps, rubella and varicella, which experienced supply constraints in recent years, became available again in the United States for ordering in October 2012. Merck’s sales of *ProQuad* were \$101 million in the third quarter of 2013 and \$245 million in the first nine months of 2013.

Merck’s sales of *Varivax*, a vaccine to help prevent chickenpox (varicella), were \$213 million for the third quarter of 2013 compared with \$283 million for the third quarter of 2012 and were \$540 million for the first nine months of 2013 compared with \$675 million for the first nine months of 2012. Merck’s sales of *M-M-R II* [Measles, Mumps and Rubella Virus Vaccine Live], a vaccine to help protect against measles, mumps and rubella, were \$107 million for the third quarter of 2013 compared with \$111 million for the third quarter of 2012 and were \$247 million for the first nine months of 2013 compared with \$292 million for the first nine months of 2012. The *Varivax* and *M-M-R II* sales declines are largely attributable to the availability of *ProQuad* discussed above.

Global sales of *RotaTeq*, a vaccine to help protect against rotavirus gastroenteritis in infants and children, recorded by Merck were \$201 million in the third quarter of 2013, an increase of 34% compared with the third quarter of 2012, and were \$507 million in the first nine months of 2013, an increase of 17% compared with the same period in 2012, reflecting higher public sector purchases in the United States and higher sales in Japan. In the first nine months of 2013, these increases were partially offset by declines in Latin America.

Merck’s sales of *Zostavax* (Zoster Vaccine Live), a vaccine to help prevent shingles (herpes zoster) in adults 50 years of age and older, were \$185 million in the third quarter of 2013 compared with \$202 million in the third quarter of 2012 driven by lower demand in the United States. *Zostavax* sales were \$494 million in the first nine months of 2013 compared with \$426 million in the first nine months of 2012 primarily reflecting higher demand in the United States, as well as in Canada. The Company is launching *Zostavax* on a limited basis outside of the United States.

Other Segments

Animal Health

Animal Health includes pharmaceutical and vaccine products for the prevention, treatment and control of disease in all major farm and companion animal species. Animal Health sales are affected by intense competition and the frequent introduction of generic products. Global sales of Animal Health products totaled \$800 million for the third quarter of 2013, a decline of 2% compared with the third quarter of 2012, and were \$2.5 billion for the first nine months of 2013, essentially flat when compared with the same period in 2012. Foreign exchange unfavorably affected global sales performance by 2% in both the third quarter and first nine months of 2013. Sales performance in the quarter and year-to-date period reflects lower sales of ruminant products, primarily *Zilmax*, partially offset by growth in companion animal products. During the third quarter of 2013, Merck Animal Health voluntarily suspended sales of *Zilmax* (zilpaterol hydrochloride), a feed supplement for beef cattle, in the United States and Canada. *Zilmax* sales in the United States and Canada were \$159 million for the full year of 2012.

Consumer Care

Consumer Care products include over-the-counter, foot care and sun care products such as *Claritin* non-drowsy antihistamines; *Dr. Scholl’s* foot care products; and *Coppertone* sun care products. Global sales of Consumer Care products were \$443 million for the third quarter of 2013, a decrease of 2% compared with the third quarter of 2012, including a 2% unfavorable effect from foreign exchange. The sales decline largely reflects lower sales of *Dr. Scholl’s* foot care products, partially offset by the launch of *Oxytrol for Women*, the first and only over-the-counter treatment for overactive bladder in women. Consumer Care product sales were \$1.5 billion for the first nine months of 2013, a decline of 3% compared with the first nine months of 2012.

including a 1% unfavorable effect from foreign exchange. The sales decline in the year-to-date period resulted from the termination in China of certain Consumer Care distribution arrangements and a reversal of sales previously made to those distributors, together with associated termination costs. Excluding those actions, Consumer Care global sales would have increased by 1% for the first nine months of 2013 compared with the same period in 2012 including a 1% unfavorable impact due to foreign exchange.

Alliances

The alliances segment includes results from the Company's relationship with AstraZeneca ("AZLP"). Revenue from AZLP, primarily relating to sales of Nexium and Prilosec, was \$220 million and \$255 million in the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and was \$727 million and \$664 million in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. AstraZeneca has an option to buy Merck's interest in a subsidiary and, through it, Merck's interest in Nexium and Prilosec, exercisable in 2014, and the Company believes that it is likely that AstraZeneca will exercise that option (see "Selected Joint Venture and Affiliate Information" below). If AstraZeneca exercises its option, the Company will no longer record equity income from AZLP and supply sales to AZLP are expected to terminate.

Costs, Expenses and Other

In October 2013, the Company announced the 2013 Restructuring Program as part of a global initiative to sharpen its commercial and research and development focus. The actions under the new program will primarily come from the elimination of positions in sales, administrative and headquarters organizations, as well as research and development. The Company will also reduce its global real estate footprint and continue to improve the efficiency of its manufacturing and supply network. The Company will continue to hire employees in strategic growth areas of the business as necessary.

The Company recorded total pretax restructuring costs of \$544 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 related to this program. The actions under the 2013 Restructuring Program are expected to be substantially completed by the end of 2015 with the cumulative pretax costs estimated to be approximately \$2.5 billion to \$3.0 billion. The Company estimates that approximately two-thirds of the cumulative pretax costs will result in cash outlays, primarily related to employee separation expense. Approximately one-third of the cumulative pretax costs are non-cash, relating primarily to the accelerated depreciation of facilities to be closed or divested. The Company expects the actions under the 2013 Restructuring Program to result in annual net cost savings of approximately \$2.0 billion by the end of 2015. The Company anticipates that the actions under the 2013 Restructuring Program, combined with remaining actions under the Merger Restructuring Program (discussed below), will result in annual net cost savings of \$2.5 billion by the end of 2015 compared with full-year 2012 expense levels.

In February 2010, subsequent to the Merck and Schering-Plough Corporation ("Schering-Plough") merger (the "Merger"), the Company commenced actions under a global restructuring program (the "Merger Restructuring Program") in conjunction with the integration of the legacy Merck and legacy Schering-Plough businesses designed to optimize the cost structure of the combined company. Further actions under this program were initiated in 2011. The actions under this program primarily reflect the elimination of positions in sales, administrative and headquarters organizations, as well as from the sale or closure of certain manufacturing and research and development sites and the consolidation of office facilities.

The Company recorded total pretax restructuring costs of \$423 million and \$150 million in the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$841 million and \$722 million in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to this program. The restructuring actions under the Merger Restructuring Program are expected to be substantially completed by the end of 2013, with the exception of certain actions, principally manufacturing-related. Subsequent to the Merger, the Company has rationalized a number of manufacturing sites worldwide. The remaining actions under this program will result in additional manufacturing facility rationalizations, which are expected to be substantially completed by 2016. The Company expects the estimated total cumulative pretax costs for this program to be approximately \$7.4 billion to \$7.7 billion. The Company estimates that approximately two-thirds of the cumulative pretax costs relate to cash outlays, primarily related to employee separation expense. Approximately one-third of the cumulative pretax costs are non-cash, relating primarily to the accelerated depreciation of facilities to be closed or divested. The Company expects the Merger Restructuring Program to yield annual savings by the end of 2013 of approximately \$3.5 billion to \$4.0 billion and annual savings upon completion of the program of approximately \$4.0 billion to \$4.6 billion.

In October 2008, Merck announced a global restructuring program (the "2008 Restructuring Program") to reduce its cost structure, increase efficiency, and enhance competitiveness. Pretax restructuring costs of \$13 million were recorded in the third quarter of 2012, and \$54 million and \$23 million were recorded in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to the 2008 Restructuring Program. The 2008 Restructuring Program was substantially completed in 2011, with the exception of certain manufacturing-related actions, which are expected to be completed by the end of 2015. Merck expects the 2008 Restructuring Program to yield cumulative pretax savings of \$3.8 billion to \$4.2 billion from 2008 to 2013. As of July 1, 2013, the remaining accrued liability for future separations under the 2008 Restructuring Program was transferred to the Merger Restructuring Program

and any remaining activities under the 2008 Restructuring Program are being accounted for as part of the Merger Restructuring Program beginning in the third quarter of 2013.

The Company anticipates that total costs associated with restructuring activities in 2013 for the 2013 Restructuring Program, the Merger Restructuring Program and the 2008 Restructuring Program will be in the range of \$1.6 billion to \$1.9 billion.

The costs associated with all of these restructuring activities are primarily comprised of accelerated depreciation recorded in *Materials and production*, *Marketing and administrative* and *Research and development* and separation costs recorded in *Restructuring costs* (see Note 2 to the interim consolidated financial statements).

Materials and Production

Materials and production costs were \$4.1 billion for the third quarter of 2013, a decrease of 1% compared with the third quarter of 2012, and were \$12.3 billion for the first nine months of 2013, comparable with the first nine months of 2012. Costs in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 include \$1.2 billion and \$3.5 billion, respectively, and for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 include \$1.2 billion and \$3.7 billion, respectively, of expenses for the amortization of intangible assets recognized in connection with mergers and acquisitions. In addition, expenses in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 include a \$41 million intangible asset impairment charge related to a licensing agreement and for the first nine months of 2013 also include a product intangible asset impairment charge of \$330 million. Included in materials and production costs are costs associated with restructuring activities which amounted to \$57 million and \$60 million in the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$193 million and \$148 million in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively, including accelerated depreciation and asset write-offs related to the planned sale or closure of manufacturing facilities. Separation costs associated with manufacturing-related headcount reductions have been incurred and are reflected in *Restructuring costs* as discussed below.

Gross margin was 62.8% in the third quarter of 2013 compared with 64.0% in the third quarter of 2012 and was 62.3% in the first nine months of 2013 compared with 65.4% for the first nine months of 2012. The amortization of intangible assets, as well as the restructuring and impairment charges noted above reduced gross margin by 11.2 percentage points for both the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, and by 12.4 and 10.8 percentage points for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The gross margin declines were driven in part by the loss of *Singulair* sales as a result of patent expiries in the United States in August 2012 and in major European markets in February 2013. In addition, generic competition in the United States for *Maxalt*, *Temodar* and *Propecia* also negatively affected gross margin in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013. These declines were partially offset by improvements resulting from lower costs due to manufacturing efficiencies. The Company anticipates that gross margin will continue to be negatively affected by the loss of market exclusivity for *Singulair*, *Maxalt*, *Temodar* and *Propecia* for the remainder of 2013.

Marketing and Administrative

Marketing and administrative expenses were \$2.8 billion in the third quarter of 2013 and were \$8.9 billion for the first nine months of 2013, representing declines of 8% and 5%, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2012. The declines were largely due to lower promotional spending and selling costs, as well as the favorable effect of foreign exchange. Expenses for the third quarter of 2013 and 2012 include \$31 million and \$25 million, respectively, and for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012 include \$64 million and \$70 million, respectively, of restructuring costs, related primarily to accelerated depreciation for facilities to be closed or divested. Separation costs associated with sales force reductions have been incurred and are reflected in *Restructuring costs* as discussed below. Marketing and administrative expenses also include \$20 million and \$68 million of acquisition-related costs in the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$62 million and \$183 million for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively, consisting of incremental, third-party integration costs related to the Merger, including costs related to legal entity and systems integration.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses were \$1.7 billion for the third quarter of 2013, a decline of 13% compared with the third quarter of 2012, and were \$5.7 billion for the first nine months of 2013, a decrease of 5% compared with the first nine months of 2012. Research and development expenses are comprised of the costs directly incurred by Merck Research Laboratories ("MRL"), the Company's research and development division that focuses on human health-related activities, which were approximately \$1.0 billion and \$1.1 billion in the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and were \$3.2 billion and \$3.3 billion in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. Also included in research and development expenses are costs incurred by other divisions in support of research and development activities, including depreciation, production and general and administrative, as well as licensing activity, certain costs from operating segments, including the Pharmaceutical, Animal Health and Consumer Care segments, which in the aggregate were \$661 million and \$798 million for the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$2.1 billion and \$2.4 billion for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. Research and development costs for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 declined in part due to targeted productivity improvements and the timing of clinical development programs. Research and development expenses in the first nine months of 2013 also reflect lower costs for upfront

and milestone payments for in-licensed programs as compared with the same period in the prior year, largely due to the payment of \$120 million in 2012 related to an agreement with Endocyte, Inc.

Research and development expenses also include in-process research and development (“IPR&D”) impairment charges and research and development-related restructuring charges. During the third quarter of 2012, the Company recorded \$40 million, and for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012 recognized \$264 million and \$176 million, respectively, of IPR&D impairment charges. Of the IPR&D impairment charges recorded in the first nine months of 2013, \$181 million related to the write-off of the intangible asset associated with preladenant as a result of the discontinuation of the clinical development program for this compound (see “Research and Development Update” below). In addition, the Company recorded impairment charges resulting from changes in cash flow assumptions for certain compounds. The remaining impairment charges for the first nine months of 2013 and the charges in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 reflect impairments primarily related to pipeline programs that had previously been deprioritized and were subsequently deemed to have no alternative use during the period. The Company may recognize additional non-cash impairment charges in the future for the cancellation or delay of other pipeline programs that were measured at fair value and capitalized in connection with mergers and acquisitions and such charges could be material. Research and development expenses also reflect accelerated depreciation and asset abandonment costs associated with restructuring activities of \$9 million and \$(32) million in the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$38 million and \$54 million in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. In the third quarter of 2012, the Company recorded an adjustment to accelerated depreciation costs included in research and development expenses revising previously recorded amounts for certain facilities.

Restructuring Costs

Restructuring costs, primarily representing separation and other related costs associated with restructuring activities, were \$870 million and \$110 million for the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and were \$1.1 billion and \$473 million for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. Costs in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 include \$501 million of costs related to the 2013 Restructuring Program. Nearly all of the remaining costs in 2013 and the costs in 2012 related to the Merger Restructuring Program. Separation costs were incurred associated with actual headcount reductions, as well as estimated expenses under existing severance programs for headcount reductions that were probable and could be reasonably estimated. Merck eliminated approximately 1,070 positions in the third quarter of 2013 which related to the Merger Restructuring Program. During the first nine months of 2013, Merck eliminated approximately 2,530 positions of which 2,475 related to the Merger Restructuring Program and 55 related to the 2008 Restructuring Program. Merck eliminated approximately 535 positions in the third quarter of 2012, nearly all of which related to the Merger Restructuring Program. During the first nine months of 2012, Merck eliminated approximately 2,475 positions of which 2,325 related to the Merger Restructuring Program and 150 related to the 2008 Restructuring Program. These position eliminations are comprised of actual headcount reductions, and the elimination of contractors and vacant positions. Also included in restructuring costs are curtailment, settlement and termination charges associated with pension and other postretirement benefit plans, share-based compensation and shutdown costs. For segment reporting, restructuring costs are unallocated expenses. Additional costs associated with the Company’s restructuring activities are included in *Materials and production*, *Marketing and administrative* and *Research and development* as discussed above.

Equity Income from Affiliates

Equity income from affiliates, which reflects the performance of the Company’s joint ventures and other equity method affiliates, primarily AZLP, was \$102 million in the third quarter of 2013 compared with \$158 million in the third quarter of 2012 and was \$351 million in the first nine months of 2013 compared with \$410 million in the first nine months of 2012. The declines were driven primarily by lower equity from AZLP. (See “Selected Joint Venture and Affiliate Information” below.)

Other (Income) Expense, Net

Other (income) expense, net was \$172 million of expense in the third quarter of 2013 compared with \$200 million of expense in the third quarter of 2012 and was \$656 million of expense in the first nine months of 2013 compared with \$446 million of expense in the first nine months of 2012. The higher net expenses in the first nine months of 2013 include losses from a Venezuelan currency devaluation, as well as increased interest expense resulting in part from issuances of debt in September 2012 and May 2013. In February 2013, the Venezuelan government devalued its currency (Bolívar Fuertes) from 4.30 VEF per U.S. dollar to 6.30 VEF per U.S. dollar. The Company recognized losses due to exchange of approximately \$140 million in the first quarter of 2013 resulting from the remeasurement of the local monetary assets and liabilities at the new rate. Since January 2010, Venezuela has been designated hyperinflationary and, as a result, local foreign operations are remeasured in U.S. dollars with the impact recorded in results of operations. Given the economic and political environment in Venezuela, future devaluations of its currency may occur.

Segment Profits

| (\$ in millions) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Pharmaceutical segment profits | \$ 5,983 | \$ 6,265 | \$ 17,022 | \$ 19,767 |
| Other non-reportable segment profits | 750 | 819 | 2,445 | 2,397 |
| Other | (5,208) | (4,866) | (15,147) | (14,759) |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 1,525 | \$ 2,218 | \$ 4,320 | \$ 7,405 |

Segment profits are comprised of segment sales less standard costs, certain operating expenses directly incurred by the segment, components of equity income or loss from affiliates and depreciation and amortization expenses. For internal management reporting presented to the chief operating decision maker, Merck does not allocate materials and production costs, other than standard costs, the majority of research and development expenses or general and administrative expenses, nor the cost of financing these activities. Separate divisions maintain responsibility for monitoring and managing these costs, including depreciation related to fixed assets utilized by these divisions and, therefore, they are not included in segment profits. Also excluded from the determination of segment profits are the amortization of purchase accounting adjustments and other acquisition-related costs, intangible asset impairment charges, restructuring costs, taxes paid at the joint venture level and a portion of equity income. Additionally, segment profits do not reflect other expenses from corporate and manufacturing cost centers and other miscellaneous income or expense. These unallocated items are reflected in "Other" in the above table. Also included in "Other" are miscellaneous corporate profits (losses), as well as operating profits (losses) related to third-party manufacturing sales, divested products or businesses, and other supply sales.

Pharmaceutical segment profits declined 5% in the third quarter and 14% in first nine months of 2013 as compared with the same periods in 2012, driven primarily by the effects of the loss of market exclusivity for certain products, particularly *Singulair*.

Taxes on Income

The effective income tax rates of 24.6% and 20.5% for the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and 14.3% and 27.8% for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively, reflect the impacts of acquisition-related costs and restructuring costs, partially offset by the beneficial impact of foreign earnings. In addition, the effective tax rates for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 reflect a net benefit of \$165 million from the settlements of certain federal income tax issues. The effective income tax rate for the first nine months of 2013 also reflects net benefits from reductions in tax reserves upon expiration of applicable statute of limitations, the favorable impact of tax legislation enacted in the first quarter of 2013 that extended the R&D tax credit for both 2012 and 2013, as well as an out-of-period net tax benefit of approximately \$160 million associated with the resolution of a previously disclosed legacy Schering-Plough federal income tax issue (see note 14 to the interim consolidated financial statements). The effective tax rates for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 also reflect the favorable impacts of a tax settlement with the Canada Revenue Agency and the realization of foreign tax credits.

Net Income and Earnings per Common Share

Net income attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. was \$1.1 billion for the third quarter of 2013 compared with \$1.7 billion for the third quarter of 2012 and \$3.6 billion for the first nine months of 2013 compared with \$5.3 billion for the first nine months of 2012. Earnings per common share assuming dilution attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. common shareholders ("EPS") for the third quarter of 2013 were \$0.38 compared with \$0.56 in the third quarter of 2012 and \$1.20 for the first nine months of 2013 compared with \$1.71 for the first nine months of 2012. The declines in net income and EPS were due primarily to lower sales reflecting the loss of market exclusivity for certain products, particularly *Singulair*, as well as higher restructuring costs and, for the year-to-date period, higher intangible asset impairment charges and exchange losses, partially offset by the favorable impact of certain tax items and lower operating expenses. Earnings per share for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 benefited from lower average shares outstanding due to the ASR program (see Note 10 to the interim consolidated financial statements).

Non-GAAP Income and Non-GAAP EPS

Non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS are alternative views of the Company's performance used by management that Merck is providing because management believes this information enhances investors' understanding of the Company's results. Non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS exclude certain items because of the nature of these items and the impact that they have on the analysis of underlying business performance and trends. The excluded items consist of acquisition-related costs, restructuring costs and certain other items. These excluded items are significant components in understanding and assessing financial performance. Therefore, the information on non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS should be considered in addition to, but not in lieu of, net income and EPS prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("GAAP"). Additionally, since non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS are not measures determined in accordance with GAAP, they have no

standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and, therefore, may not be comparable to the calculation of similar measures of other companies.

Non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS are important internal measures for the Company. Senior management receives a monthly analysis of operating results that includes non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS and the performance of the Company is measured on this basis along with other performance metrics. Senior management's annual compensation is derived in part using non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS.

A reconciliation between GAAP financial measures and non-GAAP financial measures is as follows:

| (\$ in millions except per share amounts) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Pretax income as reported under GAAP | \$ 1,525 | \$ 2,218 | \$ 4,320 | \$ 7,405 |
| Increase (decrease) for excluded items: | | | | |
| Acquisition-related costs | 1,196 | 1,340 | 4,201 | 4,046 |
| Restructuring costs | 967 | 163 | 1,439 | 745 |
| Certain other items | — | — | (13) | — |
| | 3,688 | 3,721 | 9,947 | 12,196 |
| Taxes on income as reported under GAAP | 375 | 455 | 618 | 2,055 |
| Estimated tax benefit on excluded items | 393 | 300 | 1,081 | 848 |
| Net tax benefits from settlements of federal income tax issues | 165 | — | 325 | — |
| | 933 | 755 | 2,024 | 2,903 |
| Non-GAAP net income | 2,755 | 2,966 | 7,923 | 9,293 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 26 | 34 | 79 | 89 |
| Non-GAAP net income attributable to Merck & Co., Inc. | \$ 2,729 | \$ 2,932 | \$ 7,844 | \$ 9,204 |
| EPS assuming dilution as reported under GAAP | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.56 | \$ 1.20 | \$ 1.71 |
| EPS difference ⁽¹⁾ | 0.54 | 0.39 | 1.41 | 1.28 |
| Non-GAAP EPS assuming dilution | \$ 0.92 | \$ 0.95 | \$ 2.61 | \$ 2.99 |

⁽¹⁾ Represents the difference between calculated GAAP EPS and calculated non-GAAP EPS, which may be different than the amount calculated by dividing the impact of the excluded items by the weighted-average shares for the applicable period.

Acquisition-Related Costs

Non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS exclude the impact of certain amounts recorded in connection with mergers and acquisitions. These amounts include the amortization of intangible assets and inventory step-up, as well as intangible asset impairment charges. Also excluded are incremental, third-party integration costs associated with the Merger, such as costs related to legal entity and system integration, as well as other costs associated with mergers and acquisitions, such as severance costs which are not part of the Company's formal restructuring programs. These costs are excluded because management believes that these costs are not representative of ongoing normal business activities.

Restructuring Costs

Non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS exclude costs related to restructuring actions, including restructuring activities related to the Merger (see Note 2 to the interim consolidated financial statements). These amounts include employee separation costs and accelerated depreciation associated with facilities to be closed or divested. Accelerated depreciation costs represent the difference between the depreciation expense to be recognized over the revised useful life of the site, based upon the anticipated date the site will be closed or divested, and depreciation expense as determined utilizing the useful life prior to the restructuring actions. Restructuring costs also include asset abandonment, shut-down and other related costs, as well as employee-related costs such as curtailment, settlement and termination charges associated with pension and other postretirement benefit plans and share-based compensation costs. The Company has undertaken restructurings of different types during the covered periods and, therefore, these charges should not be considered non-recurring; however, management excludes these amounts from non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS because it believes it is helpful for understanding the performance of the continuing business.

Certain Other Items

Non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS exclude certain other items. These items represent substantive, unusual items that are evaluated on an individual basis. Such evaluation considers both the quantitative and the qualitative aspect of their unusual nature and generally represent items that, either as a result of their nature or magnitude, management would not anticipate that

they would occur as part of the Company's normal business on a regular basis. Excluded from non-GAAP income and non-GAAP EPS are tax benefits from the resolution of certain federal income tax issues (see Note 14 to the interim consolidated financial statements).

Research and Development Update

In September 2013, the Company announced that the NDA for its investigational fertility treatment, corifollitropin alfa, has been accepted for standard review by the FDA. Merck is seeking FDA approval of corifollitropin alfa for controlled ovarian stimulation in women participating in assisted reproductive technology. If approved, corifollitropin alfa would be the first sustained follicular stimulant for use in a fertility treatment regimen. Merck's corifollitropin alfa is currently approved in more than 50 markets outside the United States, including the EU.

In July 2013, Merck announced that the NDA for its investigational anti-thrombotic medicine, vorapaxar, has been accepted for standard review by the FDA. Merck is seeking FDA approval of vorapaxar for the secondary prevention of cardiovascular events in patients with a history of heart attack and no history of stroke or transient ischemic attack.

In March 2013, Merck announced that the Biologics License Application ("BLA") for MK-7243, an investigational Timothy grass pollen (*Phleum pratense*) allergy immunotherapy tablet ("AIT"), was accepted for review by the FDA. The BLA for MK-7243 is supported by Phase III trials that evaluated the safety and efficacy of the investigational product, including a long-term, multi-season trial. In addition, in May 2013, Merck announced that the BLA for MK-3641, an investigational ragweed pollen (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*) AIT, was accepted for review by the FDA. The BLA for MK-3641 is supported by five studies evaluating the efficacy and safety of the tablet in adults, 18 years of age or older, with ragweed induced allergic rhinitis (with or without conjunctivitis). MK-7243 and MK-3641 are investigational sublingual dissolvable tablets designed to help treat the underlying cause of allergic rhinitis by generating an immune response to help protect against the targeted allergens. Merck has partnered with ALK-Abello to develop its investigational sublingual allergy immunotherapy tablets for ragweed pollen, Timothy grass pollen and house dust mites in North America. Merck expects the FDA's review for both MK-7243 and MK-3641 to be completed in the first half of 2014.

In April 2013, Merck announced that the FDA has granted MK-3475 Breakthrough Therapy designation for the treatment of patients with advanced melanoma. MK-3475 is Merck's investigational antibody therapy targeting Programmed Death receptor ("PD-1") that is currently being evaluated for the treatment of patients with advanced melanoma, and other tumor types. The designation of an investigational drug as a Breakthrough Therapy is intended to expedite the development and review of a candidate that is planned for use, alone or in combination, to treat a serious or life-threatening disease or condition when preliminary clinical evidence indicates that the drug may demonstrate substantial improvement over existing therapies on one or more clinically significant endpoints. The MK-3475 program also includes studies in patients with non-small cell lung cancer, squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck, triple-negative breast cancer, uroepithelial tumors, colorectal cancer and hematologic malignancies. A new nonproprietary generic name for MK-3475 is under review by the United States Adopted Names Council.

In October 2013, Merck announced that the FDA has granted MK-5172/MK-8742 Breakthrough Therapy designation for treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus ("HCV") infection. MK-5172/MK-8742 is an all-oral combination regimen consisting of MK-5172, an investigational HCV NS3/4A protease inhibitor, and MK-8742, an investigational HCV NS5A replication complex inhibitor. MK-5172 and MK-8742 are being investigated in a broad clinical program that includes studies in patients with multiple HCV genotypes who are treatment-naïve, treatment failures as well as other important HCV subpopulations such as patients with cirrhosis and those co-infected with HIV.

In October 2013, Merck announced that V503, the Company's investigational 9-valent HPV vaccine, has completed its pivotal Phase III clinical trial and has met all primary study endpoints. The study evaluated the efficacy, immunogenicity and safety of V503 in females 16-26 years of age. The Company expects to submit a BLA for V503 to the FDA in 2013.

In September 2013, Merck announced that it had received a CRL from the FDA for the resubmission of the NDA for sugammadex sodium injection (MK-8616), Merck's investigational medicine for the reversal of neuromuscular blockade induced by rocuronium or vecuronium. The FDA's letter raised concerns about operational aspects of a hypersensitivity study that the agency had requested in 2008. To address the CRL, the Company intends to conduct a confirmatory hypersensitivity study as soon as practicable, following discussion about the study with the FDA. Sugammadex sodium injection is currently marketed in more than 50 countries outside of the United States as *Bridion*.

In July 2013, the Company announced that it had received a CRL from the FDA regarding the NDA for suvorexant, Merck's investigational medicine for the treatment of insomnia. In the CRL, the FDA advised Merck that: (1) the efficacy of

suvorexant has been established at doses of 10 mg to 40 mg in elderly and non-elderly adult patients; (2) 10 mg should be the starting dose for most patients, and must be available before suvorexant can be approved; (3) 15 mg and 20 mg doses would be appropriate in patients in whom the 10 mg dose is well-tolerated but not effective; and, (4) for patients taking concomitant moderate CYP3A4 inhibitors, a 5 mg dose would be necessary. In addition, the FDA determined that the safety data do not support the approval of suvorexant 30 mg and 40 mg. Based on initial review of the letter, Merck has determined that additional clinical efficacy studies of suvorexant 10 mg will not be necessary. However, further work to support manufacture of the 5 and 10 mg dosages is required to advance these dosage forms. Merck is in discussions with the FDA to confirm whether any new clinical data will be required to support the 5 mg dose. The Company is evaluating the requests outlined in the CRL and plans to submit definitive data in its NDA resubmission to the FDA in the first half of 2014. As previously disclosed, both FDA approval and a separate scheduling determination by the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration are required before Merck can introduce suvorexant in the United States. Insomnia is a condition characterized by difficulty falling asleep and/or staying asleep. If approved, suvorexant would be the first in a new class of medicines, called orexin receptor antagonists, for use in patients with insomnia. The Company has submitted a new drug application for suvorexant to the health authorities in Japan and is continuing with plans to seek approval for suvorexant in other countries around the world.

In April 2013, Merck and Pfizer Inc. (“Pfizer”) announced that they had entered into a worldwide (except Japan) collaboration agreement for the development and commercialization of Pfizer’s ertugliflozin, an investigational oral sodium glucose cotransporter (“SGLT2”) inhibitor being evaluated for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. The Company is initiating Phase III clinical trials for ertugliflozin with Pfizer. Under the terms of the agreement, Merck and Pfizer will collaborate on the clinical development and commercialization of ertugliflozin and ertugliflozin-containing fixed-dose combinations with metformin and with *Januvia* (sitagliptin) tablets. Merck will continue to retain the rights to its existing portfolio of sitagliptin-containing products. Through the first nine months of 2013, Merck recorded as *Research and development* expenses \$60 million of upfront and milestone payments made to Pfizer. Pfizer will be eligible for additional payments associated with the achievement of pre-specified future clinical, regulatory and commercial milestones, including \$65 million for the initiation of Phase III clinical trials. The companies will share potential revenues and certain costs 60% to Merck and 40% to Pfizer. Each party will have certain manufacturing and supply obligations. The Company and Pfizer each have the right to terminate the agreement due to a material, uncured breach by, or insolvency of, the other party, or in the event of a safety issue. Pfizer has the right to terminate the agreement upon 12 months notice at any time following the first anniversary of the first commercial sale of a collaboration product, but must assign all rights to ertugliflozin to Merck. Upon termination of the agreement, depending upon the circumstances, the parties have varying rights and obligations with respect to the continued development and commercialization of ertugliflozin and certain payment obligations.

In September 2013, Merck and AstraZeneca announced a worldwide licensing agreement for Merck’s oral small molecule inhibitor of WEE1 kinase (MK-1775). MK-1775 is currently being evaluated in Phase IIa clinical studies in combination with standard-of-care therapies for the treatment of patients with certain types of ovarian cancer. Under the terms of the agreement, which closed in October 2013, AstraZeneca paid Merck a \$50 million upfront fee. In addition Merck will be eligible to receive future payments tied to development and regulatory milestones, plus sales-related payments and tiered royalties. AstraZeneca will be responsible for all future clinical development, manufacturing and marketing.

Merck has made the decision to discontinue the Phase III clinical trial for NOMAC/E2 (MK-8175A), an oral combined hormonal contraceptive, being conducted in the United States. This decision is not based on any new safety or efficacy findings.

In May 2013, the Company provided an update on the clinical program for preladenant, Merck’s investigational adenosine A2A receptor antagonist for the treatment of Parkinson’s disease. An initial review of data from three separate Phase III trials did not provide evidence of efficacy for preladenant compared with placebo. Based on these results, Merck has taken steps to discontinue the extension phases of these studies and no longer plans to pursue regulatory filings for preladenant. The decision to discontinue these studies is not based on any safety finding. The Company recorded an impairment charge of \$181 million in the first nine months of 2013 related to the discontinuation of the clinical development program for preladenant.

The chart below reflects the Company’s research pipeline as of October 31, 2013. Candidates shown in Phase III include specific products and the date such candidate entered into Phase III development. Candidates shown in Phase II include the most advanced compound with a specific mechanism or, if listed compounds have the same mechanism, they are each currently intended for commercialization in a given therapeutic area. Small molecules and biologics are given MK-number designations and vaccine candidates are given V-number designations. Candidates in Phase I, additional indications in the same therapeutic area and additional claims, line extensions or formulations for in-line products are not shown.

| Phase II | Phase III (Phase III entry date) | Under Review |
|--|---|--|
| Allergy MK-8237, Immunotherapy ⁽¹⁾ Alzheimer’s Disease MK-8931 ⁽²⁾ MK-7622 Asthma MK-1029 Bacterial Infection MK-7655 Cancer MK-0646 (dalotuzumab) MK-2206 MK-8669 (ridaforolimus) CMV Prophylaxis in Transplant Patients MK-8228 (letemovir) Contraception, Medicated IUS MK-8342 Contraception, Next Generation Ring MK-8175A MK-8342B Diabetes MK-8835 (ertugliflozin) Hepatitis C MK-5172 MK-8742 HIV MK-1439 Migraine MK-1602 Overactive Bladder MK-4618 Pneumoconjugate Vaccine V114 Rheumatoid Arthritis MK-8457 | Atherosclerosis MK-0859 (anacetrapib) (May 2008) Clostridium difficile Infection MK-3415A (actoxumab/bezlotoxumab) (November 2011) Contraception MK-8175A (NOMAC/E2) (U.S.) (June 2006) ⁽³⁾ Diabetes Mellitus MK-3102 (omarigliptin) (September 2012) Hepatitis C MK-7009 (vaniprevir) (June 2011) ⁽⁴⁾ Herpes Zoster V212 (inactivated VZV vaccine) (December 2010) HPV-Related Cancers V503 (HPV vaccine (9 valent)) (September 2008) Melanoma MK-3475 (August 2013) ⁽⁵⁾ Osteoporosis MK-0822 (odanacatib) (September 2007) Pediatric Hexavalent Combination Vaccine V419 (April 2011) Platinum-Resistant Ovarian Cancer MK-8109 (vintafolide) (U.S.) (April 2011) Psoriasis MK-3222 (tildrakizumab) (December 2012) Thrombosis MK-5348 (vorapaxar) (EU) (September 2007) | Allergy MK-7243, Grass pollen (U.S.) ⁽¹⁾ MK-3641, Ragweed (U.S.) ⁽¹⁾ Fertility MK-8962 (corifollitropin alfa injection) (U.S.) Insomnia MK-4305 (suvorexant) (U.S.) ⁽⁶⁾ Neuromuscular Blockade Reversal MK-8616 (sugammadex sodium injection) (U.S.) ⁽⁷⁾ Platinum-Resistant Ovarian Cancer MK-8109 (vintafolide) (EU) Thrombosis MK-5348 (vorapaxar) (U.S.) |
| | | Footnotes: ⁽¹⁾ North American rights only. ⁽²⁾ Phase II/III adaptive design. ⁽³⁾ In November 2011, Merck received a CRL from the FDA for NOMAC/E2 (MK-8175A). Merck has made the decision to discontinue the Phase III clinical trial for NOMAC/E2 being conducted in the United States. ⁽⁴⁾ For development in Japan only. ⁽⁵⁾ A new nonproprietary generic name for MK-3475 is under review by the United States Adopted Names Council. ⁽⁶⁾ In June 2013, Merck received a CRL from the FDA for suvorexant (MK-4305). The Company is evaluating the requests in the CRL and plans to submit definitive data in its NDA resubmission to the FDA in the first half of 2014. ⁽⁷⁾ In September 2013, Merck received a CRL from the FDA for the resubmission of the NDA for sugammadex sodium injection (MK-8616). To address the CRL, the Company intends to conduct a confirmatory hypersensitivity study as soon as practicable, following discussion about the study with the FDA. |

Selected Joint Venture and Affiliate Information

AstraZeneca LP

In 1998, Merck and Astra completed the restructuring of the ownership and operations of their existing joint venture whereby Merck acquired Astra’s interest in KBI Inc. (“KBI”) and contributed KBI’s operating assets to a new U.S. limited partnership, Astra Pharmaceuticals L.P. (the “Partnership”), in exchange for a 1% limited partner interest. Astra contributed the net assets of its wholly owned subsidiary, Astra USA, Inc., to the Partnership in exchange for a 99% general partner interest. The Partnership, renamed AstraZeneca LP (“AZLP”) upon Astra’s 1999 merger with Zeneca Group Plc, became the exclusive distributor of the products for which KBI retained rights.

In 2014, AstraZeneca has the option to purchase Merck’s interest in KBI based in part on the value of Merck’s interest in Nexium and Prilosec. AstraZeneca’s option is exercisable between March 1, 2014 and April 30, 2014. If AstraZeneca chooses to exercise this option, the closing date is expected to be June 30, 2014. Under the amended agreement, AstraZeneca will make a payment to Merck upon closing of \$327 million, reflecting an estimate of the fair value of Merck’s interest in Nexium and Prilosec. This portion of the exercise price is subject to a true-up in 2018 based on actual sales from closing in 2014 to June 2018. The exercise price will also include an additional amount equal to a multiple of ten times Merck’s average 1% annual profit allocation in the partnership for the three years prior to exercise. The Company believes that it is likely that AstraZeneca will exercise its

option in 2014. If AstraZeneca exercises its option, the Company will no longer record equity income from AZLP and supply sales to AZLP are expected to terminate.

Sanofi Pasteur MSD

In 1994, Merck and Pasteur Mérieux Connaught (now Sanofi Pasteur S.A.) established an equally-owned joint venture to market vaccines in Europe and to collaborate in the development of combination vaccines for distribution in Europe. Total vaccine sales reported by SPMSD were \$392 million and \$336 million in the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and were \$829 million and \$771 million for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in both periods was largely attributable to \$41 million of higher *Zostavax* sales due to the launch in the United Kingdom in the third quarter of 2013. Higher sales of *Gardasil* also contributed to the increase in SPMSD sales in the first nine months of 2013. SPMSD sales of *Gardasil* were \$87 million and \$82 million for the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and were \$221 million and \$197 million for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company records the results from its interest in AZLP and SPMSD in *Equity income from affiliates*.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|
| Cash and investments | \$ 27,367 | \$ 23,446 |
| Working capital | 19,550 | 16,509 |
| Total debt to total liabilities and equity | 25.0% | 19.4% |

During the first nine months of 2013, cash provided by operating activities was \$8.6 billion compared with \$8.2 billion in the first nine months of 2012. Cash provided by operating activities in the first nine months of 2013 includes a payment of \$480 million in connection with the previously disclosed settlement of the ENHANCE Litigation (see Note 9 to the interim consolidated financial statements). Cash provided by operating activities in the first nine months of 2012 includes a payment of \$960 million related to the resolution of certain *Vioxx* litigation. Cash provided by operating activities continues to be the Company's primary source of funds to finance operating needs, capital expenditures, treasury stock purchases and dividends paid to shareholders. Global economic conditions and ongoing sovereign debt issues, among other factors, have adversely affected foreign receivables in certain European countries (see Note 4 to the interim consolidated financial statements). Additionally, the Company continues to expand in the emerging markets where payment terms tend to be longer. While the Company continues to receive payment on these receivables, these conditions have resulted in an increase in the average length of time it takes to collect accounts receivable outstanding thereby adversely affecting cash provided by operating activities.

Cash used in investing activities was \$4.3 billion in the first nine months of 2013 compared with \$2.4 billion in the first nine months of 2012 primarily reflecting higher purchases of securities and other investments, partially offset by higher proceeds from the sales of securities and other investments. Cash used in financing activities was \$3.4 billion in the first nine months of 2013 compared with \$2.0 billion in the first nine months of 2012. The higher use of cash in financing activities was driven primarily by higher purchases of treasury stock (largely under an accelerated share repurchase agreement as discussed below), as well as higher payments on debt and lower proceeds from the exercise of stock options, partially offset by higher proceeds from the issuance of debt and an increase in short-term borrowings.

At September 30, 2013, the total of worldwide cash and investments was \$27.4 billion, including \$18.2 billion of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments and \$9.2 billion of long-term investments. Generally 80%-90% of these cash and investments are held by foreign subsidiaries and would be subject to significant tax payments if such cash and investments were repatriated in the form of dividends. The Company records U.S. deferred tax liabilities for certain unremitted earnings, but when amounts earned overseas are expected to be indefinitely reinvested outside of the United States, no accrual for U.S. taxes is provided. The amount of cash and investments held by U.S. and foreign subsidiaries fluctuates due to a variety of factors including the timing and receipt of payments in the normal course of business. Cash provided by operating activities in the United States continues to be the Company's primary source of funds to finance domestic operating needs, capital expenditures, treasury stock purchases and dividends paid to shareholders.

Capital expenditures totaled \$1.1 billion and \$1.2 billion for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Dividends paid to stockholders were \$3.9 billion and \$3.8 billion for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. In May 2013, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend for the third quarter of \$0.43 per share on the Company's common stock that was paid in July 2013. In July 2013, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend for the fourth quarter of \$0.43 per share on the Company's common stock that was paid in October 2013.

In May 2013, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of \$6.5 billion senior unsecured notes consisting of \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 0.70% notes due 2016, \$500 million aggregate principal amount of floating rate notes due 2016, \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 1.30% notes due 2018, \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of floating rate notes due 2018, \$1.75 billion aggregate principal amount of 2.80% notes due 2023 and \$1.25 billion aggregate principal amount of 4.15% notes due 2043. Interest on the notes is payable semi-annually. The notes of each series are redeemable in whole or in part at any time at the Company's option at varying redemption prices. A substantial portion of the net proceeds from the notes were used to repurchase the Company's common stock pursuant to an accelerated share repurchase agreement in May 2013 discussed below.

On May 20, 2013, Merck entered into an accelerated share repurchase ("ASR") agreement with Goldman Sachs. Under the ASR, Merck agreed to purchase approximately \$5 billion of Merck's common stock, in total, with an initial delivery of approximately 99.5 million shares of Merck's common stock, based on current market price, made by Goldman Sachs to Merck, and payment of \$5 billion made by Merck to Goldman Sachs, on May 21, 2013. The payment to Goldman Sachs was recorded as a reduction to shareholders' equity, consisting of a \$4.5 billion increase in treasury stock, which reflected the value of the initial 99.5 million shares received upon execution, and a \$500 million decrease in other-paid-in capital, which reflected the value of the stock held back by Goldman Sachs pending final settlement. Upon settlement of the ASR on October 31, 2013, Merck received an additional 5.5 million shares as determined by the average daily volume weighted-average price of Merck's common stock during the term of the ASR program bringing the total shares received by Merck under this program to 105 million. The receipt of the additional shares will be reflected as an increase to treasury stock and an increase to other-paid-in capital in the fourth quarter of 2013. The ASR was entered into pursuant to the share repurchase program announced on May 1, 2013 as discussed below.

On May 1, 2013, the Company announced that its board of directors authorized additional purchases of up to \$15 billion of Merck's common stock for its treasury. The Company expects to repurchase approximately \$7.5 billion of common stock within 12 months following the date of the announcement, financed through a combination of debt issuance and operating cash flows, with the remainder to be repurchased over time with no time limit. Purchases may be made in open-market transactions, block transactions on or off an exchange, or in privately negotiated transactions. During the first nine months of 2013, the Company purchased 129 million shares for its treasury, which includes 99.5 million shares under the ASR discussed above. The Company spent \$6.3 billion purchasing these shares, including \$5.0 billion related to the ASR. As of September 30, 2013, the Company had approximately \$10.6 billion remaining under the May share repurchase program.

The Company has a \$4.0 billion, five-year credit facility that matures in May 2017. The facility provides backup liquidity for the Company's commercial paper borrowing facility and is to be used for general corporate purposes. The Company has not drawn funding from this facility.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's significant accounting policies, which include management's best estimates and judgments, are included in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012 included in Merck's Form 10-K filed on February 28, 2013. Certain of these accounting policies are considered critical as disclosed in the Critical Accounting Policies section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in Merck's Form 10-K because of the potential for a significant impact on the financial statements due to the inherent uncertainty in such estimates. There have been no significant changes in the Company's critical accounting policies since December 31, 2012 other than with respect to the guidance on testing indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment adopted in the first quarter of 2013 as discussed in Note 1 to the interim consolidated financial statements.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Management of the Company, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures over financial reporting for the period covered by this Form 10-Q. Based on this assessment, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of September 30, 2013, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. The Company implemented a new financial reporting consolidation system in the third quarter of 2013. The Company completed testing of this financial reporting system prior to its launch, continues to monitor impacted financial and business processes and believes that an effective control environment has been maintained post-implementation.

CAUTIONARY FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

This report and other written reports and oral statements made from time to time by the Company may contain so-called “forward-looking statements,” all of which are based on management’s current expectations and are subject to risks and uncertainties which may cause results to differ materially from those set forth in the statements. One can identify these forward-looking statements by their use of words such as “anticipates,” “expects,” “plans,” “will,” “estimates,” “forecasts,” “projects” and other words of similar meaning. One can also identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. These statements are likely to address the Company’s growth strategy, financial results, product development, product approvals, product potential and development programs. One must carefully consider any such statement and should understand that many factors could cause actual results to differ materially from the Company’s forward-looking statements. These factors include inaccurate assumptions and a broad variety of other risks and uncertainties, including some that are known and some that are not. No forward-looking statement can be guaranteed and actual future results may vary materially.

The Company does not assume the obligation to update any forward-looking statement. One should carefully evaluate such statements in light of factors, including risk factors, described in the Company’s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, especially on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K. In Item 1A. “Risk Factors” of the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed on February 28, 2013, the Company discusses in more detail various important risk factors that could cause actual results to differ from expected or historic results. The Company notes these factors for investors as permitted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. One should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all such factors. Consequently, the reader should not consider any such list to be a complete statement of all potential risks or uncertainties.

PART II - Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The information called for by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to Note 9 included in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements (unaudited) — Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Issuer purchases of equity securities for the three months months ended September 30, 2013 were as follows:

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾ | Average Price Paid Per Share | (\$ in millions) |
|----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|
| | | | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs ⁽¹⁾ |
| July 1 - July 31 | 1,855,733 | \$47.40 | \$10,702 |
| August 1 - August 31 | 1,369,853 | \$48.12 | \$10,636 |
| September 1 - September 30 | 1,277,728 | \$47.77 | \$10,575 |
| Total | 4,503,314 | \$47.73 | \$10,575 |

⁽¹⁾ All shares purchased during the period were made as part of a plan approved by the Board of Directors in May 2013 to purchase up to \$15 billion in Merck shares.

Item 6. Exhibits

| <u>Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|---------------|--|
| 3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Merck & Co., Inc. (November 3, 2009) – Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 4, 2009 |
| 3.2 | By-Laws of Merck & Co., Inc. (effective January 1, 2012) – Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 21, 2011 |
| 10 | Merck & Co., Inc. U.S. Separation Benefits Plan (effective as of January 1, 2013) (amended and restated as of October 1, 2013) |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a – 14(a)/15d – 14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a – 14(a)/15d – 14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| 32.2 | Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer |
| 101 | The following materials from Merck & Co., Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Interim Consolidated Statement of Income, (ii) the Interim Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income, (iii) the Interim Consolidated Balance Sheet, (iv) the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows, and (v) Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements. |

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MERCK & CO., INC.

Date: November 7, 2013

/s/ Bruce N. Kuhlik

BRUCE N. KUHLIK

Executive Vice President and General Counsel

Date: November 7, 2013

/s/ John Canan

JOHN CANAN

Senior Vice President Finance - Global Controller

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Number | Description |
|--------|--|
| 3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Merck & Co., Inc. (November 3, 2009) – Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 4, 2009 |
| 3.2 | By-Laws of Merck & Co., Inc. (effective January 1, 2012) – Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 21, 2011 |
| 10 | Merck & Co., Inc. U.S. Separation Benefits Plan (effective as of January 1, 2013) (amended and restated as of October 1, 2013) |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a – 14(a)/15d – 14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a – 14(a)/15d – 14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| 32.2 | Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer |
| 101 | The following materials from Merck & Co., Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Interim Consolidated Statement of Income, (ii) the Interim Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income, (iii) the Interim Consolidated Balance Sheet, (iv) the Interim Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows, and (v) Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements. |

MERCK & CO. INC. U.S. SEPARATION BENEFITS PLAN

Effective as of January 1, 2013
(amended and restated as of October 1, 2013)

MERCK & CO., INC., U.S. SEPARATION BENEFITS PLAN

**SECTION 1
PREAMBLE**

Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. established the MSD Separation Benefits Plan (the "MSD Plan"), as amended from time to time, to provide benefits to eligible non-union employees whose employment with Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. or a participating wholly owned subsidiary (collectively, "MSD") was terminated under certain circumstances at the initiative of MSD.

Schering-Plough Corporation established the Schering-Plough Separation Benefits Plan (the "Schering Plan"), as amended from time to time, for the purpose of providing severance benefits to eligible union and non-union employees whose employment with Schering Corporation and certain of its U.S. affiliated companies was terminated under certain circumstances.

Effective January 1, 2012, the Schering Plan merged into the MSD Plan with the MSD Plan being renamed the Merck & Co., Inc. U.S. Separation Benefits Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan was amended and restated in its entirety at that time. Effective January 1, 2013, the Plan is again being amended and restated in its entirety as set forth herein.

The purpose of the Plan is to provide benefits to eligible employees whose employment with an Employer is terminated at the initiative of the Employer for reasons described below. This Plan is part of the MSD Separation Allowance Plan (Plan No. 514).

SECTION 2
DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this Plan, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

2.1 “Annual Base Salary” means

(a) With respect to a Participant who is exempt as of his or her Separation Date, his or her annual base salary in effect as of his or her Separation Date, according to the Employer’s payroll records, without reduction for any contributions to Employer-sponsored benefit plans. For the avoidance of doubt, (i) with respect to a Participant who is exempt and regularly scheduled to work less than full-time as of his or her Separation Date, Annual Base Salary is the reduced annual base salary in effect on his or her Separation Date applicable to the less than full time position, according to the Employer’s payroll records, without reduction for any contributions to the Employer-sponsored benefit plans and (ii) no adjustment is made to Annual Base Salary if the Participant’s annual base salary in effect during any period prior to his or her Separation Date is higher or lower (for any reason, including promotion/demotion or a move to or from full-time or part-time status) than his or her annual base salary in effect as of his or her Separation Date, according to the Employer’s payroll records.

(b) With respect to a Participant who is non-exempt as of his or her Separation Date, the hourly rate according to the Employer’s payroll records in effect as of his or her Separation Date multiplied by the number of hours the Eligible Employee is regularly scheduled to work as of his or her Separation Date (up to a maximum of 2080 hours).

Annual Base Salary does not include bonuses, commissions, overtime pay, shift pay, premium pay, lump sum merit increases, cost of living allowances, income from stock options or other incentives under an Incentive Stock Plan of the Employer (or the Parent or any of its subsidiaries), stock grants or other incentives, or other pay not specifically included above.

For example, a Participant who is regularly scheduled to work less than full-time on his Separation Date has 10 Complete Years of Continuous Service (9 at full-time and 1 at less than full-time), had an annual base salary of \$100,000 as a full-time employee but on his Separation Date has an annual base salary of \$50,000 according to the Employer’s payroll records because it was reduced as applicable for the less than full-time position. The Participant’s Separation Pay will be calculated using 10 Complete Years of Continuous Service and an Annual Base Salary of \$50,000. There is no adjustment in Annual Base Salary for prior years of higher annual base salary due to full-time service.

2.2 “Base Pay Rate” means

(a) With respect to an Eligible Employee who is exempt, his/her annual base pay according to the Employer’s payroll records in effect as of the date the Eligible Employee is offered a Qualified Alternative Position or a Negotiated Job Offer. For an Eligible Employee who is regularly scheduled to work less than full-time, annual base pay is the reduced annual base pay to the less than full-time position.

(b) With respect to an Eligible Employee who is non-exempt, the hourly rate according to the Employer’s payroll records in effect as of the date the Eligible Employee is

offered a Qualified Alternative Position or a Negotiated Job Offer multiplied by the number of hours the Eligible Employee is regularly scheduled to work (up to a maximum of 2080 hours).

Base Pay Rate is calculated without reduction for any contributions to Employer-sponsored benefit plans. Base Pay Rate includes applicable shift pay and premium pay but does not include bonuses, commissions, overtime pay, lump sum merit increases, cost of living allowances, income from awards granted under an Incentive Stock Plan of the Employer (or the Parent or its subsidiaries), or other pay not specifically included above.

2.3 “Basic Life Insurance” means life insurance provided to an Eligible Employee under a plan sponsored by Parent or a subsidiary of Parent equal to 1x "base pay" as defined under the life insurance plan in which the Eligible Employee participates, as it may be amended from time to time.

2.4 “Benefits Continuation Period” means the period of time, as set forth on Schedule B-2, during which a Participant is eligible to receive Separation Benefits, provided, however that the Participant may elect to end the period earlier than indicated on Schedule B-2 by notifying the Employer's health and insurance plan administrator (i) within the later of thirty (30) days from the Participant's Separation Date or the date by which the Participant is provided to review the Separation Letter so that the Benefit Continuation Period ends on the date it would have otherwise begun, or (ii) during the Employer's annual open enrollment period for health and insurance benefits so that the Benefit Continuation Period ends the following January 1 (provided that date is not beyond the period set forth on Schedule B-2), or (iii) mid-year with a qualified status change that otherwise permits the Participant to make a change to the Participant's healthcare coverage in accordance with the terms of the Employer's healthcare plan so that the Benefits Continuation Period ends on the date the mid-year change would otherwise be effective under the terms of the Employer's healthcare plan (provided that date is not beyond the period set forth on Schedule B-2).

2.5 “Change in Control” shall have the meaning set forth in the CIC Plan (and, for avoidance of doubt, a valid amendment of that definition under the CIC Plan shall constitute an amendment of this Plan without further action).

2.6 “CIC Plan” means the Merck & Co., Inc. Change in Control Separation Benefits Plan, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2013 and as it may be further amended from time to time, and any successor thereto.

2.7 “Claims Reviewer” means the Merck & Co., Inc. Employee Benefits Committee (or its delegate) whose members are appointed by the Parent's Executive Vice President of Human Resources or his or her delegate; provided, however, for Section 16 Officers, Claims Reviewer means the Compensation and Benefits Committee of the Board of Directors of Parent or its delegate.

2.8 “Code” means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended and the regulations promulgated thereunder.

2.9 “Complete Years of Continuous Service” means (a) for a Legacy Schering Employee, a year from the Participant's Most Recent Hire Date with a Legacy Schering Entity to its anniversary, and thereafter from each anniversary to the next, (b) for a Legacy Merck Employee, a year from the Participant's Most Recent Hire Date with a Legacy Merck Entity to its anniversary, and thereafter from each anniversary to the next, (c) for a Legacy Inspire Employee, a year from

the Participant's Most Recent Hire Date with a Merck Entity to its anniversary, and thereafter from each anniversary to the next, and (d) for a Non-Legacy Company Employee, from the Participant's Most Recent Hire Date with a Merck Entity, and thereafter from each anniversary to the next.

2.10 "Continuous Service" means (a) for a Legacy Schering Employee, the period of a Participant's continuous employment with a Legacy Schering Entity commencing on the Participant's Most Recent Hire Date with a Legacy Schering Entity and ending on the Separation Date as reflected on the Employer's employee database, (b) for a Legacy Merck Employee, the period of a Participant's continuous employment with a Legacy Merck Entity commencing on the Participant's Most Recent Hire Date with a Legacy Merck Entity and ending on the Separation Date as reflected on the Employer's employee database, (c) for a Legacy Inspire Employee, the period of a Participant's continuous employment with a Merck Entity commencing on the Participant's Most Recent Hire Date with a Merck Entity and ending on the Separation Date as reflected on the Employer's employee database, and (d) for a Non-Legacy Company Employee, the period of a Participant's continuous employment with a Merck Entity commencing on the Participant's Most Recent Hire Date with a Merck Entity and ending on the Separation Date as reflected on the Employer's employee database. For the avoidance of doubt, service prior to November 4, 2009 by a Legacy Schering Employee with a Legacy Merck Entity or a Legacy Merck Employee with a Legacy Schering Entity is excluded from "Continuous Service." Notwithstanding anything contained in this Plan to the contrary, employment with a Legacy Schering Entity, Legacy Merck Entity or a Merck Entity as an Excluded Person does not count as "Continuous Service".

2.11 "Eligible Employee" means (a) any regular full-time or regular part-time employee of an Employer who is on the Employer's normal U.S. payroll and as to whom the terms and conditions of employment are not covered by a collective bargaining agreement unless the collective bargaining agreement specifically provides for coverage under the Plan; or (b) a U.S. Expatriate on an Employer's normal U.S. payroll.

The term "Eligible Employee" shall not include:

- (i) an employee (x) who is a party to an employment agreement with the Employer or with the Parent (or any of its subsidiaries) or (y) who is entitled, upon termination of employment with the Employer, to separation, severance, termination or other similar payments (1) under another plan or program sponsored by the Employer or Parent (or any of its subsidiaries); or (2) pursuant to a separate agreement with the Employer or Parent (or any of its subsidiaries) or (z) who is a party to an agreement with the Employer or Parent (or any of its subsidiaries) that provides that no payment or benefits are due to the employee in connection with his or her termination of employment; provided, however, in each case under the foregoing clauses (x), (y) and (z) unless the plan, program or agreement expressly provides for benefits under this Plan.
- (ii) a participant in the CIC Plan (but this clause shall only apply during the Protection Period (as defined in Section 8.1));
- (iii) temporary employees (including college coops, summer employees, high school coops, flexible workforce employees, post-doctorate research fellows and any other such temporary classifications) and/or employees called by the Employer at any time for employment in the U.S. on a non-scheduled and non-recurring basis, and who becomes an employee of the Employer only after reporting to work for the period of time during which the person is working;

- (iv) an Excluded Person;
- (v) employees of a non-US subsidiary of an Employer (or who are dual employees of a non-US subsidiary of an Employer) who are on assignment in the US;
- (vi) employees whose employment ends for any reason while on unapproved leaves of absence;
- (vii) employees whose employment ends for any reason while on approved leaves of absence for a period equal to or more than six continuous months regardless of the reason(s) for the leave excluding the following approved leaves of absence: medical disability leaves, military leaves and family medical leaves under federal or state family medical leave laws and excluding Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employees;
- (viii) employees whose employment ends for any reason while on approved leaves of absence for medical disability for a period equal to or more than one year excluding Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employees; and/or.
- (ix) Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employees who have not been medically cleared to return to work or who do not return to work within two years of their first day absent.

For purposes of the foregoing clauses (vii) and (viii), a series of leaves of absence is considered one continuous leave for purposes of calculating the six-month or one-year requirement if the employee does not return to active employment for any reason, including but not limited to because the employee's former position is unavailable and the employee is unable to secure a new position.

Whether an individual is an Eligible Employee or not is determined as of the date of his/her Termination due to Workforce Restructuring or for Rebadged Employees as of the date of his/her termination of employment due to an outsource transaction or for Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employees as of the date of his/her Grandfathered Legacy Schering Termination.

2.12 "**Employer**" means individually and collectively, the entities identified on Schedule A attached hereto.

2.13 "**ERISA**" means the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, and the regulations promulgated thereunder.

2.14 "**Excluded Person**" means a person who (i) is an independent contractor, or agrees or has agreed that he/she is an independent contractor, or (ii) has any agreement or understanding with the Employer, or any of its affiliates that he/she is not an employee or an Eligible Employee, or (iii) is employed by a temporary or other employment agency, regardless of the amount of control, supervision or training provided by the Employer or its affiliates, or (iv) is a "leased employee" as defined under Section 414(n) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or (v) is not treated by the Employer as an employee for purposes of withholding federal income taxes, regardless of any contrary Internal Revenue Service, governmental or judicial determination relating to such employment status or tax withholding. An Excluded Person is not eligible to participate in the Plan even if a court, agency or other authority rules that he/she is a common-law employee of the Employer or its affiliates.

- 2.15 "Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employees"** means Legacy Schering Employees who (i) were absent from work on December 31, 2011 on an approved medical leave of absence and receiving disability benefits under an Employer-sponsored disability plan and (ii) were notified on or prior to December 31, 2011 that their position was scheduled to be eliminated.
- 2.16 "Grandfathered Legacy Schering Termination"** means the termination of employment by the Employer of a Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employee who is medically cleared to return to work within two years of his or her first day absent but does not return to work within such time period because he or she is unable to secure a Qualified Alternate Position.
- 2.17 "Legacy Inspire Employee"** means an Eligible Employee who (a) as of December 31, 2012 is employed by a Merck Entity and either continues to be employed by such entity until his/her Separation Date or is rehired or transferred to such entity after December 31, 2012, and (b) as of his/her Separation Date is (i) employed by an Employer, and (ii) coded in the employee data base of Parent as S6 (Legacy Inspire) under infotype 35, and (iii) not covered by a collective bargaining agreement.
- 2.18 "Legacy Merck Employee"** means an Eligible Employee who (a) as of December 31, 2012 is employed by a Merck Entity and either continues to be employed by such entity until his/her Separation Date or is rehired or transferred to such entity after December 31, 2012, and (b) as of his/her Separation Date is (i) employed by an Employer, and (ii) coded in the employee data base of Parent with a blank indicator under infotype 35, and (iii) not covered by a collective bargaining agreement.
- 2.19 "Legacy Merck Entity"** means (a) for the period prior to November 4, 2009, Old Merck and its direct or indirect wholly owned subsidiaries and (b) for the period beginning November 4, 2009, New Merck and its direct or indirect wholly owned subsidiaries.
- 2.20 "Legacy Schering Employee"** means an Eligible Employee who (a) as of December 31, 2012 is employed by a Merck Entity and either continues to be employed by such entity until his/her Separation Date or is rehired by or transferred to such entity after December 31, 2012, and (b) as of his/her Separation Date is (i) employed by an Employer, (ii) coded in the employee data base of Parent as S1 (Legacy Organon), S2 (Legacy Intervet) or S5 (Legacy Schering-Plough) under infotype 35, and (iii) not covered by a collective bargaining agreement unless that agreement specifically provides for benefits under this Plan.
- 2.21 "Legacy Schering Entity"** means (a) for the period prior to November 9, 2009, Schering-Plough Corporation and its direct or indirect wholly owned subsidiaries and (b) for the period beginning November 4, 2009, New Merck and its direct or indirect wholly owned subsidiaries.
- 2.22 "Merck Entity"** means for the period beginning November 4, 2009, New Merck and its direct or indirect wholly owned subsidiaries.
- 2.23 "Misconduct"** means conduct which includes (a) falsification of an Employer's or Parent's records/misrepresentation; (b) theft; (c) acts or threats of violence; (d) refusal to carry out assigned work; (e) unauthorized possession of alcohol or illegal drugs on an Employer's or Parent's premises; (f) being under the influence of alcohol or illegal drugs during work hours; (g) willful intent to damage or destroy an Employer's or Parent's property; (h) violation of the Parent's "Our Values and Standards"; (i) acts of discrimination/harassment; (j) conduct jeopardizing the integrity of the products of an Employer, Parent or one or more of its subsidiaries; (k) violation of rules,

policies, and/or practices of an Employer or Parent; or (l) other conduct considered to be detrimental to an Employer, the Parent or one or more of its subsidiaries.

2.24 "Most Recent Hire Date" means (a) for a Legacy Schering Employee, his or her most recent hire date at a Legacy Schering Entity or an entity acquired by a Legacy Schering Entity as reflected on the Employer's employee data system, (b) for a Legacy Merck Employee, his or her most recent hire date at a Legacy Merck Entity or an entity acquired by a Legacy Merck Entity as reflected on the Employer's employee data system, (c) for a Legacy Inspire Employee, his or her most recent hire date at a Merck Entity or an entity acquired by a Merck Entity as reflected on the Employer's employee data system, and (d) for a Non-Legacy Company Employee, his or her most recent hire date at a Merck Entity or an entity acquired by a Merck Entity as reflected on the Employer's employee data system. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the most recent hire date for a Legacy Merck Employee who was employed by a Legacy Merck Entity on December 31, 1997, transferred from that entity to Merial as of January 1, 1998, remained continuously employed by Merial through the date he or she transferred employment from Merial to a Legacy Merck Entity and whose transfer to a Legacy Merck Entity occurred between October 1, 2000 and June 1, 2001, is his or her most recent hire date on the Employer's employee data system at a Legacy Merck Entity prior to his or her transfer to Merial. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the most recent hire date for a Legacy Merck Employee who was employed by a Legacy Merck Entity on December 31, 2007, transferred from that entity to PRWT as of January 1, 2008, remained continuously employed by PRWT through September 3, 2010 and who was rehired by a Legacy Merck Entity as of September 3, 2010, is his or her most recent hire date on the Employer's employee data system at a Legacy Merck Entity prior to his or her transfer to PRWT.

2.25 "Negotiated Job Offer" means an offer of employment (or an offer of continued employment) with a successor employer or outsource vendor the terms and conditions of which are negotiated by an Employer, Parent or one of its subsidiaries or affiliates and may include, among other things, a reduction in Base Pay Rate.

2.26 "New Merck" means Merck & Co., Inc. (formerly known as Schering-Plough Corporation) on and after November 4, 2009

2.27 "Non-Legacy Company Employee" means an Eligible Employee who (a) is first hired by a Merck Entity on or after January 1, 2013, and (b) as of his/her Separation Date is (i) employed by an Employer, and (ii) coded in the employee data base of Parent with a blank indicator under infotype 35, and (iii) not covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

2.28 "Offer Outside Geographic Parameters" means a Negotiated Job Offer that results in the relocation of the Eligible Employee's principal business location to a new principal business location (x) where the distance between the Eligible Employee's residence immediately prior to the extension of the Negotiated Job Offer and his/her new principal business location is more than 50 miles greater than the distance between the Eligible Employee's residence and his/her principal business location at the time the Negotiated Job Offer is extended or (y) more than 75 miles from the Eligible Employee's residence at the time the Negotiated Job Offer is extended and not closer to the Eligible Employee's residence at that time.

Distance will be determined by the Employer in its sole and absolute discretion using a nationally recognized mapping service. For Eligible Employees who are field sales representatives/managers, the principal business location is the geographic workload center of the territory as determined by the Employer in its sole and absolute discretion.

For example, an Eligible Employee receives a Negotiated Job Offer that results in relocation of the Eligible Employee's principal business location from site A to site B. Assume at the time the Negotiated Job Offer is extended that the distance from the Eligible Employee's residence to site A is 20 miles and to site B is 60 miles resulting in a 40 mile increase in distance to site B (60 minus 20). The position at site B is not an Offer Outside Geographic Parameters because site B is not more than 50 miles further from the Employee's residence than site A and site B is not more than 75 miles from the Employee's residence.

Assume instead that at the time the Negotiated Job Offer is extended the distance from the Eligible Employee's residence to site A is 40 miles and to site B is 80 miles resulting in a 40 mile increase in distance to site B (80 minus 40). The position at site B is an Offer Outside Geographic Parameters because although the increase in distance to site B is less than 50 miles (only 40 miles), the distance at the time the Negotiated Job Offer is extended from the Eligible Employee's residence to site B is over 75 miles (and not closer to his or her residence).

Assume instead that at the time the Negotiated Job Offer is extended the distance from the Eligible Employee's residence to site A is 80 miles and to site B is 76 miles resulting in a 4 mile decrease in distance to site B (80 minus 76). The position at site B is not an Offer Outside Geographic Parameters because although the distance to site B is over 75 miles, at the time the Negotiated Job Offer is extended it is closer to the Eligible Employee's residence by 4 miles.

Whether a position is an Offer Outside Geographic Parameters shall be determined at the time a Negotiated Job Offer is offered or communicated to the Eligible Employee or to the Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employee by the Employer.

2.29 "**Old Merck**" means Merck & Co., Inc. prior to November 4, 2009 (subsequently known as Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp).

2.30 "**Outplacement Benefits**" means benefits for outplacement counseling or other outplacement services made available to a Participant as provided pursuant to Section 4.4 of this Plan.

2.31 "**Parent**" means New Merck.

2.32 "**Participant**" means an Eligible Employee who has experienced a Termination due to Workforce Restructuring and who has signed, and, if a revocation period is applicable, not revoked, a Release of Claims in a form that is satisfactory to the Employer in its sole and absolute discretion.

The term "Participant" shall also include, where and as applicable a Rebadged Employee and a Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employee who has experienced a Grandfathered Legacy Schering Termination, in each case, who has signed and, if a revocation period is applicable, not revoked a Release of Claims in a form that is satisfactory to the Employer in its sole and absolute discretion.

2.33 "**Plan**" means the Merck & Co., Inc., U.S. Separation Benefits Plan as set forth herein, and as may be amended from time to time.

2.34 “Plan Administrator” means the Parent or its delegate.

2.35 “Plan Year” means the calendar year January 1 through December 31 on which the records of the Plan are kept.

2.36 “Qualified Alternative Position” means a position with an Employer, the Parent or any of its subsidiaries which does not result in either of the following:

(i) a reduction in the Eligible Employee's Base Pay Rate; or

(ii) relocation of the Eligible Employee's principal business location to a new principal business location (x) where the distance between the Eligible Employee's residence immediately prior to the relocation and his/her new principal business location is more than 50 miles greater than the distance between the the Eligible Employee's residence and his/her principal business location immediately prior to the relocation or (y) that is more than 75 miles from the Eligible Employee's residence immediately prior to the relocation and not closer to the Eligible Employee's residence at that time.

Distance will be determined by the Employer in its sole and absolute discretion using a nationally recognized mapping service. For Eligible Employees who are field sales representatives/managers, the principal business location is the geographic workload center of the territory as determined by the Employer in its sole and absolute discretion.

For example, an Eligible Employee's principal business location is relocated from site A to site B. Assume the distance from the Eligible Employee's residence at the time of relocation to site A is 20 miles and to site B is 60 miles resulting in a 40 mile increase in distance to site B (60 minus 20). Assuming no decrease in the Eligible Employee's Base Pay Rate, the position at site B is a Qualified Alternative Position because site B is not more than 50 miles further from the Employee's residence than site A and site B is not more than 75 miles from the Employee's residence.

Assume instead that the distance from the Eligible Employee's residence at the time of relocation to site A is 40 miles and to site B is 80 miles resulting in a 40 mile increase in distance to site B (80 minus 40). The position at site B is not a Qualified Alternative Position because although the increase in distance to site B is less than 50 miles (only 40 miles), the distance from the Eligible Employee's residence at the time of relocation to site B is over 75 miles (and not closer to his or her residence).

Assume instead that the distance from the Eligible Employee's residence at the time of relocation to site A is 80 miles and to site B is 76 miles resulting in a 4 mile decrease in distance to site B (80 minus 76). Assuming no decrease in the Eligible Employee's Base Pay Rate, the position at site B is a Qualified Alternative Position because although the distance to site B is over 75 miles, it is closer to the Eligible Employee's residence at the time of relocation by 4 miles.

Whether a position is a Qualified Alternative Position shall be determined at the time such position is offered or communicated to the Eligible Employee or to the Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employee by his/her manager.

2.37 "Rebadged Employee" means an Eligible Employee whose employment with the Employer is terminated by the Employer in connection with the outsourcing of work by the

Employer in a transaction with a third-party vendor where the Eligible Employee is offered a Negotiated Job Offer and:

- (a) (i) accepts the Negotiated Job Offer; or (ii) declines the Negotiated Job Offer, provided the Negotiated Job Offer is not an Offer Outside Geographic Parameters; and
- (b) remains employed with the Employer through the date established by the Employer as the employee's Separation Date unless the Employer expressly waives this provision.

Whether an Eligible Employee is a Rebadged Employee shall be determined by the Employer or Parent in its sole discretion. An Eligible Employee shall not be considered to be a Rebadged Employee if his or her employment with the Employer (i) does not end as set forth in this Section 2.32 (ii) ends due to the declination of a Negotiated Job Offer that is an Offer Outside Geographic Parameters, or (iii) ends as a result of any of the events described in Section 3.1(e).

For the avoidance of doubt, a Rebadged Employee shall not be considered to have experienced a Termination due to Workforce Restructuring for purposes of the Plan.

2.38 “Release of Claims” means the agreement that an Eligible Employee must execute in order to become a Participant and to receive Separation Plan Benefits, which shall be prepared by the Employer or the Parent and shall contain such terms and conditions as determined by the Employer or the Parent, including but not limited to a general release of claims, known or unknown, that the Eligible Employee may have against the Employer (and the Parent and any of its subsidiaries and/or affiliates), including claims related to the employment and termination of employment of the Eligible Employee; such Release of Claims may also contain, in the Employer’s or the Parent’s discretion, other terms and conditions including, without limitation, cooperation in litigation, non-disclosure, confidentiality, non-disparagement, non-solicitation and/or non-competition provisions.

2.39 “Section 16 Officer” means an “officer” as such term is defined in Rule 16(a)-1(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 of the Parent who is also an Eligible Employee of an Employer.

2.40 “Separation Benefits” means the benefits provided pursuant to Sections 4.2 and 4.3 of this Plan.

2.41 “Separation Date” means the Eligible Employee’s last day of employment with the Employer due to a Termination due to Workforce Restructuring or, in the case of a Rebadged Employee, due to the outsourcing transaction. The Separation Date of an Eligible Employee who dies prior to his or her scheduled Separation Date but after he or she was notified of a scheduled Separation Date shall be deemed to have occurred on the day before his/her date of death. For Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employees, "Separation Date" means the last day of employment with the Employer due to a Grandfathered Legacy Schering Termination.

2.42 “Separation Pay” means the cash benefit payable under this Plan pursuant to Section 4.1 or to a Rebadged Employee pursuant to Section 4.5.

2.43 “Separation Plan Benefits” means, collectively, Separation Pay, Separation Benefits and Outplacement Benefits.

2.44 "Termination Due to Non-Performance" means a termination of an Eligible Employee's employment as determined and caused by the Employer due to the Eligible Employee's failure to perform his or her job assignments in a satisfactory manner.

2.45 "Termination due to Workforce Restructuring" means the termination of an Eligible Employee's employment as determined and caused by the Employer due to:

- (a) the elimination of an Eligible Employee's job;
- (b) organizational changes; or
- (c) a general reduction of the workforce.

Whether an Eligible Employee's job is eliminated is determined by the Employer **but excludes** the maintenance of the position with the elimination of a part-time or job share arrangement or other flexible work arrangement.

Organizational changes are determined by the Employer and include the following actions: discontinuance of operations, location closings, corporate restructuring **but exclude** a reduction in job title, grade or band level, Base Pay Rate, short term incentive opportunity (e.g., cash bonuses under any bonus or incentive plan or program of the Parent), long-term incentive compensation opportunity, equity compensation opportunity and/or other forms of remuneration of an Eligible Employee with or without a change in the Eligible Employee's job duties where such reduction is due to (i) a general change in the Employer's or the Parent's compensation framework as it applies to similarly situated Eligible Employees, (e.g., a change in the general compensation framework applicable to similar jobs with the Employer, or an identifiable segment of the Employer such as a subsidiary, division or department); (ii) an action to align the Eligible Employee with the Employer's or the Parent's compensation and career framework as it applies to similarly situated Eligible Employees; or (iii) a demotion or other action taken as a result of the Eligible Employee's performance or behaviors.

An Eligible Employee shall not be considered to have incurred a Termination due to Workforce Restructuring if his or her employment with the Employer (i) does not end due to this Section 2.40 (a), (b) or (c) or (ii) ends as a result of any of the events described in Section 3.1(d).

For the avoidance of doubt with respect to outsourcing transactions, (x) an Eligible Employee whose employment with the Employer is terminated by the Employer in connection with the outsourcing of work by the Employer in a transaction with a third -party vendor where the individual is offered a Negotiated Job Offer and declines the Negotiated Job Offer because it is an Offer Outside Geographic Parameters, is considered to have incurred a Termination due to Workforce Restructuring provided his or her employment with the Employer does not end as a result of any of the events described in Section 3.1 (d), and (y) a Rebadged Employee shall not be considered to have experienced a Termination due to Workforce Restructuring for purposes the Plan.

2.46 "U.S. Expatriate" means a U.S. citizen or individual with U.S. Permanent Resident status who is employed by the Employer and on assignment outside the U.S. and who is not an Excluded Person .

SECTION 3
ELIGIBILITY FOR BENEFITS

3.1 Eligibility.

(a) An Eligible Employee will be eligible for Separation Plan Benefits described in Section 4 (excluding Section 4.5) when he/she experiences a Termination due to Workforce Restructuring; provided, however, that a Legacy Inspire Employee will be eligible for Separation Plan Benefits described in Section 4 (excluding Section 4.5) only if he/she experiences a Termination due to Workforce Restructuring on or after May 17, 2013. A Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employee will be eligible for Separation Plan Benefits described in Section 4 (excluding Section 4.5) if he or she experiences a Grandfathered Legacy Schering Termination. Separation Plan Benefits shall be provided under this Plan to an Eligible Employee who experiences a Termination due to Workforce Restructuring or to a Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employee who experiences a Grandfathered Legacy Schering Termination, in each case only if the Eligible Employee or Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employee has executed and, if a revocation period is applicable, not revoked a Release of Claims in a form satisfactory to the Employer or Parent in its sole and nonreviewable discretion. An Eligible Employee or a Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employee who has executed and, if a revocation period is applicable, not revoked a Release of Claims is a Participant.

(b) A Rebadged Employee will be eligible for Separation Pay described in Section 4.5; provided, however, that a Rebadged Employee who is a Legacy Inspire Employee will be eligible for Separation Pay described in Section 4.5 only if his/her employment with an Employer is terminated by the Employer in connection with the outsourcing of work on or after May 17, 2013. Separation Pay shall be provided under this Plan to a Rebadged Employee only if the Rebadged Employee has executed and, if a revocation period is applicable, not revoked a Release of Claims in a form satisfactory to the Employer or Parent in its sole and nonreviewable discretion. A Rebadged Employee who has executed and, if a revocation period is applicable, not revoked a Release of Claims is a Participant. A Rebadged Employee is not eligible for Separation Benefits or Outplacement Benefits.

(c) An Eligible Employee will also be entitled to receive those pension benefits set forth in Schedule D (Change in Control/Pension) and retiree medical benefits set forth in Schedule E (Change in Control/Retiree Medical) if (i) a Change in Control has occurred and (ii) within two years thereafter, the Eligible Employee's employment with the Employer (or successor employer) is terminated by the Employer (or successor employer) for any reason other than for Misconduct, death or "Permanent Disability" (as such term is defined in the CIC Plan), and (iii) the Eligible Employee signs and returns the release of claims in use under the CIC Plan and in accordance with the process established under the CIC Plan.

(d) Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, an Eligible Employee shall not be considered to have incurred a Termination due to Workforce Restructuring under the Plan if his or her employment ends as a result of any of the following events:

(i) a divestiture of a subsidiary, division or other identifiable segment of the Employer or Parent or a transfer of the Eligible Employee to a joint venture or other business entity in which the Employer or the Parent directly or indirectly will own some outstanding voting or other ownership interest, in each case where either

(x) the Eligible Employee is offered and accepts, or continues in, a Negotiated Job Offer; or

- (y) the Eligible Employee is offered and declines a Negotiated Job Offer, unless the Negotiated Job Offer is an Offer Outside Geographic Parameters with the acquiring entity or vendor;
 - (ii) the Employer's decision to outsource work to a third-party vendor where the Eligible Employee is a Rebadged Employee;
 - (iii) the Eligible Employee's voluntary resignation for any reason including after reaching early or normal retirement age under the retirement plan applicable to the Eligible Employee;
 - (iv) a termination for Misconduct;
 - (v) death (unless the Eligible Employee is not a Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employee and dies after he/she has been notified of his/her scheduled Separation Date but before the Separation Date occurs and a valid Release of Claims is executed by the Eligible Employee's estate) in which case the Eligible Employee's Separation Date shall be deemed to have occurred on the day before his/her date of death;
 - (vi) the Eligible Employee terminating employment with the Employer prior to the date identified as the date the employee would experience a Termination due to Workforce Restructuring unless the Employer expressly agreed to waive this provision;
 - (vii) failure by the Eligible Employee (other than a Legacy Schering Grandfathered Employee) to return to work at the Employer (or the Parent or any of its subsidiaries) for any reason, including, but not limited to the Eligible Employee's failure to secure a position at the Employer (or the Parent or any of its subsidiaries) upon a return from a leave of absence for any reason; or
 - (viii) failure by a Legacy Schering Grandfathered Employee to return to work at the Employer (or the Parent or any of its subsidiaries) within two years of his or her first day absent due to disability; or
 - (ix) the Eligible Employee's decision to decline a Qualified Alternative Position for any reason (including, but not limited to because the employee is a part-time employee and is offered a full-time position, is a shift-worker and the position offered is on a different shift or has a job share or other flexible work arrangement and the position offered is not a job share or does not include a flexible work arrangement) that is offered to the Eligible Employee prior to the Eligible Employee's Separation Date; or
 - (x) the Eligible Employee's decision to accept an alternate position with the Employer, Parent or any of its subsidiaries (whether or not the position is a Qualified Alternative Position) and to later decline it; or
 - (xi) Termination Due to Non-Performance.
- (e) Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, an Eligible Employee shall not be considered to be a Rebadged Employee under the Plan if his or her employment ends as a result of any of the following events:
- (i) a divestiture of a subsidiary, division or other identifiable segment of the Employer or Parent or a transfer of the Eligible Employee to a joint venture or other business entity in which the Employer or the Parent directly or indirectly will own some outstanding voting or other ownership interest;
 - (ii) the Employer's decision to outsource work to a third-party vendor where the Eligible Employee is offered a Negotiated Job Offer and declines it because it is an Offer Outside Geographic Parameters;

(iii) the Eligible Employee's voluntary resignation for any reason including after reaching early or normal retirement age under the retirement plan applicable to the Eligible Employee;

(iv) a termination for Misconduct;

(v) death (unless the Eligible Employee is not a Grandfathered Legacy Schering Employee and dies after he/she has been notified of his/her scheduled Separation Date but before the Separation Date occurs and a valid Release of Claims is executed by the Eligible Employee's estate) in which case the Eligible Employee's Separation Date shall be deemed to have occurred on the day before his/her date of death;

(vi) the Eligible Employee terminating employment with the Employer prior to the date identified by the Employer as the Separation Date unless the Employer expressly agreed to waive this provision;

(vii) failure by the Eligible Employee (other than a Legacy Schering Grandfathered Employee) to return to work at the Employer (or the Parent or any of its subsidiaries) for any reason, including, but not limited to the Eligible Employee's failure to secure a position at the Employer (or the Parent or any of its subsidiaries) upon a return from a leave of absence for any reason;

(viii) failure by a Legacy Schering Grandfathered Employee to return to work at the Employer (or the Parent or any of its subsidiaries) within two years of his or her first day absent due to disability; or

(ix) Termination Due to Non-Performance.

3.2 Termination of Eligibility for Benefits. A Participant shall cease to participate in the Plan, and all Separation Plan Benefits shall cease upon the occurrence of the earliest of:

(a) Termination of the Plan prior to, or more than two years following, a Change in Control;

(b) Inability of the Employer to pay Separation Plan Benefits when due;

(c) Completion of payment to the Participant of the Separation Plan Benefits for which the Participant is eligible; and

(d) The Claims Reviewer's determination, in its sole discretion, of the occurrence of the Eligible Employee's Misconduct, regardless of whether such determination occurs before or after the Eligible Employee's Separation Date, unless the Claims Reviewer determines in its sole discretion that Misconduct shall not cause the cessation of Separation Plan Benefits in a particular case.

**SECTION 4
BENEFITS**

4.1 Separation Pay. Separation Pay shall be payable under this Plan to a Participant who is not a Rebadged Employee as set forth on Schedule B-1. The terms of Schedule B-1 are hereby fully incorporated into and shall be considered as part of Section 4 of this Plan. For Separation Pay payable under this Plan to a Rebadged Employee, see Section 4.5 of this Plan.

4.2 Medical and Dental Benefits

(a) A Participant who is covered under any of the Employer's group active medical and dental plans as of his or her Separation Date shall be provided the opportunity to elect to continue such active coverage, as it may be amended from time to time, in accordance with the provisions of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985, Section 4980B of the Code, and Section 601, et seq., of ERISA ("COBRA") and in accordance with the Employer's regular COBRA coverage payment practices, at active employee rates, as the same may be changed from time to time, for his or her Benefits Continuation Period, as determined in accordance with Schedule B-2. The terms of such Schedule B-2 are hereby fully incorporated into and shall be considered as part of Section 4 of this Plan.

(b) A Participant who does not elect to continue active medical and/or dental coverage in accordance with COBRA shall not be eligible for active medical and/or dental benefit continuation coverage at active employee rates during his or her Benefits Continuation Period nor will he or she be eligible to continue such active coverage during the COBRA continuation period at the full COBRA premium.

(c) A Participant who, prior to his or her Separation Date, had elected no active medical or dental coverage under the applicable medical or dental plan will not be permitted to change from no medical and/or dental coverage to coverage as a result of a Termination due to Workforce Restructuring or a Grandfathered Legacy Schering Termination.

(d) Provided the Participant elects to continue coverage under COBRA, active medical and dental continuation coverage, as it may be amended from time to time, at active rates shall begin on the first day of the month coincident with or following the Participant's Separation Date and shall end on the last day of the month in which the Benefits Continuation Period ends, provided the Participant pays the required contributions for coverage in the time and manner required under COBRA. If the Participant fails to pay the required contributions for coverage in the time and manner required under COBRA, or the Participant elects to terminate active medical and/or dental coverage, coverage will end as of the last day of the month for which the contribution was paid and it will not be reinstated. If the Participant has dental coverage on the last day of the Benefits Continuation Period, the Participant may be eligible to continue the dental coverage in effect at the end of the Benefits Continuation Period for the remaining COBRA period, if any, in accordance with COBRA by paying the full COBRA premium. If the Participant is eligible to participate in the retiree medical plan of an Employer (or Parent) as of his or her Separation Date at subsidized or unsubsidized retiree rates, see Section (e) below.

(e) If, as of his or her Separation Date, a Participant is eligible to participate in a retiree medical plan of an Employer (or Parent) at subsidized or unsubsidized rates, then he or she (i) shall be eligible to continue active medical and dental benefits in accordance with this Section 4.2 and, (ii) if eligible for subsidized rates, following the completion of the Benefits Continuation

Period, shall be eligible for retiree medical benefits at subsidized rates under the terms of retiree medical plan applicable to such Participant, as it may be amended from time to time, and (iii) if eligible for unsubsidized rates, following the completion of the Benefits Continuation Period and, if applicable, the COBRA period described in Section (f) below, shall be eligible for retiree medical benefits at unsubsidized rates under the terms of retiree medical plan applicable to such Participant, as it may be amended from time to time. If a Participant is not eligible to continue active medical coverage during the Benefits Continuation Period (e.g., because the Participant had no active coverage on his/her Separation Date or he/she failed to timely elect continuation coverage under COBRA) or the Participant's active medical coverage ends during the Benefits Continuation Period (for any reason, including non-payment), the Participant cannot enroll for medical coverage as a retiree until the end of the Benefits Continuation Period. If the Participant elects to end the Benefits Continuation Period earlier than the period set forth on Schedule B-2 as permitted in Section 2.4, all active medical and/or dental benefit coverage that the Participant would otherwise have been eligible to receive during the maximum Benefits Continuation Period will be permanently and irrevocably forfeited. A Participant cannot be covered as an active employee and as a retiree (even under the retiree no coverage option, if available) in a medical plan of an Employer (or Parent) during the same period; provided, however, that a Participant may be covered through COBRA at full COBRA rates (for the remainder of the COBRA period only) for dental coverage even if during that period the Participant is also covered as a retiree for medical coverage.

(f) If, as of his or her Separation Date, a Participant is not eligible to participate in a retiree medical plan of an Employer (or Parent) or is eligible to participate in a retiree medical plan of an Employer (or Parent) at unsubsidized rates, then following the completion of the Benefits Continuation Period (provided coverage has not terminated prior thereto for any reason, including failure to pay the required contribution) he or she may be eligible to continue coverage in effect at the end of the Benefits Continuation Period for the remaining COBRA period, if any, in accordance with COBRA by paying the full COBRA premium.

(g) Rebadged Employees are not eligible for continuation of active medical and dental benefits at active contribution rates during the Benefits Continuation Period described in this Section 4.2.

4.3 Life Insurance Benefits

(a) A Participant shall be eligible to continue Basic Life Insurance coverage at no cost to the Participant during his or her Benefits Continuation Period, as determined in accordance with Schedule B-2, subject to and in accordance with the terms of the applicable life insurance plan as they may be amended from time to time. The Participant is responsible for paying applicable tax on imputed income, if any, for Basic Life Insurance coverage during his or her Benefits Continuation Period. The terms of such Schedule B-2 are hereby fully incorporated into and shall be considered as part of Section 4 of this Plan.

(b) Basic Life Insurance coverage shall end on the last day of the month in which the Benefits Continuation Period ends. If the Participant elects to end the Benefits Continuation Period earlier than the period set forth on Schedule B-2 as permitted in Section 2.4, all Basic Life Insurance coverage that the Participant would otherwise have been eligible to receive during the maximum Benefits Continuation Period will be permanently and irrevocably forfeited.

(c) Rebadged Employees are not eligible for the life insurance benefits described in this Section 4.3.

4.4 Outplacement Benefits. Benefits for outplacement counseling or other outplacement services, as set forth in Schedule C, will be made available to a Participant. The terms of such Schedule C are hereby fully incorporated into and shall be considered as part of Section 4 of this Plan. Outplacement benefits shall be provided in kind; cash shall not be paid in lieu of outplacement benefits nor will Separation Pay be increased if a Participant declines or does not use the outplacement benefits. Rebadged Employees are not eligible for outplacement benefits described in this Section 4.4.

4.5. Separation Pay for Rebadged Employees. A Rebadged Employee who is a Participant shall be eligible for Separation Pay under this Plan in an amount equal to 50% of the Separation Pay that would be payable had he or she experienced a Termination due to Workforce Restructuring.

For the avoidance of doubt, a Rebadged Employee shall not be eligible for any Separation Plan Benefits other than the Separation Pay described in this Section 4.5.

4.6 Reduction of Benefits. Notwithstanding anything in this Plan to the contrary, a Participant's Separation Pay (including Separation Pay described in Section 4.5) and Separation Benefits, if applicable, shall be reduced by:

(a) any amount the Plan Administrator reasonably concludes the Participant owes the Employer (or the Parent or any subsidiary or affiliate of the Parent) including, without limitation, unpaid bills under the corporate credit card program, and for vacation used, but not earned;

(b) any severance or severance type benefits that the Employer (or the Parent or any subsidiary or affiliate of the Parent) must pay to a Participant under applicable law;

(c) where permitted by law, any payments received by the Participant pursuant to state workers compensation laws;

(d) short-term disability benefits where state law does not permit Separation Pay to be offset from short-term disability benefits (or where the Employer in its sole and absolute discretion determines it is administratively easier for the Employer to reduce Separation Pay by short-term disability benefits in lieu of reducing short-term disability benefits by Separation Pay).

Notwithstanding anything in the Plan to the contrary, a Participant's Separation Pay (including Separation Pay described in Section 4.5) and Separation Benefits are not meant to duplicate pay and benefits provided by the Employer (or the Parent or any of its subsidiaries) in connection with any Participant's Termination due to Workforce Restructuring or in connection with a Participant's termination due to the outsourcing of work to a third-party vendor, including pay and benefits under the federal Worker Adjustment Retraining and Notification Act and any state or local equivalent (collectively the "WARN Act"). If the Plan Administrator determines that a Participant is entitled to WARN Act damages or WARN Act notice, the Plan Administrator in its sole and absolute discretion may reduce the Participant's Separation Pay and Separation Benefits under the Plan by the WARN Act damages or pay and benefits after receiving WARN Act notice, but not below \$500, with the remaining Separation Pay and Separation Benefits provided to the Participant in accordance with the terms of the Plan in satisfaction of the Participant's WARN Act notice rights or damages. In all other cases, Separation Pay paid under the Plan in excess of \$500 will be treated as having been paid to satisfy any WARN Act damages, if applicable.

SECTION 5

FORM AND TIMING OF BENEFITS; FORFEITURE AND REPAYMENT OF BENEFITS

5.1 Form and Time of Payment

(a) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (b), Separation Pay, less taxes and applicable deductions shall be paid in a lump sum as soon as practicable after the Participant's Termination due to Workforce Restructuring (or in the case of a Rebadged Employee, after termination of employment due to the outsourcing transaction) and the expiration of any period during which the Participant may consider, sign and, if a revocation period is applicable, revoke the Release of Claims, but in no event later than March 15 of the calendar year following the year of a Participant's Separation Date.

(b) If it is determined by the Employer or Parent in its discretion, that (i) the Participant is, as of his or her Separation Date, a "specified employee" (as such term is defined in Section 409A(2)(B) of the Code); and (ii) the Separation Pay payable pursuant to the terms of the Plan constitutes nonqualified deferred compensation that would subject the Participant to "additional tax" under Section 409A(a)(1)(B) of the Code (the "409A Tax"), then the payment of Separation Pay will be postponed to the first business day of the seventh month following the Separation Date or, if earlier, the date of the Participant's death.

5.1 Taxes. Separation Pay payable under this Plan shall be subject to the withholding of appropriate federal, state and local taxes.

Notwithstanding anything in this Plan to the contrary, the Employer or Parent will take such actions as it deems necessary, in its sole and absolute discretion, to avoid the imposition of a 409A Tax at such time and in such manner as permitted under Section 409A of the Code, including, but not limited to, reducing or eliminating benefits and changing the time or form of payment of benefits.

5.3 Forfeiture of Benefits. The Employer reserves the right, in its sole and absolute discretion, to cancel all Separation Plan Benefits and seek the return of Separation Pay in the event a Participant engages in any activity that the Employer considers detrimental to its interests (or the interests of the Parent or any of its subsidiaries) as determined by the Parent's Executive Vice President and General Counsel and the Parent's Executive Vice President, Human Resources. Activities that the Employer considers detrimental to its interest (or the interests of the Parent or any of its subsidiaries) include, but are not limited to:

- (a) breach of any obligations of the Participant's terms and conditions of employment;
- (b) making false or misleading statements about the Employer, the Parent or any of its subsidiaries or their products, officers or employees to competitors, customers, potential customers of the Employer, the Parent or any of its subsidiaries or to current or former employees of the Employer, the Parent or any of its subsidiaries; and
- (c) breaching any terms of the Release of Claims, including any non-solicitation or non-competition provisions, if applicable.

5.4 Cessation of Separation Pay and Separation Benefits. Separation Pay, Outplacement Benefits and Separation Benefits shall cease in the event a Participant is rehired by the Employer, the Parent or one of its subsidiaries or affiliates other than Telerx Marketing, Inc.

5.5 Return of Separation Pay. Upon the occurrence of an event described in Section 5.3. or 5.4 of this Plan, the Participant shall repay to the Employer that portion of the lump sum amount that would not have been paid had the Separation Pay been paid in weekly installments from the Participant's Separation Date. If the Participant receives short-term disability benefits from the Employer after his or her Separation Date, the Employer reserves the right to seek repayment by the Participant of that portion of the Separation Pay that would not have been paid in accordance with Section 4.6 had the Separation Pay been paid in installments.

5.6 Death of Participant. If a Participant dies following his or her Separation Date and a valid Release of Claims was signed by the Participant or is signed by the Participant's estate then

(a) any unpaid Separation Pay will be paid to the Participant's estate; and

(b) if the Participant was eligible to continue medical and/or dental coverage during the Benefits Continuation Period on the Participant's date of death and the Participant's surviving dependents were covered under the Participant's medical and dental coverages (other than coverages applicable to retirees and their dependents) on that date, they may continue such active coverage for the balance of the Benefits Continuation Period, provided they continue to remain eligible dependents and they pay the applicable contributions at active employee rates, as they may change from time to time, to continue coverage. Thereafter, if, as of his or her Separation Date, such Participant (i) was eligible to participate in a retiree medical plan of an Employer (or Parent) at subsidized rates, then following the completion of the Benefits Continuation Period, surviving eligible dependents shall be eligible for retiree medical benefits at subsidized rates under the terms of retiree medical plan applicable to such Participant, as may be amended from time to time, or (ii) was not eligible to participate in a retiree medical plan of an Employer (or Parent) or is eligible to participate in a retiree medical plan of an Employer (or Parent) at unsubsidized rates, then following the completion of the Benefits Continuation Period the surviving dependents may be eligible to continue coverage in effect at the end of the Benefits Continuation Period for the remaining COBRA period, if any, in accordance with COBRA by paying the full COBRA premium. Medical and dental coverage under this Section 5.6 (b) shall be subject to and in accordance with the terms of the applicable plans as they may be amended from time to time.

The Separation Date of an Eligible Employee who dies prior to his or her scheduled Separation Date but after he or she was notified of a scheduled Separation Date shall be deemed to have occurred on the day before his/her date of death.

SECTION 6

PLAN ADMINISTRATION

6.1 Plan Administrator. Parent or its delegate is the Plan Administrator for purposes of ERISA.

6.2 Powers and Duties of Plan Administrator. The Plan Administrator or its delegate shall have the full discretionary power and authority to: (i) construe and interpret the Plan (including, without limitation, supplying omissions from, correcting deficiencies in, or resolving inconsistencies or ambiguities in, the language of the Plan); (ii) determine all questions of fact arising under the Plan, including questions as to eligibility for and the amount of benefits; (iii) establish such rules and regulations (consistent with the terms of the Plan) as it deems necessary or appropriate for administration of the Plan; (iv) delegate responsibilities to others to assist in administering the Plan; and (v) perform all other acts it believes reasonable and proper in connection with the administration of the Plan. The Plan Administrator or its delegate shall be entitled to rely on the records of the Employer in determining any Participant's entitlement to and the amount of benefits payable under the Plan. Any determination of the Plan Administrator or its delegate, including interpretations of the Plan and determinations of questions of fact, shall be final and binding on all parties.

With respect to determining claims and appeals for benefits under this Plan, the Claims Reviewer (and its delegate) shall be deemed to be the delegate of the Plan Administrator and shall have all of the powers and duties of the Plan Administrator described above.

6.3 Additional Discretionary Authority. The Plan Administrator may, upon written approval of the Parent's Executive Vice President, Human Resources (written approval of the Compensation and Benefits Committee of the Board of Directors of the Parent or its delegate with respect to Section 16 Officers), take the following actions under the Plan:

- (a) grant some, all or any portion of the benefits under this Plan to an employee who would not otherwise be eligible for such benefits under Section 3 above;
- (b) waive the requirement set forth in Section 3 for any individual Eligible Employee or group of Eligible Employees to execute a Release of Claims; and
- (c) grant additional Separation Plan Benefits to a Participant.

SECTION 7

CLAIMS AND APPEALS PROCEDURES

7.1 Claims.

(a) Any request or claim for benefits under the Plan must be filed by a claimant or the claimant's authorized representative within 60 days after the date claimant's employment with an Employer ends; provided, however, for claims under Section 5.3, claims must be filed within 60 days after the date Separation Plan Benefits are cancelled.

(b) Any request or claim for benefits under the Plan shall be deemed to be filed when a written request made by the claimant or the claimant's authorized representative addressed to the Claims Reviewer at the address below is received by the Claims Reviewer.

Claims Reviewer for the Separation Benefits Plan
c/o Secretary of the Merck & Co., Inc. Employee Benefits Committee
Merck & Co., Inc.
One Merck Drive, WS3B-35
P.O. Box 100
Whitehouse Station, NJ 08889-0100

The claim for benefits shall be reviewed by, and a determination shall be made by, the Claims Reviewer, within the timeframe required for notice of adverse benefit determinations described below.

(c) The Claims Reviewer shall provide written or electronic notification to the claimant or the claimant's authorized representative of any "adverse benefit determination." Such notice shall be provided within a reasonable time but not later than 90 days after the receipt by the Claims Reviewer of the claimant's claim, unless the Claims Reviewer determines that special circumstances require an extension of time for processing the claim. If the Claims Reviewer determines that an extension of time for processing is required, written notice of the extension shall be furnished to the claimant before the expiration of the initial 90-day period indicating the special circumstances requiring an extension and the date by which the Claims Reviewer expects to render the benefit determination. No extension can exceed 90 days from the end of the initial 90-day period (i.e., 180 days from the receipt of the claim by the Claims Reviewer) without the consent of the claimant or the claimant's authorized representative.

(d) An "adverse benefit determination" is a denial, reduction, or termination of, or a failure to provide or make payment (in whole or part) for a benefit, including one that is based on a determination of a claimant's eligibility to participate in the Plan.

(e) The notice of adverse benefit determination shall be written in a manner calculated to be understood by the claimant and shall:

- (i) set forth the specific reasons for the adverse benefit determination;
- (ii) contain specific references to Plan provisions on which the determination is based;
- (iii) describe any material or information necessary for the claim for benefits to be allowed and an explanation of why such information is necessary; and

(iv) describe the Plan's appeal procedures and the time limits applicable to such procedures, including a statement of the claimant's right to bring a civil action under section 502(a) of ERISA following an adverse benefit determination on review.

7.2 Appeals of Adverse Benefit Determinations

(a) Any request to review the Claims Reviewer's adverse benefit determination under the Plan must be filed by a claimant or the claimant's authorized representative in writing within 60 days after receipt by the claimant of written notification of adverse benefit determination by the Claims Reviewer. If the claimant or the claimant's authorized representative fails to file a request for review of the Claims Reviewer's adverse benefit determination in writing within 60 days after receipt by the claimant of written notification of adverse benefit determination, the Claims Reviewer's determination shall become final and conclusive.

(b) Any request to review an adverse benefit determination under the Plan shall be deemed to be filed when a written request is made by the claimant or the claimant's authorized representative addressed to the Employee Benefits Committee at the address below is received by the Secretary of the Employee Benefits Committee.

Merck & Co., Inc. Employee Benefits Committee
c/o Secretary Employee Benefits Committee
Merck & Co., Inc.
One Merck Drive, WS3B-35
P. O. Box 100
Whitehouse Station, NJ 08889-0100

(c) If the claimant or the claimant's authorized representative timely files a request for review of the Claims Reviewer's adverse benefit determination as specified in this Section 7.2, the Employee Benefits Committee shall re-examine all issues relevant to the original adverse benefit determination taking into account all comments, documents, records, and other information submitted by the claimant or the claimant's authorized representative relating to the claim, without regard to whether such information was submitted or considered in the initial benefit determination. Any such claimant or his or her duly authorized representative may:

(i) upon request and free of charge have reasonable access to, and copies of, all documents, records, and other information relevant to the claimant's claim for benefits; whether an item is relevant shall be determined by the Employee Benefits Committee in accordance with 29 CFR 2560.503-1 (m)(8); and

(ii) submit in writing any comments, documents, records, and other information relating to the claim for benefits.

(d) The Claims Reviewer shall provide written or electronic notice to the claimant or the claimant's authorized representative of its benefit determination on review. Such notice shall be provided within a reasonable time but not later than 60 days after the receipt by the Claims Reviewer of the claimant's request for review, unless the Claims Reviewer determines that special circumstances require an extension of time for processing the request for review. If the Claims Reviewer determines that an extension of time for processing is required, written notice of the extension shall be furnished to the claimant before the expiration of the initial 60-day period indicating the special circumstances requiring an extension and the date by which the Claims Reviewer expects to render the benefit determination. No extension can exceed 60 days from the end of the initial 60-day period (i.e., 120 days from the date the request for review is received by

the Claims Reviewer) without the consent of the claimant or the claimant's authorized representative.

(e) If the claimant's appeal is denied, the notice of adverse benefit determination on review shall be written in a manner calculated to be understood by the claimant and shall:

- (i) set forth the specific reasons for the adverse benefit determination on review;
 - (ii) contain specific references to Plan provisions on which the benefit determination is based;
 - (iii) contain a statement that the claimant is entitled to receive, upon request and free of charge, reasonable access to, and copies of, all documents, records, and other information relevant to the claimant's claim for benefits; whether an item is relevant shall be determined by the Claims Reviewer in accordance with 29 CFR 2560.503-1 (m)(8); and
 - (iv) include a statement of the claimant's right to bring a civil action under section 502(a) of ERISA.
-

SECTION 8

AMENDMENT AND TERMINATION

8.1 Amendment and Termination.

(a) Except as otherwise set forth in subsection (b) below, Parent or its delegate has the right to amend, suspend or terminate the Plan at any time without prior notice to or the consent of any employee; provided, however, that amendments that apply only to Section 16 Officers must also be approved by the Compensation and Benefits Committee of the Board of Directors of Parent or its delegate. No such amendment shall give the Employer or Parent the right to recover any amount paid to a Participant prior to the date of such amendment. Any such amendment, however, may cause the cessation and discontinuance of payments of Separation Plan Benefits to any person or persons under the Plan.

(b) Except to the extent required by applicable law, for the entirety of the Protection Period, the material terms of the Plan, including this Section 8.1, shall not be modified in any manner that is materially adverse to a Qualifying Participant.

(c) Parent or any such successor to Parent, shall pay all legal fees and related expenses (including the costs of experts, evidence and counsel) reasonably and in good faith incurred by a Qualifying Participant if the Qualifying Participant prevails on at least one material item of his or her claim for relief in an action (x) by the Qualifying Participant claiming that the provisions of this Section 8.1 have been violated (but, for the avoidance of doubt, excluding claims for plan benefits in the ordinary course) and (y) if applicable, by the Employer, Parent or its successor to enforce post-termination covenants against the Qualifying Participant.

(d) Definitions. For purposes of this Section 8.1:

(i) "Protection Period" shall mean the period beginning on the date of the Change in Control and ending on the second anniversary of the date of the Change in Control; and

(ii) "Qualifying Participant" shall mean an individual who is an Eligible Employee or a Participant as of the date immediately prior to the Change in Control.

SECTION 9

GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 9.1 Unfunded Obligation.** Separation Plan Benefits provided under this Plan shall constitute an unfunded obligation of the Employer. Payments shall be made, as due, from the general funds of the Employer. This Plan shall constitute solely an unsecured promise by the Employer to pay such benefits to Participants to the extent provided herein.
- 9.2 Applicable Law.** It is intended that the Plan be an "employee welfare benefit plan" within the meaning of Section 3(1) of ERISA, and the Plan shall be administered in a manner consistent with such intent. The Plan and all rights thereunder shall be governed and construed in accordance with ERISA and, to the extent not preempted by federal law, with the laws of the state of New Jersey, wherein venue shall lie for any dispute arising hereunder.
- 9.3 Severability.** If any provision of this Plan shall be held illegal or invalid for any reason, said illegality or invalidity shall not affect the remaining parts of this Plan, but this Plan shall be construed and enforced as if said illegal or invalid provision had never been included herein.
- 9.4 Employment at Will.** Nothing contained in this Plan shall give an employee the right to be retained in the employment of the Employer or shall otherwise modify the employee's at will employment relationship with the Employer. This Plan is not a contract of employment between the Employer and any employee.
- 9.5 Heirs, Assigns, and Personal Representatives.** The Plan shall be binding upon the heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns of the parties, including each Participant, present and future.
- 9.6 Payments to Incompetent Persons, Etc.** Any benefit payable to or for the benefit of a minor, an incompetent person or other person incapable of receipting therefore shall be deemed paid when paid to such person's guardian or to the party providing or reasonably appearing to provide for the care of such person, and such payment shall fully discharge the Employer, Parent, the Plan Administrator, the Claims Administrator and all other parties with respect thereto.
- 9.7 Lost Payees.** Benefits shall be deemed forfeited if the Plan Administrator is unable to locate a Participant to whom Separation Plan Benefits are due. Such Separation Plan Benefits shall be reinstated if application is made by the Participant for the forfeited Separation Plan Benefits within one year of the Participant's Separation Date and while the Plan is in operation.

SCHEDULE A

List of participating Employers:

From January 1, 2013 through May 16, 2013, all U. S. direct and indirect wholly owned subsidiaries of Merck & Co. Inc. **excluding** the following and their subsidiaries:

Comsort, Inc.
Inspire Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
TELERx Marketing, Inc.
Vree Health LLC
Merck Global Health Innovation Fund, LLC

From May 17, 2013, all U. S. direct and indirect wholly owned subsidiaries of Merck & Co. Inc. **excluding** the following and their subsidiaries:

Comsort, Inc.
TELERx Marketing, Inc.
Vree Health LLC
Merck Global Health Innovation Fund, LLC

SCHEDULE B-1

**Separation Pay for Participants with a
Separation Date Occurring on or after January 1, 2013**

Amount of Separation Pay in weeks (Annual Base Salary divided by 52)

| Complete Years of Continuous Service at Separation Date | BAND LEVEL | | | | | |
|---|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|
| | Band 200 | Band 300 | Band 400 | Band 500 | Band 600 | Band 700/800 |
| 0 | 10 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 26 | 26 |
| 1 | 10 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 32 | 40 |
| 2 | 10 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 32 | 40 |
| 3 | 10 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 32 | 40 |
| 4 | 10 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 32 | 40 |
| 5 | 12 | 14 | 20 | 26 | 34 | 42 |
| 6 | 14 | 16 | 22 | 28 | 36 | 44 |
| 7 | 16 | 18 | 24 | 30 | 38 | 46 |
| 8 | 18 | 20 | 26 | 32 | 40 | 48 |
| 9 | 20 | 22 | 28 | 34 | 42 | 50 |
| 10 | 22 | 24 | 30 | 36 | 44 | 52 |
| 11 | 24 | 26 | 32 | 38 | 46 | 54 |
| 12 | 26 | 28 | 34 | 40 | 48 | 56 |
| 13 | 28 | 30 | 36 | 42 | 50 | 58 |
| 14 | 30 | 32 | 38 | 44 | 52 | 60 |
| 15 | 32 | 34 | 40 | 46 | 54 | 62 |
| 16 | 34 | 36 | 42 | 48 | 56 | 64 |
| 17 | 36 | 38 | 44 | 50 | 58 | 66 |
| 18 | 38 | 40 | 46 | 52 | 60 | 68 |
| 19 | 40 | 42 | 48 | 54 | 62 | 70 |
| 20 | 42 | 44 | 50 | 56 | 64 | 72 |
| 21 | 44 | 46 | 52 | 58 | 66 | 74 |
| 22 | 46 | 48 | 54 | 60 | 68 | 76 |
| 23 | 48 | 50 | 56 | 62 | 70 | 78 |
| 24 | 50 | 52 | 58 | 64 | 72 | 78 |
| 25 | 52 | 54 | 60 | 66 | 74 | 78 |
| 26 | 54 | 56 | 62 | 68 | 76 | 78 |
| 27 | 56 | 58 | 64 | 70 | 78 | 78 |
| 28 | 58 | 60 | 66 | 72 | 78 | 78 |
| 29 | 60 | 62 | 68 | 74 | 78 | 78 |
| 30 | 62 | 64 | 70 | 76 | 78 | 78 |
| 31 | 64 | 66 | 72 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| 32 | 66 | 68 | 74 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| 33 | 68 | 70 | 76 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| 34 | 70 | 72 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| 35 | 72 | 74 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| 36 | 74 | 76 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| 37 | 76 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| 38+ | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |

SCHEDULE B-2

MEDICAL / DENTAL AND LIFE INSURANCE CONTINUATION

| COMPLETE YEARS OF CONTINUOUS SERVICE AT SEPARATION DATE | BENEFITS CONTINUATION PERIOD |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| < 5 | 26 weeks |
| 5 – 9.9 | 39 weeks |
| 10 – 19.9 | 52 weeks |
| 20+ | 78 weeks |

SCHEDULE C

OUTPLACEMENT BENEFITS

| BAND LEVEL | BENEFIT | DURATION |
|-------------------|---|--|
| Band 200 | Individual Career Transition Seminar and Counseling | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 2 day Milestones Seminar• Up to six (6) individual follow-up consulting sessions• 3 months access to Career Resource Network |
| Band 300 | Career Assistance Program | 3 Months |
| Band 400 | Career Transition Service | 6 Months |
| Band 600/500 | Executive Service | 12 Months |
| Band 800/700 | Senior Executive Service | 12 Months |

The Outplacement Benefits are provided through a third party vendor. The vendor and/or the programs may change from time to time.

SCHEDULE D (Change in Control/Pension)
Description of Change-in-Control Benefits under the
Pension Plan

This Schedule describes benefits under the Pension Plan and the Supplemental Plan (as each is defined below) provided to an Eligible Employee under the Plan if such Eligible Employee signs and returns the release of claims in use under the CIC Plan and in accordance with the process established under the CIC Plan.

I. If an Eligible Employee's employment is terminated in circumstances entitling him or her to the benefits provided in Section 3.1 (e) of the Plan:

1. For an Eligible Employee who participates in the Retirement Plan for Salaried Employees of MSD or its successor (the "MSD Pension Plan) and on his or her Separation Date is not at least age 55 with at least ten years of Credited Service under the MSD Pension Plan but would attain at least age 50 and have at least ten years of Credited Service under the MSD Pension Plan within two years following the date of the Change in Control (assuming continued employment during the entirety of such two-year period), then the Eligible Employee shall be deemed to be eligible for a subsidized early retirement benefit on his "Prior Plan Formula" (as defined in the MSD Pension Plan) under the MSD Pension Plan commencing in accordance with the terms of the MSD Plan.

2. For an Eligible Employee who participates in the MSD Pension Plan or the Legacy Schering Retirement Plan, or their successors (collectively the "Pension Plan") and on his or her Separation Date is not at least age 65 but would attain at least age 65 within two years following the date of the Change in Control (assuming continued employment during the entirety of such two-year period), then the Eligible Employee shall be deemed to be eligible for a Prior Plan Formula benefit unreduced for early commencement under the Pension Plan commencing in accordance with the terms of the Pension Plan.

3. For an Eligible Employee who participates in the MSD Pension Plan and on his or her Separation Date is not eligible for the "Rule of 85 Transition Benefit" (as such term is defined in the MSD Pension Plan) but would have been eligible for the Rule of 85 Transition Benefit within two years following the date of the Change in Control (assuming continued employment during the entirety of such two-year period), then the Eligible Employee shall be deemed to be eligible for the Rule of 85 Transition Benefit upon commencement of his or her pension benefit under the MSD Pension Plan.

4. For an Eligible Employee who participates in the Pension Plan on his or her Separation Date who is not vested in his or her accrued benefit under the Pension Plan, he or she shall be vested in his accrued benefit under the Pension Plan on his or her Separation Date.

II. The benefits described in this Schedule D shall be payable from the Pension Plan and, to the extent that such benefits cannot be paid from the Pension Plan the Employer may, to the extent it deems necessary or appropriate (including to comply with applicable law and to preserve grandfathered status of arrangements subject to Section 409A of the Code), cause such benefits to be paid under a Supplemental Retirement Plan of MSD or the Legacy Schering Benefits Excess Plan, as applicable and any successors thereto (collectively the "Supplemental Plan") or under new arrangements or from the Employer's general assets.

SCHEDULE E (Change in Control/Retiree Medical)

Description of Change-in-Control Benefits under Health Plan

This Schedule describes benefits under the Health Plan provided to an Eligible Employee under the Plan if such Eligible Employee signs and returns the release of claims in use under the CIC Plan and in accordance with the process established under the CIC Plan.

I. If an Eligible Employee's employment is terminated in circumstances entitling him or her to the benefits provided in Section 3.1 (c) of the Plan:

If the Eligible Employee is eligible to participate in the Health Plan and on his or her Separation Date is not at least age 55 with the requisite amount of service with an Employer to satisfy the requirements to be considered a retiree eligible for subsidized retiree medical benefits under the Health Plan but would attain at least age 50 and meet the service requirements to be considered a retiree eligible for subsidized retiree medical benefits under the Health Plan within two years following the date of the Change in Control (assuming continued employment during the entirety of such two-year period), then the Eligible Employee shall be eligible for subsidized retiree medical benefits under the Health Plan on the date his or her Benefits Continuation Period Ends on the same terms and conditions applicable to salaried U.S.-based employees of the Employer whose employment terminated the last day of the month prior to the Eligible Employee's Separation Date who were treated as retirees eligible for subsidized retiree medical benefits under the Health Plan as of that date.

II. The Employer may, to the extent it deems necessary or appropriate (including to comply with applicable law and to preserve grandfathered status of arrangements subject to Section 409A of the Code), cause the benefits set forth in this Schedule E to be provided from insured arrangements, or pursuant to new arrangements, individual arrangements or otherwise. Further, notwithstanding anything to the contrary, to the extent any benefits to which an Eligible Employee is entitled under this Schedule E would reasonably be likely to constitute a discriminatory benefit under Section 105(h) of the Code or a similar law or regulation at the time the benefit is to be provided to the Eligible Employee, as determined in the sole discretion of the Parent, the Employer may, to the extent it deems necessary or appropriate (including to comply with applicable law), modify the benefit so that the benefit would no longer constitute a discriminatory benefit under Section 105(h) of the Code or such similar law, including, but not limited to, eliminating all subsidy from the Parent or the Employer, requiring that the Eligible Employee pay for participation in the benefit program with after-tax funds or causing the full employer and employee portions of the cost of the benefit to be imputed as gross income to the Eligible Employee.

III. For purposes of this Schedule E, "Health Plan" means one or more plans sponsored by the Parent or one of its subsidiaries that provide medical benefits to Eligible Employees and to former Eligible Employees who are considered retirees thereunder and to the eligible dependents of each of the foregoing.

CERTIFICATION

I, Kenneth C. Frazier, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Merck & Co., Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 7, 2013

By: /s/ Kenneth C. Frazier
KENNETH C. FRAZIER
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Peter N. Kellogg, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Merck & Co., Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 7, 2013

By: /s/ Peter N. Kellogg
PETER N. KELLOGG
Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer

Section 1350
Certification of Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, the undersigned officer of Merck & Co., Inc. (the "Company"), hereby certifies that the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: November 7, 2013

/s/ Kenneth C. Frazier

Name: KENNETH C. FRAZIER
Title: Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Section 1350
Certification of Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, the undersigned officer of Merck & Co., Inc. (the "Company"), hereby certifies that the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: November 7, 2013

/s/ Peter N. Kellogg

Name: PETER N. KELLOGG

Title: Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer

